Exploring the Role of Connectedness in Anorexia Nervosa: A Scoping Review

Lorena Hengstermann Department of Psychology, The University of Twente 202200087: Master Thesis Positive Clinical Psychology and Technology First Supervisor: Mirjam Radstaak, PhD Second Supervisor: Alejandro Dominguez Rodriguez, PhD August 12, 2024

APA 7th Edition

Abstract

Background. Anorexia nervosa (AN), typically characterised by a disturbed body image and intense fear of gaining weight, is a multifaceted mental disorder. Considering this multifaceted nature and taking into account that AN can cause social and emotional loneliness, investigating the role of connectedness holds potential benefits. Aim. This review aims to provide an overview of the existing body of literature on the role of connectedness for people with AN to identify relevant research gaps, thereby guiding future research directions. Method. A scoping review was conducted following the PRISMA guidelines. The systematic search was carried out in three databases (Scopus, PsychInfo, Web of Science), using a relevant search string. Data relevant to the role of connectedness, measurement, diagnosis of AN, main findings, and form of connectedness were analysed using descriptive numeric analysis and thematic analysis. Results. The studies were published between 2003 and 2022. Sample sizes ranged from one to 332. Results showed that high levels of connectedness emerged as a protective factor while low levels of connectedness pose a risk for the development and maintenance of AN. Further, most research is conducted on the role of connectedness to others, compared to connectedness to the self and the world. Moreover, a universal measurement of connectedness seems to be missing. **Conclusion.** This review demonstrates that despite the critical role of connectedness for people with AN, there is not enough research in this field yet. Consequently, evidence for recommending connectedness as part of AN treatment is lacking. Therefore, future research is needed that examines all dimensions of connectedness and its effectiveness in treating AN.

Keywords: anorexia nervosa, connectedness, risk and protective factor, scoping review

Introduction

Eating disorders (ED) cause 10.200 deaths per year, which means one death per 52 minutes (National Association of Anorexia Nervosa and Associated Disorders (ANAD), 2024). It is estimated that among all eating disorders especially anorexia nervosa (AN) is considered to have the highest mortality rate (ANAD, 2024). Moreover, the lifetime prevalence for AN is up to 4% for women and 0.3% for men (Clemente-Suárez et al., 2023). Overall, AN is characterised by a multifactorial and complex aetiology as well as an interplay of various behaviours and factors. According to the DSM-5 criteria, typical characteristics are enormous fear of gaining weight, restricted calorie intake, low body weight and disturbed body image (Neale & Hudson, 2020). Especially the latter encourages behaviours such as excessive exercise, starvation and purging which leads to a vicious cycle that maintains the disorder (Zipfel et al., 2015). Additionally, people suffering from AN have problems with emotion regulation and rather tend to avoid them, for example, by focusing on food, weight and shape (Oldershaw et al., 2019). Moreover, a lack of interoceptive awareness inhibits the development of a stable self. Therefore, it is suggested that AN emerges as a means to regain a sense of self and forge a new identity (Oldershaw et al., 2019). Exclusion of certain food groups labelled as bad and therefore need compensatory measures or should not be eaten at all also characterises the clinical picture of AN (Neale & Hudson, 2020). Not only physical impairments result from these behaviours but also neurological ones, as white and grey matter in the brain is reduced due to malnutrition which can lead to cognitive deficits (Zipfel et al., 2015). Turning to the social environment of people with AN, it becomes apparent that the disease also causes social-emotional difficulties. This manifests in conflicts with family and friends, leading to social isolation, which means more room for the illness to develop further (Leppanen et al., 2021). Moreover, people suffering from AN react more sensitively to social rejection and negative interactions compared to healthy peers, which results in them staying away from social situations at all as they assume to be rejected anyway (Meneguzzo et al., 2020). Thus, AN can be considered a mental illness with far-reaching consequences in different areas of daily living, which can lead to high loneliness among individuals suffering from this disease.

Research showed that treatment options for AN are too strict and miss relevant aspects of recovery to guarantee long-term remission and positive treatment outcomes. For example, it is criticised that current treatment mainly focuses on weight gain and therefore leaves aside other

important aspects of recovery, for example, the psycho-social needs of individuals (Isaksson et al., 2021; van Bree et al., 2023). Despite the availability of many different treatment options for AN, such as cognitive-behavioural therapy for eating disorders (CBT-E) (Dalle Grave et al., 2016), the Maudsley model of anorexia nervosa treatment for adults (MANTRA) (Schmidt et al., 2014), interpersonal therapy (McIntosh et al., 2000), and family-based treatment (Loeb & Le Grange, 2009), high relapse rates between 35 and 57% (Glasofer et al., 2020) as well as high drop-out rates of 20-40% (DeJong et al., 2012) indicate a considerable lack of effectiveness in these approaches. Even though CBT-E is recommended as an effective first-line treatment, it focuses on behavioural components rather than on incorporating embodied experiences in treatment (Castellini et al., 2022). Further, MANTRA focuses on characteristic temperamental traits, thereby leaving aside personalisation and motivation-boosting features (Jansingh et al., 2020). Lastly, family-based treatment and interpersonal therapy try to alleviate social isolation but leave aside the relevance of promoting body awareness and feelings of connection to the self and the body which is crucial in treatment because it is seen as one of the core roots of AN (Castellini et al., 2022). Thus, taking feelings of connectedness in the treatment of AN into account could improve treatment outcomes.

Connectedness

There is not much research published on the purpose of connectedness for people suffering from AN and therefore this might be a reason why treatments are not being effective. Recent research indicates that "given the essential role of the body in the experience of the world and self, it may not be sufficient to merely understand and manage AN as an essentially, psychological disorder characterized by behavioural and cognitive disturbances." (Naess & Kolnes, 2022, p. 3). These insights underscore the relevance of considering feeling connected to the body and self and social connections in understanding people suffering from AN. Thus, exploring the concept of connectedness can be of great value. Overall, connectedness is defined as "a state of feeling connected to self, others and the wider world." (Watts et al., 2022, p. 3461). This implies that connectedness has several dimensions, namely connected to senses, emotions and the body). Connectedness to others, which also closely maps to the term social connectedness in the study of Watts et al. (2022) and includes the quality and quantity of an individual's social relationships. And lastly, connectedness to the world including "self-

transcendence" as a key factor. Self-transcendence can be explained as the expansion of selfboundaries either on an interpersonal level or transpersonal level and leads to experiences of being "part of an interconnected web of life" and "connecting to a spiritual principle" (Watts et al., 2022, p.3476). The authors state that feelings of disconnection are assumed to contribute to mental illness. Conversely, this implies that higher levels of connectedness could be a protective factor for mental health and thus can be of great potential in the treatment of mental illnesses.

Connectedness to the Self

Examining connectedness to the self for people with AN is important for several reasons. Research indicates that feelings of connection to the self are disturbed in this patient group which leads to high psychological distress and results in various negative consequences (Naess & Kolnes, 2022). Taking into account that the illness is characterised by confusion around bodily symptoms with an accompanying highly distorted body image, it seems reasonable that connection to the self is disrupted. The body is not seen as a part of its own but rather as a separated, enclosed system which results from starvation and suppressing negative feelings. These difficulties in embodiment have the negative consequence of creating tension between the body's physicality and subjectivity, resulting in a vicious cycle preventing the integration of the body into the self (Naess & Kolnes, 2022). However, integrating the body into the self is important for identity development. Williams et al. (2016) assume that this is problematic for people with AN because, at some point, the ED becomes one's own identity, making it difficult to separate from it. Thus, in the absence of AN individuals no longer know who they are and therefore keep returning or holding on to their ED. This indicates that a sense of self plays a critical role in the maintenance of AN. Moreover, AN is viewed as protecting the self by detaching from feelings and keeping the person disconnected from others. These findings underscore the illness' adaptive function: AN seems to suppress confrontation with negative emotions through disconnection from the self and others, who could potentially trigger these emotions (Williams et al., 2016).

Connectedness to Others

People suffering from AN have to face interpersonal problems in their surroundings which can lead to social isolation and loosing of connections to others, thus impeding recovery processes. Leppanen et al. (2021) found that one way how AN leads to less connectedness to others is the avoidance of social events because they fear being confronted with the pressure to eat. People suffering from AN report that they feel ashamed being around people who are eating while they are not. To avoid explanation, social gatherings with family and friends are not attended (Leppanen et al., 2021). Thus, it can be assumed that social isolation serves to secure the illness identity but in turn, has the consequence of reducing connectedness to others. Another important factor to consider when looking at why connectedness to others is reduced is that people with AN generally have difficulties sensing and expressing their emotions (Oldershaw et al., 2019). It is hypothesised that they tend to avoid close relationships as a way to circumvent experiencing and having to express emotions (Carter et al., 2012). Therefore, feeling like the strange one left out and isolated from family and friends is a feeling many people with AN encounter (Leppanen et al., 2021). Consequently, feeling misunderstood and unsupported by significant others when trying to explain their feelings and thoughts is a common issue, which leads to feeling dismissed and seeing no point in reaching out to others (Leppanen et al., 2021) thereby further reducing connection to others. Carter et al. (2012) hypothesise that interpersonal difficulties can trigger ED symptoms and therefore play an important role in the maintenance of the illness. In conclusion, low levels of connectedness to others can lead to higher vulnerability for the maintenance of AN.

Connectedness to the World

In general, connectedness to the world can help individuals create a broader meaning for their lives and thereby enhance well-being. For example, studies not focusing on AN, investigated the effect of nature connectedness and self-transcendence on well-being and hypothesised that it is mediated by spirituality (Trigwell et. al., 2014, Buser & Pertuit, 2019). Here, spirituality can be understood as a construct described as an individual experience of transcendence, meaning-making, and a feeling of integration with oneself and all things in a broader sense (Trigwell et al., 2014). Results indicate that individuals with greater nature connectedness experience higher levels of well-being because they obtain more spiritual satisfaction from their connection to nature. Thus, spirituality significantly mediates the relationship between well-being and connectedness to nature. Additionally, according to Buser and Pertuit (2019), self-transcendence can be seen as a motivational construct that helps to create a wider personal meaning. Relating this to AN, it can be assumed that connectedness to the world can facilitate a process of meaning-making for individuals with AN, leading to the

discovery of purpose in life and reconnecting with values beyond that of their ED. Nevertheless, this topic is not yet widely researched in the context of AN.

Current study

While numerous studies investigate the impact of connectedness to others, no scoping or systematic review has been conducted to provide an overarching view concerning the role of connectedness, including all dimensions, in relation to AN. Although various studies have investigated the importance of social network support by family and friends (Tiller et al., 1997; Leonidas & Dos Santos, 2014; Ramjan et al., 2024), they lack a detailed exploration of connectedness including all three dimensions. Thus, this scoping review aims to fill this gap by systematically exploring the role of the three dimensions of connectedness, for people with AN. The absence of systematic or scoping reviews in this field underlines the novelty and relevance of this work. Therefore, this review aims to provide an overview of the body of existing literature by answering the following research question: "What is the extent of evidence concerning the role of connectedness for people with anorexia nervosa?".

Methods

Research Design

To answer the research question, a scoping review was conducted. This design is particularly suitable when the aim is to answer exploratory research questions (Munn et al., 2018). A scoping review's main qualities include gathering information on a certain range of research to summarise the evidence about a particular issue and to conduct a systematic search to determine the depth of the area of interest (Colquhoun et al., 2014). Therefore, scoping reviews include different types of literature regarding study quality and design (Levac et al., 2010). As a result, they map already existing research, and highlight possible research gaps, thereby building the general foundation for potential future research (Colquhoun et al., 2014). While conducting and reporting, this scoping review abided to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist (Tricco et al., 2018) (see Appendix A).

Search Strategy

A systematic search was conducted using three databases: Web of Science, PsychInfo and Scopus with the following search string: (connectedness OR "social connect*" OR "social relation*" OR "social bond*" OR "body connectedness" OR "connection to self" OR "world connectedness" OR "nature connectedness" OR relatedness OR interrelatedness OR interrelatedness OR interrelationship) AND (anorexi* OR "anorexia nervosa" OR "anorectic behavi*" OR "restrictive eat*"). The search was conducted on the 10th of April 2024.

Eligibility Criteria

To obtain relevant and fitting data, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were formulated apriori to the study selection. Studies were included if they reported empirical data in all designs on the role of connectedness for people with AN and if they were published in academic peer-reviewed journals. Editorials, commentaries and review papers were excluded. The study sample did not have to include solely people with diagnosed AN, the participants could also only show anorectic symptoms such as fear of gaining weight, being underweight, restrictive eating behaviour or any appearance of these in the past. The sample could also include other EDs; however, it has to be ensured that the study provides enough information on the role of connectedness in AN rather than focusing on another ED for the research to be included. Studies in which full text was not available or papers written in other languages than English or German were also excluded. In conclusion, studies representing quantitative, qualitative, observational or mixed methods data, collected to explore the role of connectedness in people with AN were included in the review.

Study Selection

After the three databases were searched using the search string, the hits found were exported with the title, keywords, abstract, authors' names, DOI, and journal name and imported into the program "Covidence". The PRISMA flow diagram (see Figure 1) shows the process of literature search and screening. While importing the data to Covidence, duplicates were removed automatically by the program. Following that, the remaining articles were scanned by reading through the titles and abstracts. Thereby, relevant articles were identified. After this scan, the abstracts of the selected articles were read carefully to determine whether they might contain relevant data for answering the research question. By doing so, valuable abstracts were saved for later full-text review. While reviewing the full texts attention was paid to the methods, results and discussion sections to assess compliance with the eligibility criteria.

Figure 1

PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram of Literature Search and Screening Process



Data Charting

After the screening process, the included full-text articles were read thoroughly to familiarise with the data. Data extraction was done by using a charting table adapted to the JBI template for data extraction (Aromataris et al., 2024), in which the following data were extracted from the full-text: author, year, country, study aim, participant characteristics (i.e., age, gender and if they had a diagnosis of AN and how this was established), sample size, description of measurements, main findings and forms of connectedness measured (i.e., connectedness to the self, others, and the world). The data extraction process was done independently by the first author; however, the first supervisor was contacted when discrepancies emerged to get another opinion and feedback. In total, the first supervisor was contacted two times regarding clarification of terms needed for the data extraction and questions concerning the appropriate methods for the data synthesis.

Synthesis of Results

High heterogeneity across the included studies made meta-analyses unsuitable as a synthesis of the results. Instead, a descriptive numerical summary analysis and a thematic

analysis were performed. During numerical summary analysis, the extent and nature of the literature were extracted by using a charting table in Microsoft Excel where the study characteristics were summarised. Additionally, inductive thematic analysis was used to identify recurring themes related to the role of connectedness in AN and to organise and present the results in broader themes and categories (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The procedure is inductive as the coding process stayed close to the data and did not try to fit it into pre-existing coding schemes. Moreover, the authors propose a six-step approach for conducting thematic analysis, namely familiarising with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report (Braun & Clarke, 2006). According to this, the first step included reading the selected articles several times and taking notes to get a thorough understanding of the data. Subsequently, the production of initial codes and searching for themes required systematic work through the entire data to identify recurrent patterns across the data set. Following the production of the list of initial codes, they were sorted into potential themes which means checking how and which codes might be combined to inform a theme. Afterwards, the themes were reviewed again to ensure they were mutually exclusive. To clarify which part of the data was captured by the theme and what each theme was about, they were named and defined accordingly.

Results

Numerical Analysis

The selection procedure is displayed in Figure 1 and the study characteristics can be found in Table 2. Five studies were conducted in Europe, more specifically in Italy (n=1), Portugal (n=2), Austria (n=1) and France (n=1). Three studies were conducted in Norway. The remaining studies were conducted in the United Kingdom, the United States, and Australia, and one study was conducted as fieldwork and refers to data from three different locations, namely Scotland, Australia and Canada. Publication dates range from 2003 to 2022 and half of the studies are not older than 10 years. The biggest sample included 332 participants in the study by Huemer et al. (2012) and the smallest, one participant in the qualitative study from Trondalen (2003). Participants' ages ranged from 14 to 55 years. More than half of the included samples consisted of people diagnosed with AN (n=8). The majority of the studies had a qualitative design (n=8), two studies were cross-sectional, and two studies were conducted as fieldwork.

Table 2

Study Characteristics

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
Bers et al.	United	Cross-	Exploring	15-24 y.,	77 (AN	Differentiation	AN patients show	Connectedness
(2013)	States	sectional	representations	female,	= 15,	-Relatedness	disrupted connection	to others
			of mother,	diagnosis: yes,	Psychiat	Scale of the	to mother. High	
			father and the	diagnosis	ric	Object	neediness at same	
			self, using the	confirmed by	without	Relations	time suggests	
			Differentiation	investigator	ED =	Inventory to	underlying factor for	
			-Relatedness	during	15,	evaluate the	rejection of food is	
			Scale.	interviews	Non-	descriptions of	desperate longing	
					clinical	mother, father	for connection and	
					voluntee	and the self.	attention from	
					rs = 47)		mother.	
Brinchman	Norway	Qualitative	Exploring the	18-22 y.,	12 (AN	Grounded	MFT leads to	Connectedness
n &			experiences of	female,	= 8,	theory	reduced family	to others
Krvavac			patients with	diagnosis: yes,	bulimia	approach, field	isolation and more	
(2021)			severe EDs	not specified	nervosa	observations,	connectedness and	
			and their	how it was	(BN) =	group and	openness among	
			families with	established	4) and	individual	family members	
			MFT.		their	qualitative	which had positive	
					families	interviews	impact on recovery.	
							Connectedness is	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
							fostered through	
							feeling understood	
							and supported.	
							Connectedness	
							means belonging to	
							a group.	
Cheney	Italy	Qualitative	Investigating	18-32 y.,	23	Semi-	Feelings of	Connectedness
(2013)			how women	female,		structured and	disconnection	to others
			negotiate	diagnosis: no,		unstructured	triggered AN	
			social	inclusion		interviews	behaviour to	
			relatedness	criterion was			cultivate body that	
			and their	ED behaviours			gives individuals	
			identities	in the past			greater social	
			through food				acceptance.	
			practices.				Disordered eating	
							serves as a coping	
							mechanism to deal	
							with negative	
							emotions.	
							Engagement in	
							disordered eating is	
							used as a way to	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
							reconstruct identity.	
							Being thin leads to	
							more social	
							acceptance by peers.	
Ferreira et	Portugal	Cross-	Clarifying the	18-35 y.,	277	Social	Low connectedness	Connectedness
al. (2017)		sectional	impact of	female,		Safeness and	linked with higher	to others
			recalling early	diagnosis: not		Pleasure Scale	levels of shame and	
			memories of	specified			social comparison,	
			social safeness				which leads to	
			on disordered				adoption of	
			eating				disordered eating.	
			behaviour.				Current feelings of	
							connectedness and	
							social safeness	
							influence adaptive	
							eating behaviour.	
Huemer et	Austria	Cross-	Investigating	16-46 y.,	332 (AN	Semi-	Higher	Connectedness
al. (2012)		sectional	emotional	female,	= 41	structured	connectedness	to others
			connectedness	diagnosis: yes,	plus	interviews,	associated with	
			and individual	life-time	families,	Self-Rating	lower risk of AN	
			autonomy by	DSM-IV	BN = 59	Instruments to	development. Low	
			comparing a	diagnosis	plus	assess	connectedness	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
			healthy sister		families	subjective	represented risk	
			and one with)	perceptions of	factor for	
			an ED.			relationships	development. AN	
						within the	patients showed	
						family	lower perceived	
							emotional	
							connectedness	
							within family,	
							compared to healthy	
							sisters before onset	
							of AN and at time of	
							measurement.	
Naess &	Norway	Qualitative	Exploring	In their forties,	2	Semi-	Through NPMP	Connectedness
Kolnes			experiences of	female,		structured	participants felt	to the self
(2022)			women with	diagnosis: yes,		interviews	more connected to	
			AN while	inclusion			their body which	
			doing NPMP.	criterion was			had a positive	
				diagnosis of			influence on	
				AN			understanding and	
							articulating their	
							emotions and needs.	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
Patching &	Australia	Qualitative	Exploring the	24-51 y.,	20 (AN	Life-history	Development of	Connectedness
Lawler			entire	female,	only =	interviews	disease associated	to the self,
(2009)			individual	diagnosis: one	6, BN		with disconnection,	others and the
			experiences of	inclusion	only =		recovery means	world
			developing	criterion was	2, AN		reconnecting with	
			and recovering	that they had	and BN		others and life. Lack	
			from an ED.	to be	= 12)		of connectedness in	
				recovered			childhood led to	
				from an ED			engagement in ED	
							behaviours and	
							inhibited stable	
							development of self.	
							Engagement in ED	
							behaviour seemed to	
							address these issues,	
							provided a sense of	
							control and	
							connectedness.	
							Reconnecting with	
							life and others,	
							shifting attention	
							from illness to world	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
							supported recovery.	
							Taking new ways	
							related to academia,	
							relationships and	
							careers facilitated	
							meaning-making	
							beyond the illness.	
Pinto et al.	Portugal	Cross-	Investigate the	18-50 y.,	253	Social	Current feelings of	Connectedness
(2017)		sectional	role of	female,		Safeness and	connectedness to	to others and
			inflexible	diagnosis: not		Pleasure Scale	others positively	the self
			eating	specified			associated with	
			behaviours and				positive attitude	
			body				towards body and	
			appreciation as				self and lower levels	
			potential				of disordered eating	
			mediators				behaviour. Being in	
			between social				unsafe social	
			safeness and				environment is risk	
			disordered				factor for adoption	
			eating.				of disordered eating.	
							Disordered eating	
							serves as strategy to	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
							control body	
							appearance to	
							enhance social status	
							within group.	
Piot et al.	France	Qualitative	Exploring and	20-30 y.,	3	Semi-	Connectedness is a	Connectedness
(2019)			understanding	female,		structured	group in which a	to others
			AN recovery	diagnosis: yes,		interviews	person feels	
			from the first-	history of			understood and	
			person	restrictive AN			normal which	
			perspective.	(ICD-10: F50)			fosters feelings of	
							connectedness to	
							others.	
Trondalen	Norway	Qualitative	Investigating	26 y., female,	1	Phenomenolog	Music; especially	Connectedness
(2003)			in what ways	diagnosis: yes,		ical approach	self-listening while	to the self,
			'self-listening'	hospitalised		and interviews	playing an	others and the
			can promote	two times			instrument	world
			experiences of				contributes to higher	
			being				feelings of self-	
			connected.				connectedness,	
							positively impacting	
							well-being.	
							Enhanced embodied	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
							experiences led to	
							better understanding	
							own emotions and	
							fostered appreciative	
							recognition of self.	
							Higher	
							connectedness led to	
							more feelings of	
							hope and courage.	
Warin	Australia,	Qualitative	Understanding	14-55 y.,	46	Multi-sited	There is a specific	Connectedness
(2006)	Canada,		the concept of	female and	(female	fieldwork	sense of belonging	to others
	Scotland		relatedness for	male,	= 44,	including	in inpatient settings.	
			people with	diagnosis: not	male =	participant	Engagement in ED	
			AN and how	specified,	2)	observations	practices was shown	
			they negotiate	participants		and interviews	to be coping	
			it in their	had history of			mechanism for	
			everyday	hospitalisation			identity construction	
			worlds.	due to ED			during times of	
				behaviours			disconnection.	
							Wanting to enhance	
							connectedness led to	
							higher engagement	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
							in ED behaviours.	
							Connectedness to	
							others meant having	
							support (this could	
							be positive or	
							negative) as	
							inpatients sometimes	
							engaged in more	
							excessive disordered	
							eating to be seen as	
							a "real anorectic".	
Westwood	United	Qualitative	Exploring	18-42 y.,	10	Semi-	Participants	Connectedness
et al.	Kingdom		friendship	female,		structured	described difficulties	to others
(2016)			experiences	diagnosis: yes,		interviews	in friendships before	
			before the	primary			onset of AN and that	
			onset of	diagnosis of			AN had negative	
			anorexia	AN			impact on their	
			nervosa and				relationships.	
			during the				Loneliness can	
			illness.				exacerbate	
							disordered eating	
							behaviours. AN is	

First author	Country	Study	Study aim	Participant	Sample	Description of	Main findings	Form of
and year		design		characteristics	size	measurements		connectedness
							seen as something	
							positive that allows	
							connection to others.	
							Support from family	
							and friends during	
							recovery provides	
							valuable meaning	
							for recovery process.	

Note. AN = anorexia nervosa; ED = eating disorder; MFT = multifamily therapy; BN = bulimia nervosa; NPMP = norwegian psychomotor physiotherapy.

Thematic Analysis

Concerning the frequency and distribution of the forms of connectedness measured, it can be argued that eight studies focused on connectedness to others only, indicating that this is the most researched dimension of connectedness regarding AN so far (Warin, 2006; Huemer et al., 2012; Bers et al., 2013; Cheney, 2013; Westwood et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2017; Piot et al., 2019; Brinchmann & Krvavac, 2021). One study concentrated on connectedness to the self only (Naess & Kolnes, 2022), one on connectedness to the self and connectedness to others (Pinto et al., 2017), and two studies adapted more of an all-encompassing view of all three dimensions of connectedness (i.e., connectedness to others, the self and the world) (Trondalen, 2003; Patching & Lawler, 2009). Two broader themes that recurred in the literature became apparent during the data analysis. Namely the positive impact of connectedness and the impact of low levels of connectedness. Each theme contains two related sub-themes that occurred as relevant.

Positive Impact of Connectedness on AN

Nine studies discovered that connectedness has a positive effect on people with AN (Trondalen, 2003; Patching & Lawler, 2009; Huemer et al., 2012; Westwood et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2017; Piot et al., 2019; Brinchmann & Krvavac, 2021; Naess & Kolnes, 2022). Predominantly, the studies found that connectedness as a general construct can be seen as a protective factor against the development of AN and helps to enhance positive feelings towards others and the self, which positively impacts recovery by, for example, facilitating the ability to understand and articulate needs and emotions.

Impact on Eating Behaviour and Recovery. Overall, the findings revealed that each of the three dimensions of connectedness positively impacts AN recovery. Multiple participants of the studies addressed that current feelings of connectedness to others and the self are associated with lower levels of disordered eating behaviour and a reduced risk of developing AN. Specifically, higher connectedness to others is associated with a lower risk for AN development (Huemer et al., 2012). Further, experiencing emotional connectedness within the family system is linked to favourable developmental and maturational outcomes and Pinto et al. (2017) found that having meaningful connections with others and experiencing social support is associated with the development of a kinder attitude towards the self and the body. Thus, participants with higher levels of feelings of connectedness to others are more likely to reveal a respectful and positive attitude toward their bodies, which in turn positively affects healthy eating behaviour.

Moreover, reconnecting with life and enhancing connectedness to the world emerged as having a positive impact on recovery. For instance, participants in the study by Patching and Lawler (2009) described how reconnecting with their lives helped them shift their focus away from AN towards other important things, providing them with a sense of meaning. Especially taking new ways related to academia, careers, relationships and setting other priorities helped them to regain connection to the world around them which in turn led to a positive recovery process. Another interesting finding highlighting the positive impact of connectedness to the self is offered by the study from Trondalen (2003) who found a general positive effect on mental well-being. The participant indicated that appreciative recognition of herself through feelings of self-connectedness, which were fostered through music therapy enhanced positive feelings of hope and courage for the future.

Factors Enhancing Feelings of Connectedness. Several factors were found to strengthen connectedness including therapeutic activities, embodiment and bodily awareness techniques, and group belonging. For instance, engaging in "self-listening" during music therapy, as illustrated by Trondalen (2003), is one factor that enhances connectedness to the self and thereby leads to an embodied experience which makes the patient recognise feelings more easily. Additionally, the participant explained that through music making and thereby feeling connected between the inner and outer space, she was capable of filling her "emptiness" and create peace of mind. The author considers music an important factor because it "promotes new ways of relating" (Trondalen, 2003, p. 8) and thereby helps to experience stronger feelings of connectedness of different parts of oneself and others simultaneously, leading to a more cohesive understanding and awareness of the self. Similarly, Naess and Kolnes (2022) highlight the beneficial effect of Norwegian psychomotor physiotherapy (NPMP) for enhancing selfconnectedness and bodily awareness. Breathing exercises, grounding exercises, relaxation techniques, massages, and other forms of movement and exercise during NPMP sessions lead to improved self-connectedness and holistically experiencing the body. For example, learning to sit *in* a chair rather than *on* a chair helped one participant to feel supported and more grounded. This reduced her racing thoughts as she felt more present, mentally and bodily. Overall, participants found it easier to sense their bodies' signals and were more successful in understanding and expressing their emotions, after engaging in NPMP.

Additionally, belonging to a group in which a person feels normal and understood improves connectedness to others for people with AN. For instance, participants described that being in a group with other people who are suffering from AN provides recovery support and enhances connectedness to others (Piot et al., 2019). Thereby, connectedness is valued as it provides the individual with a feeling of belonging, being normal and understood. Moreover, the positive effect of feeling understood by others was emphasised by the participants of Brinchmann and Krvavac (2021) who reported that multifamily therapy increases connectedness among family members through fostering feeling understood and supported. Furthermore, participants described feelings of safety that were created through meeting others who were in the same situation. Feeling recognised and understood facilitates open communication, thereby leading to decreased family isolation and ED symptoms, which exerts a positive influence on the overall recovery process (Brinchmann & Krvavac, 2021).

Impact of Low Levels of Connectedness

Although higher levels of connectedness, of whatever form, have some important benefits, eight studies found that connectedness can also pose a risk for people suffering from AN, more specifically when they experience low levels of connectedness (Warin, 2006; Patching & Lawler, 2009; Huemer et al., 2012; Bers et al., 2013; Cheney, 2013; Westwood et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2017).

Influence on Disordered Eating Behaviour and Development of AN. Low connectedness was identified as a risk factor for the development and maintenance of AN. Feelings of disconnection and lack of feelings of social safeness are associated with shame and social comparison, which in turn trigger disordered eating behaviours (Ferreira et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2017). For instance, people with a lack of positive rearing memories report experiencing lower current feelings of connectedness to others, which makes them more prone to encounter shame and engage in social comparison, influencing eating behaviour negatively (Ferreira et al., 2017). As highlighted in the study by Pinto et al. (2017), there seems to be an indirect, albeit important role of connectedness to others concerning eating behaviour. The study found that social safeness has an indirect effect on ED behaviour severity through two mechanisms, namely inflexible eating rules and body appreciation. On the one hand, this means that women who experience higher levels of connectedness to others are more likely to appreciate their body regardless of its shape and also have more flexible eating rules, resulting in a decreased likelihood of adopting ED behaviours. On the other hand, the results indicate that being in an unsafe social environment (i.e., low feelings of connectedness to others) represents a risk factor for engagement in disordered eating patterns. This engagement should assert control over bodily appearance thereby enhancing the social status within the group (Pinto et al., 2017).

The importance of connectedness goes beyond social interactions and includes a sense of belonging and identity as well. Cheney (2013) found disordered eating like purging and restricting to serve as a coping mechanism for dealing with feelings of disconnection from others and negative life experiences. Furthermore, these feelings can drive individuals to engage in ED behaviours because they use them as a way to reconstruct their identity as it provides a sense of control and meaning in times of low levels of connectedness to others. Similarly, participants of the study by Patching and Lawler (2009) indicated that they experienced feelings of not belonging during childhood and adolescence which inhibited the development of a stable self. It was shown that engaging in disordered eating seemed to help in addressing these issues and provided the participants with a sense of connectedness to others and the self. Corresponding to this, Huemer et al. (2012) found that girls who developed AN had lower levels of perceived emotional connectedness before the onset of their illness, compared to their healthy sisters, and experienced significantly lower levels of connectedness within their families at the time of measurement. These results suggest that low levels of connectedness to others not only pose a risk factor for the development of AN but also play an important role in the course of the disease.

Connectedness as a Perpetuating Factor. Several studies underscore the value of reaching higher levels of connectedness for people with AN by investigating the behaviours they engaged in to foster these connections. Westwood et al. (2016) found that all of their participants had difficulties in their friendships before the onset of AN. These challenges included feeling lonely and disconnected from peers and problems with initiating contact. Feelings of loneliness may exacerbate disordered eating behaviours as participants explained that AN acts like a comforting blanket by giving them more confidence in social situations (Westwood et al., 2016). Social situations become easier when anorectic thoughts are present, thereby diminishing space for worries about what others might think. Participants argued that the ED is seen as something positive that enables connection to others. Similarly, connectedness acts as a perpetuating factor in inpatient settings as presented by Warin (2006). In this specific setting, engagement in disordered eating helped people with AN to create feelings of connectedness to other patients

which reinforced their identity within the group. Hence, the desire to belong could perpetuate disordered eating, as patients in this sample already showed symptoms of AN, and were in an inpatient setting and yet the desire to belong led to exacerbated symptoms of the ED. Thus, leaving AN would mean losing the feelings of connectedness that the anorectic behaviours formed between individuals (Warin, 2006). The role of the desire to belong in driving individuals to engage in disordered eating is also highlighted by participants in Cheney's study from 2013. For instance, feelings of disconnection trigger the engagement in disordered eating behaviour to reach a body that provides greater social acceptance and fosters feelings of connectedness. Consequently, the longing for connectedness to others can perpetuate AN by reinforcing disordered eating.

Corresponding to this, two other studies identified that disordered eating acted as a tool to enhance connectedness to others and thereby sustained or exacerbated anorectic symptoms (Patching & Lawler, 2009; Bers et al., 2013). Bers et al. (2013) found that one underlying factor for the rejection of food is a desperate longing for connection and attention from the mother. Aligned with this, Patching & Lawler (2009) highlight that the absence of connectedness in childhood and adolescence is linked to restrictive eating because disordered eating is seen as a tool to assert control and reestablish connectedness. Moreover, the authors stressed that a lack of connectedness combined with problematic familiar relationships inhibited the development of a stable sense of the self. To solve this issue girls engaged in disordered eating to reestablish a connection to the self and others, in order to develop a stronger sense of self (Patching & Lawler, 2009). Therefore, due to an overall lack of connectedness to the self and others, no stable identity can be developed, and ED behaviours keep being perpetuated to overcome this issue.

Discussion

The goal of the current scoping review was to explore the extent of evidence demonstrating the role of connectedness for people with AN. Overall, the findings suggest that connectedness, including all three dimensions, can act as a protective factor for people suffering from AN. Higher levels of connectedness were found to have a positive impact on eating behaviour and recovery. However, connectedness was also found to be a risk factor, more specifically, low levels of connectedness. Especially low connectedness to others can favour the development of AN and perpetuate it by reinforcing disordered eating behaviour to enhance feelings of connectedness to others.

Overall, the relationship between connectedness and AN seems to be multifaceted, with both high and low levels of connectedness impacting the course of the disease. Eight of the included studies consistently indicated that low levels of connectedness to others can be a risk factor for the maintenance and development of AN (Warin, 2006; Patching & Lawler, 2009; Huemer et al., 2012; Bers et al., 2013; Cheney, 2013; Westwood et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2017). Some aspects of the consequences and mechanisms of low levels of connectedness to others that have been examined in the included studies are: enhanced engagement in disordered eating behaviour (Westwood et al., 2016), using disordered eating as a coping mechanism to counteract feelings of disconnection or as a way to reconstruct identity (Warin, 2006) and higher levels of shame and social comparison (Ferreira et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2017). To determine if an individual is at risk due to experiencing low levels of connectedness, a universal measurement would be beneficial. Although one study by Bers et al. (2013) used the Differentiation-Relatedness Scale developed by Diamond, Blatt, Stayner, and Kaslow in 1991, which shows good psychometric properties in assessing the degree of differentiation and relatedness (Beheydt et al., 2020), the other included quantitative studies used no connectedness specific measurements. This indicates that a universal measurement instrument for connectedness might be missing. Watts et al. (2022) developed the Watts Connectedness Scale to measure connectedness as a construct. However, this scale was used and established in the context of psychedelic therapy. Therefore, it would be interesting for future research to investigate whether this scale can be used in other contexts to measure levels of connectedness. Moreover, three included studies highlighted that low levels during childhood and adolescence can be a risk for developing AN later in life (Patching & Lawler, 2009; Huemer et al., 2012; Ferreira et al., 2017). Although measures used in these studies to assess levels of connectedness were inconsistent and might led to mixed results, overall, low levels of connectedness to others in childhood and adolescence do have an impact on the development of AN. More research on other factors such as family dynamics, cultural factors, and mental health (e.g., Foster et al., 2017; Diendorfer et al., 2021) will provide a greater understanding of how and why low levels of connectedness develop during childhood and adolescence, thereby providing opportunities to inform the theoretical understanding of AN further.

Much of the existing research investigating the role of connectedness for people with AN focuses predominantly on connectedness to others, compared to the other two dimensions of

connectedness to the self and the world. Generally, the majority of the included studies showed that higher levels of connectedness, regardless of which dimension, have positive benefits for people with AN (Trondalen, 2003; Patching & Lawler, 2009; Huemer et al., 2012; Westwood et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2017; Piot et al., 2019; Brinchmann & Krvavac, 2021; Naess & Kolnes, 2022). Individuals with higher connectedness to others exhibit more body appreciation (Pinto et al., 2017), more adaptive eating behaviours (Ferreira et al., 2017) and even a lower risk of AN development (Huemer et al., 2012). This aligns with other research highlighting the importance of family connectedness as a protective factor (Townsend & McWhirter, 2005) and the positive impact of body appreciation on eating behaviour (Marta-Simões et al., 2021). Fewer studies explored the dimensions of connectedness to the self and connectedness to the world. The ones that did, found positive impacts on, for example, meaningmaking beyond the ED, facilitation of choosing the recovery process, and strengthening emotional and bodily awareness (Trondalen, 2003; Patching & Lawler, 2009; Naess & Kolnes, 2022), which also corresponds to previous research on the positive impact of connectedness to nature (e.g., Trigwell et al., 2014), which is considered to be a part of the overall factor "connectedness" (Watts et al., 2022). Moreover, the current findings support other research on the importance of connection to the self for overall mental health (e.g., Klussmann et al., 2020). However, there are currently too few studies to draw reliable conclusions. There is limited exploration of the consequences of low levels of connectedness to the self and the world for people with AN. Accordingly, future research should investigate the effects of low, as well as high levels of connectedness to the self and the world to provide a better understanding of how these dimensions have an impact on AN.

The current research further demonstrates, due to the lack of existing research on this topic, a constraint in the complexity of the designs of included studies. More than half of the included studies are qualitative, and the quantitative studies employed a cross-sectional design. Even though qualitative data gives a nuanced insight into the personal experiences of connectedness of people with AN, it is naturally subjective and context-specific, limiting the generalisability of findings (Fossey et al., 2002). Since the surveys included in the cross-sectional studies measured the variable of interest at one single point in time, it is difficult to detect changes over time and draw conclusions regarding causality (Setia, 2016). Thus, more longitudinal design studies can help track changes in connectedness for people with AN over

time, and how these might influence the development and maintenance of AN (Caruana et al., 2015). Moreover, the reviewed studies did not exactly cover the effectiveness of connectedness in the treatment of AN. While the included literature demonstrates that the different dimensions of connectedness play a role for people with AN, there is a lack of studies investigating how enhancing connectedness influences treatment outcomes for this illness. Additionally, most of the samples of the included studies were rather small and mainly female, which limits the generalisability of the findings as they cannot be generalised to male individuals. This leaves the question open if there are any differential effects regarding the dimensions and experienced levels of connectedness. Although men are less affected by AN than women (Clemente-Suárez et al., 2023), more research across varying populations is needed to fully grasp the theoretical implications of connectedness for AN. Additionally, larger samples will be helpful to identify more specific patterns of connectedness regarding AN.

The fact that only 12 studies were identified to fit with the topic of the current review, despite no specific time limit in publications, indicates a scarcity of research in this field. While the importance of connectedness for people with AN is noticeable, this scarcity highlights that, at this point, there is not enough evidence to recommend that connectedness should be part of AN treatment conclusively. Theoretical implications of the findings hint at the potential of connectedness, including all three dimensions, to contribute to a wider focus of AN treatment on, for example, emotional awareness, embodiment, encouraging hope and meaning-making beyond the ED, instead of mainly focusing on weight gain, as it is criticised by other recent research (e.g., Isaksson et al., 2021; van Bree et al., 2023). Consequently, more research in this field is needed to expand the evidence and explore the potential of implementing connectedness as part of treatment for AN. Further, it would be insightful to find out if there is an interplay between the three dimensions, i.e., whether an increase in one dimension also affects one or both of the other dimensions. This scoping review found indications that this might be the case, as illustrated by Pinto et al. (2017), that current feelings of connectedness to others positively affect bodily awareness and attitude towards the self, indicating an increase in self-connectedness. However, future research is needed to investigate the potential interrelationships between the dimensions and what the underlying factors for this might be, in more detail.

Limitations and Strengths

27

The current scoping review has some limitations. To start with, the selected search strategy could be seen as a potential limitation since it focused on systematically searching three databases only, not considering other methods like snowballing, for example (Wohlin et al., 2022). Since these databases have a certain focus, relevant articles might not have been displayed, potentially neglecting additional valuable sources of information. Even though this method is characterised by allowing good replicability, the search might have been less exploratory (Wohlin et al., 2022). Overall, the small number of included studies reflects an important research gap and further underscores the importance of further research on the role of connectedness for AN. Another limitation addresses the research process, as well as, the analysing and charting of data, which was done by a single researcher. Ideally, this should be done by at least two researchers or a research team to limit the amount of personal biases that can influence the review process during all stages (Levac et al., 2010). The lack of quality assessment of included studies within this review can be seen as another limitation. However, this is in accordance with the current guidelines for scoping reviews, since the nature of a scoping review aims to provide an overview and synthesis of the extent of the evidence and does not necessarily include quality appraisal (Tricco et al., 2018). However, conducting a quality assessment in this field of research can be of great potential to enhance the credibility of findings, which will help to bring this area of research further. Despite these limitations, this review provided meaningful contributions by emphasising the important yet underexplored role of connectedness for people with AN, thereby identifying an important research gap. Moreover, it sheds light on the impact of high and low levels of connectedness for the development, maintenance and recovery from AN. In the future, there should be more research on the topic of connectedness, including all three dimensions, not only connectedness to others, to determine to which extent connectedness can be a helpful addition to the current theoretical understanding and treatment of AN.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this scoping review aimed at exploring the extent of evidence concerning the role of connectedness for people with anorexia. Based on the systematic search and analysis of available literature on this topic, it can be concluded that to the researcher's knowledge, this scoping review is the first to examine connectedness including all three dimensions in relation to AN. The results show that, although connectedness plays an important role for people with AN, there is yet not enough research conducted in this field. The findings suggest that higher levels of connectedness across all its dimensions act as a protective factor, positively influencing eating behaviour, attitude towards self and recovery processes, whereas low levels of connectedness are associated with a higher risk of the development and maintenance of AN. However, due to the scarcity of evidence, it is yet not possible to draw conclusions regarding the implementation and consideration of connectedness in the treatment of AN. This highlights the importance of developing a universal measurement tool to examine the effectiveness of connectedness for people with AN. Further, a better understanding of the influence and consequences of high and low levels of connectedness across all three dimensions can enable a more comprehensive insight into the development, maintenance, and recovery of AN which will help to understand its implications for the treatment of AN in more detail.

References

- Aromataris, E., Lockwood, C., Porritt, K., Pilla, B., & Jordan, Z. (2024). *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. Retrieved March 13, 2024, from https://doi.org/10.46658/JBIMES-24-01
- Beheydt, L. L., van Liefferinge, D., Lowyck, B., Schrijvers, D., Sabbe, B., & Luyten, P. (2020).
 Levels of relatedness and self-definition in young adults: Associations with psychopathology and interpersonal functioning. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, *37*(3), 232–240.
 https://doi.org/10.1037/PAP0000297
- Bers, S. A., Besser, A., Harpaz-Rotem, I., & Blatt, S. J. (2013). An empirical exploration of the dynamics of anorexia nervosa: Representations of self, mother, and father. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, 30(2), 188–209. https://doi.org/10.1037/A0032512
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706QP063OA
- Brinchmann, B. S., & Krvavac, S. (2021). "Breaking down the wall" patients' and families' experience of multifamily therapy for young adult women with severe eating disorders. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 9(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-021-00412-w
- Buser, J. K., & Pertuit, T. L. (2019). Spiritual transcendence, religious affiliation and anorexia symptoms. *Journal Review of Addictions and Offender Counseling*, Volume 4: Best Practices. Wipf and Stock.
 https://books.google.de/books?id=Q5CEDwAAQBAJ&dq=Buser,+J.+K.,+%26+Pertuit,+T.
 +L.+(2019).+Spiritual+transcendence,+religious+affiliation+and+anorexia+symptoms.++Jo

urnal+Review+of+Addictions+and+Offender+Counseling,+Volume+4:++Best+Practices.&l r=&source=gbs_navlinks_s

- Carter, J. C., Kelly, A. C., & Norwood, S. J. (2012). Interpersonal problems in anorexia nervosa: Social inhibition as defining and detrimental. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 53(3), 169–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PAID.2012.02.020
- Caruana, E. J., Roman, M., Hernández-Sánchez, J., & Solli, P. (2015). Longitudinal studies. *Journal* of Thoracic Disease, 7(11), E537. https://doi.org/10.3978/J.ISSN.2072-1439.2015.10.63
- Castellini, G., Cassioli, E., Rossi, E., Mancini, M., Ricca, V., & Stanghellini, G. (2022). Bridging cognitive, phenomenological and psychodynamic approaches to eating disorders. *Eating and*

Weight Disorders - Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity, 27, 2273–2289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-022-01379-6

- Cheney, A. M. (2013). Altering the social: An ethnography of disordered eating among southern italian women. *Food and Foodways*, 21(2), 87–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/07409710.2013.792191
- Clemente-Suárez, V. J., Ramírez-Goerke, M. I., Redondo-Flórez, L., Beltrán-Velasco, A. I., Martín-Rodríguez, A., Ramos-Campo, D. J., Navarro-Jiménez, E., Yáñez-Sepúlveda, R., & Tornero-Aguilera, J. F. (2023). The impact of anorexia nervosa and the basis for non-pharmacological interventions. *Nutrients 2023, Vol. 15, Page 2594*, 15(11), 2594. https://doi.org/10.3390/NU15112594
- Colquhoun, H. L., Levac, D., O'Brien, K. K., Straus, S., Tricco, A. C., Perrier, L., Kastner, M., & Moher, D. (2014). Scoping reviews: Time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 67(12), 1291–1294. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLINEPI.2014.03.013
- Dalle Grave, R., El Ghoch, M., Sartirana, M., & Calugi, S. (2016). Cognitive behavioral therapy for anorexia nervosa: An update. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 18(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11920-015-0643-4
- DeJong, H., Broadbent, H., & Schmidt, U. (2012). A systematic review of dropout from treatment in outpatients with anorexia nervosa. *The International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 45(5), 635–647. https://doi.org/10.1002/EAT.20956
- Diendorfer, T., Seidl, L., Mitic, M., Mittmann, G., Woodcock, K., & Schrank, B. (2021).
 Determinants of social connectedness in children and early adolescents with mental disorder: A systematic literature review. *Developmental Review*, 60, 100960.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DR.2021.100960
- Ferreira, C., Silva, C., Mendes, A. L., & Trindade, I. A. (2017). How do warmth, safeness and connectedness-related memories and experiences explain disordered eating? *Eating and Weight Disorders: EWD*, 23(5), 629–636. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40519-017-0449-Y
- Fossey, E., Harvey, C., McDermott, F., & Davidson, L. (2002). Understanding and evaluating qualitative research. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 36(6), 717– 732. https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1440-1614.2002.01100.X

- Foster, C. E., Horwitz, A., Thomas, A., Opperman, K., Gipson, P., Burnside, A., Stone, D. M., & King, C. A. (2017). Connectedness to family, school, peers, and community in socially vulnerable adolescents. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 81, 321. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHILDYOUTH.2017.08.011
- Glasofer, D. R., Muratore, A. F., Attia, E., Wu, P., Wang, Y., Minkoff, H., Rufin, T., Walsh, B. T., & Steinglass, J. E. (2020). Predictors of illness course and health maintenance following inpatient treatment among patients with anorexia nervosa. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 8(1), 69. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-020-00348-7
- Huemer, J., Haidvogl, M., Mattejat, F., Wagner, G., Nobis, G., Fernandez-Aranda, F., Collier, D. A., Treasure, J. L., & Karwautz, A. F. K. (2012). Perception of autonomy and connectedness prior to the onset of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: A retrospective study in sister pairs discordant for an eating disorder. *Zeitschrift Für Kinder- und Jugendpsychiatrie und Psychotherapie*, 40(1), 61–68. https://doi.org/10.1024/1422-4917/A000150
- Isaksson, M., Ghaderi, A., Wolf-Arehult, M., Öster, C., & Ramklint, M. (2021). Sharing and connecting with others – Patient experiences of radically open dialectical behavior therapy for anorexia nervosa and overcontrol: A qualitative study. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 9(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-021-00382-z
- Jansingh, A., Danner, U. N., Hoek, H. W., & van Elburg, A. A. (2020). Developments in the psychological treatment of anorexia nervosa and their implications for daily practice. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 33(6), 534–541. https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.00000000000642
- Klussman, K., Nichols, A. L., Langer, J., & Curtin, N. (2020). Connection and disconnection as predictors of mental health and wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 10(2), 89– 100. https://doi.org/10.5502/IJW.V10I2.855
- Leonidas, C., & Dos Santos, M. A. (2014). Social support networks and eating disorders: An integrative review of the literature. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 10, 915–927. https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S60735
- Leppanen, J., Tosunlar, L., Blackburn, R., Williams, S., Tchanturia, K., & Sedgewick, F. (2021). Critical incidents in anorexia nervosa: Perspectives of those with a lived experience. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/S40337-021-00409-5

- Levac, D., Colquhoun, H., & O'Brien, K. K. (2010). Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*, 5(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-5-69
- Loeb, K. L., & Le Grange, D. (2009). Family-based treatment for adolescent eating disorders: Current status, new applications and future directions. *International Journal of Child and Adolescent Health*, 2(2), 243. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2828763/
- Marta-Simões, J., Tylka, T. L., & Ferreira, C. (2021). Adolescent girls' body appreciation: Influences of compassion and social safeness, and association with disordered eating. *Eating* and Weight Disorders, 27(4), 1359–1366. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-021-01274-6
- McIntosh, V. V., Bulik, C. M., Mckenzie, J. M., Luty, S. E., & Jordan, J. (2000). Interpersonal psychotherapy for anorexia nervosa. *The International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 27(2), 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1098-108x(200003)27:2<125::aid-eat1>3.0.co;2-4
- Meneguzzo, P., Collantoni, E., Bonello, E., Busetto, P., Tenconi, E., & Favaro, A. (2020). The predictive value of the early maladaptive schemas in social situations in anorexia nervosa. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 28(3), 318–331. https://doi.org/10.1002/ERV.2724
- Munn, Z., Peters, M. D. J., Stern, C., Tufanaru, C., McArthur, A., & Aromataris, E. (2018).
 Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *18*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x
- Naess, C. R., & Kolnes, L. J. (2022). A preliminary exploration of experiences of integrating the body in the self in two women with anorexia nervosa in view of phenomenological conceptualisations. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 10(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-022-00675-x
- National Association of Anorexia Nervosa and Associated Disorders (2024). *Eating Disorder Statistics*. Retrieved July 15, 2024, from https://anad.org/eating-disorder-statistic/
- Neale, J., & Hudson, L. D. (2020). Anorexia nervosa in adolescents. *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 81(6). https://doi.org/10.12968/hmed.2020.0099
- Oldershaw, A., Startup, H., & Lavender, T. (2019). Anorexia nervosa and a lost emotional self: A psychological formulation of the development, maintenance, and treatment of anorexia nervosa. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00219

- Patching, J., & Lawler, J. (2009). Understanding women's experiences of developing an eating disorder and recovering: A life-history approach. *Nursing Inquiry*, 16(1), 10–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1440-1800.2009.00436.X
- Pinto, C., Ferreira, C., Mendes, A. L., & Trindade, I. A. (2017). Social safeness and disordered eating: Exploring underlying mechanisms of body appreciation and inflexible eating. *Eating* and Weight Disorders: EWD, 22(2), 303–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40519-017-0384-Y
- Piot, M. A., Gueguen, J., Michelet, D., Orri, M., Köenig, M., Corcos, M., Cadwallader, J. S., & Godart, N. (2019). Personal recovery of young adults with severe anorexia nervosa during adolescence: A case series. *Eating and Weight Disorders*, 25(4), 867–878. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-019-00696-7
- Ramjan, L. M., Smith, B. W., Miskovic-Wheatley, J., Pathrose, S. P., & Hay, P. J. (2024). Social support for young people with eating disorders - An integrative review. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*. https://doi.org/10.1111/INM.13363
- Schmidt, U., Wade, T. D., & Treasure, J. (2014). The Maudsley model of anorexia nervosa treatment for adults (MANTRA): Development, key features, and preliminary evidence. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 28(1), 48–71. https://doi.org/10.1891/0889-8391.28.1.48
- Setia, M. S. (2016). Methodology series module 3: Cross-sectional studies. *Indian Journal of Dermatology*, *61*(3), 261. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5154.182410
- Tiller, J. M., Sloane, G., Schmidt, U., Troop, N., Power, M., & Treasure, J. L. (1997). Social support in patients with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *The International journal of eating disorders*, 21(1), 31–38. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1098-108x(199701)21:1<31::aideat4>3.0.co;2-4
- Townsend, K. C., & McWhirter, B. T. (2005). Connectedness: A review of the literature with implications for counseling, assessment, and research. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 83(2), 191–201. https://doi.org/10.1002/J.1556-6678.2005.TB00596.X
- Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., Moher, D., Peters, M. D. J., Horsley, T., Weeks, L., Hempel, S., Akl, E. A., Chang, C., McGowan, J., Stewart, L. A., Hartling, L., Aldcroft, A., Wilson, M. G., Garritty, C., . . . Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-SCR): Checklist and explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *169*(7), 467–473. https://doi.org/10.7326/m18-0850

- Trigwell, J. L., Francis, A. J. P., & Bagot, K. L. (2014). Nature connectedness and eudaimonic wellbeing: Spirituality as a potential mediator. *Ecopsychology*, 6(4), 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2014.0025
- Trondalen, G. (2003). "Self–listening" in music therapy with a young woman suffering from anorexia nervosa. Nordic Journal of Music Therapy, 12(1), 3–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/08098130309478069
- Van Bree, E. S. J., Slof-Op't Landt, M. C. T., & van Furth, E. F. (2023). Predictors of recovery in eating disorders: A focus on different definitions. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 56(6), 1240–1245. https://doi.org/10.1002/EAT.23950
- Warin, M. J. (2006). Reconfiguring relatedness in anorexia. *Anthropology & Medicine*, *13*(1), 41–54. https://doi.org/10.1080/13648470500516147
- Watts, R., Kettner, H., Geerts, D., Gandy, S., Kartner, L., Mertens, L., Timmermann, C., Nour, M. M., Kaelen, M., Nutt, D., Carhart-Harris, R., & Roseman, L. (2022). The Watts Connectedness Scale: A new scale for measuring a sense of connectedness to self, others, and world. *Psychopharmacology*, 239(11), 3461–3483. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00213-022-06187-5
- Westwood, H., Lawrence, V., Fleming, C., & Tchanturia, K. (2016). Exploration of friendship experiences, before and after illness onset in females with anorexia nervosa: A qualitative study. *PloS One*, *11*(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0163528
- Williams, K., King, J., & Fox, J. R. E. (2016). Sense of self and anorexia nervosa: A grounded theory. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*, 89(2), 211–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/PAPT.12068
- Wohlin, C., Kalinowski, M., Romero Felizardo, K., & Mendes, E. (2022). Successful combination of database search and snowballing for identification of primary studies in systematic literature studies. *Information and Software Technology*, 147. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INFSOF.2022.106908
- Zipfel, S., Giel, K. E., Bulik, C. M., Hay, P., & Schmidt, U. (2015). Anorexia nervosa: Aetiology, assessment, and treatment. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 2(12), 1099–1111. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00356-9

Appendix A

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for

Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	2
INTRODUCTION		· · · ·	
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	3-7
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	7
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	7
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	8
Information sources*	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	7-8
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	7-8
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	8-9
Data charting process‡	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	9-10
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	9
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe	Not done

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
		the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	9-10
RESULTS			
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	9
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	10-20
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	Not done
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	11-20
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	9-24
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	24-27
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	27-28
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	28-29
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

* Where *sources of evidence* (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

[†] A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote). [‡] The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (6) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JBI guidance (4, 5) refer to the

[‡] The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (6) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JBI guidance (4, 5) refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

§ The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 and 19 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

From: Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMAScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169:467–473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.