On-Site Cable Waste Management During the Construction of Energy Networks

A THESIS DISSERTATION

Submitted to the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Management in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.) in Civil Engineering

by

Isabela Zurita Proano S265255

Supervised by

Leon olde Scholtenhuis, Koen Siers, Mechiel van Manen

University of Twente and Siers Groep, Netherlands

July 2024

Table Of Contents

Summary

The Netherlands is undergoing an energy transition while striving to be sustainable. This shift in energy sources and overall energy production requires a rapid reconstruction of energy infrastructure. New forms of electrification will replace fossil fuel gas with electricity and transform for connections to renewable sources. Due to environmental goals for 2050, these changes need to be implemented in twenty years, a work that previously would have taken forty years (Circular Manager, 2024).

The accelerated change places stress on the environment, particularly because the cables used for electricity generation are made of scarce materials like copper and aluminum. Despite this, efficient waste management in the construction of electricity projects has not been thoroughly investigated. This report aims to analyze how cable surplus is managed during the construction of energy networks considering the amount of new infrastructure that will be implemented in the future with electricity transported through cables.

The investigation employs an ideal framework based on Ajayi et al. (2017) on Critical Management Practices Influencing On-site Waste Minimization in Construction Projects. The framework provides twelve measures that represent an ideal successful waste generation based on the four components of contract management, waste segregation, material reuse, and logistics management. The goal of this framework is to describe what is the best way to perform waste management on-site. The analysis will study the framework in comparison with the projects for constructing energy networks conducted between Alliander and Siers from the energy network construction sector. The application into the construction of energy networks so it is possible to minimize waste and reduce environmental impact from the industry.

This qualitative research used triangulation to increase the validity of findings, meaning that data was collected from multiple sources. The study gathered 3 observations on-site construction areas, 11 interviews with professionals from different backgrounds in the supply chain, 19 national policies, and 33 company documents.

The data was categorized, clustering it into groups based on the different measures from the framework. Grouping the methods was done by identifying patterns and conducting a thematic analysis, from which data was categorized into the measures from the framework. This approach helped conclude the current situation regarding waste management of cables in the construction of energy networks. Further conclusions on the success of waste management were drawn by comparing the situation described in the literature. The results indicated that seven out of twelve measures were properly applied by Alliander and Siers.

Contract management is not practiced as ideally presented in the framework. *The waste target set for sub-trades [M 1]* is not utilized. There are special conditions for the disposal and treatment of materials, but these lack specifications on how to apply them. The targets could be clearer and include incentivization and penalties for the workers, but they do not. *Recycling targets set for every project [M 2]* are not directly set by the client to the contractor. Governmental documents are used by each company, but there are no goals for recycling materials on a project basis. The projects between Alliander and Siers, *sub-contractors are*

responsible for waste disposal [M 3]. Workers are responsible for collecting and disposing of waste and then transporting it for processing and recycling by a designated company.

Waste segregation is implemented similarly to the ideal situation, with *dedicated space for storing waste [M 4] and specific waste skips for different materials [M 5].* Workers on-site properly divide materials, with cables disposed of in specific skips and processed by the metal recycling company.

Material reuse is not practiced in the projects due to the potential risk of damaging the quality of the energy network. While there is no *reuse of off-cut materials [M 8] and the maximization of reuse of materials on-site [M 9]* is not entirely practical, workers have been *detecting construction activities that can admit reusable materials [M 6] and use reclaimed materials [M 7].* There is interest in implementing scrap cables in other projects, developing new ways to connect cables, and exploring other activities where materials reuse is possible. Additionally, various types of reclaimed materials are used throughout the energy network projects, such as sustainable cables used in the construction and recovering unused materials after project completion.

Material logistic management is achieved using *safe material storage facilities [M 10] and central areas for storage [M 12].* The available storage facilities are metal containers that protect cables from theft and damage. Although storage areas may not be centrally located on the construction site due to issues with public and private area storing, Siers finds the appropriate locations to leave the storage containers. The areas are also important for the *adequate delivery and movement of materials [M 11]*. Material movement efficiency can be improved by planning materials per activity and implementing just-in-time delivery.

Further analysis of the efficiency in waste management suggested innovation and legal documentation to enhance waste minimization on-site construction of energy networks. Although the management of reuse and recycling is crucial for reducing landfill waste and minimizing environmental impact, the investigation discovered that waste reduction should be focused on reducing waste. With less additional waste on-site, there are fewer requirements for workers, a rescued need for space, lower transportation costs and emissions, and less waste is generated.

Nevertheless, based on the analysis of current waste management practices, it can be concluded that the current situation can be further developed for more efficient cable waste management, in the construction of energy networks.

For contract Management, the measures such as legal documents to reduce waste are not applied. Contracts should include specific targets and incentives related to waste reduction and recycling to encourage collaboration in waste minimization. Reusing materials is not implemented as effectively as in the ideal situation. Further experimentation and development on integrating reused cut-off materials into the network and refurbishing cables can be investigated. Finally, Material Logistics Management improvements can be made in the delivery and movement of materials on-site. Efficient logistics can reduce surplus waste and improve the overall efficiency of the construction process.

Samenvatting

Nederland ondergaat een energietransitie terwijl het streeft naar duurzaamheid. Deze verschuiving in energiebronnen en de totale energieproductie vereist een snelle reconstructie van de energie-infrastructuur. Nieuwe vormen van elektrificatie zullen fossiele brandstof gas vervangen door elektriciteit en verbindingen transformeren naar hernieuwbare bronnen. Vanwege milieudoelen voor 2050 moeten deze veranderingen binnen twintig jaar worden geïmplementeerd, een werk dat eerder veertig jaar zou hebben geduurd (Circulair Manager, 2024).

De versnelde verandering legt druk op het milieu, vooral omdat de kabels die voor elektriciteitsopwekking worden gebruikt, zijn gemaakt van schaarse materialen zoals koper en aluminium. Desondanks is efficiënt afvalbeheer bij de bouw van elektriciteitsprojecten nog niet grondig onderzocht. Dit rapport heeft tot doel te analyseren hoe kabel overschotten worden beheerd tijdens de constructie van energienetwerken, rekening houdend met de hoeveelheid nieuwe infrastructuur die in de toekomst zal worden geïmplementeerd met elektriciteit die via kabels wordt getransporteerd.

Het onderzoek maakt gebruik van een ideaal kader gebaseerd op Ajayi et al. (2017) over Kritische Managementpraktijken die Invloed hebben op Afval Minimalisatie op de Bouwplaats in Bouwprojecten. Het kader biedt twaalf maatregelen die een ideale succesvolle afval minimalisatie vertegenwoordigen op basis van vier componenten: contractbeheer, afvalscheiding, hergebruik van materialen en logistiek beheer. Het doel van dit kader is om de beste manier te beschrijven om afvalbeheer op de bouwplaats uit te voeren. De analyse zal het kader bestuderen in vergelijking met de projecten voor de bouw van energienetwerken uitgevoerd tussen Alliander en Siers uit de sector van de bouw van energienetwerken. De toepassing in de bouw van energienetwerken moet het mogelijk maken om afval te minimaliseren en de milieu-impact van de industrie te verminderen.

Dit kwalitatieve onderzoek gebruikte triangulatie om de validiteit van de bevindingen te vergroten, wat betekent dat gegevens werden verzameld uit meerdere bronnen. De studie verzamelde gegevens uit 3 observaties op bouwplaatsen, 11 interviews met professionals uit verschillende achtergronden in de toeleveringsketen, 19 nationale beleidsdocumenten en 33 bedrijfsdocumenten.

De gegevens werden gecategoriseerd door ze in groepen te clusteren op basis van de verschillende maatregelen uit het kader. Het groeperen van de methoden werd gedaan door patronen te identificeren en thematische analyse uit te voeren, waarbij de gegevens werden gecategoriseerd in de maatregelen uit het kader. Deze benadering hielp conclusies te trekken over de huidige situatie met betrekking tot afvalbeheer van kabels in de bouw van energienetwerken. Verdere conclusies over het succes van het afvalbeheer werden getrokken door de situatie te vergelijken met de literatuur. De resultaten gaven aan dat zeven van de twaalf maatregelen correct werden toegepast door Alliander en Siers.

Contractbeheer wordt niet uitgevoerd zoals ideaal gepresenteerd in het kader. Afvaldoelen voor onderaannemers [M 1] worden niet gebruikt. Er zijn speciale voorwaarden voor de verwijdering en behandeling van materialen, maar deze missen specificatie over hoe ze moeten worden toegepast. De doelen zouden duidelijker kunnen zijn en prikkels en straffen voor de werknemers kunnen bevatten, maar dat doen ze niet. Recyclingdoelen die voor elk project zijn gesteld [M 2] worden niet rechtstreeks door de opdrachtgever aan de aannemer gesteld. Overheidsdocumenten worden door elk bedrijf gebruikt, maar er zijn geen doelen voor recycling van materialen op projectbasis. In de projecten tussen Alliander en Siers zijn onderaannemers verantwoordelijk voor afvalverwijdering [M 3]. Werknemers zijn verantwoordelijk voor het verzamelen en verwijderen van afval, dat vervolgens wordt verwerkt en gerecycled door een aangewezen bedrijf.

Afvalscheiding wordt op een vergelijkbare manier geïmplementeerd als in de ideale situatie, met speciale ruimtes voor het opslaan van afval [M 4] en specifieke afvalcontainers voor verschillende materialen [M 5]. Werknemers op de bouwplaats scheiden materialen correct, waarbij kabels in specifieke containers worden weggegooid en verwerkt door het metaalrecyclingbedrijf.

Hergebruik van materialen wordt niet toegepast in de projecten vanwege het potentiële risico van kwaliteitsverlies van het energienetwerk. Hoewel er geen hergebruik is van restmaterialen [M 8] en de maximalisatie van hergebruik van materialen op de bouwplaats [M 9] niet volledig praktisch is, hebben werknemers bouwactiviteiten gedetecteerd die herbruikbare materialen kunnen toestaan [M 6] en gebruiken ze teruggewonnen materialen [M 7]. Er is interesse in het implementeren van schrootkabels in andere projecten, het ontwikkelen van nieuwe manieren om kabels aan te sluiten en het verkennen van andere activiteiten waar hergebruik van materialen mogelijk is. Daarnaast worden verschillende soorten teruggewonnen materialen gebruikt in de energienetwerkprojecten, zoals duurzame kabels die worden gebruikt in de bouw en het terugwinnen van ongebruikte materialen na voltooiing van het project.

Materiaal logistiek beheer wordt bereikt door veilige opslagfaciliteiten voor materialen te gebruiken [M 10] en centrale opslagruimtes [M 12]. De beschikbare opslagfaciliteiten zijn metalen containers die kabels beschermen tegen diefstal en schade. Hoewel opslagruimtes mogelijk niet centraal gelegen zijn op de bouwplaats vanwege problemen met openbare en privé-opslagruimtes, vindt Siers de juiste locaties om de opslagcontainers te plaatsen. De ruimtes zijn ook belangrijk voor de adequate levering en verplaatsing van materialen [M 11], waarbij de efficiëntie van de materiaalbeweging kan worden verbeterd door materialen per activiteit te plannen en just-in-time levering te implementeren.

Verdere analyse van de efficiëntie in afvalbeheer suggereerde innovatie en juridische documentatie om afvalminimalisatie op de bouwplaats van energienetwerken te verbeteren. Hoewel het beheer van hergebruik en recycling cruciaal is voor het verminderen van stortafval en het minimaliseren van de milieu-impact, ontdekte het onderzoek dat afvalreductie de focus zou moeten zijn. Met minder extra afval op de bouwplaats zijn er minder vereisten voor werknemers, minder behoefte aan ruimte, lagere transportkosten en uitstoot, en wordt er minder afval gegenereerd.

Desalniettemin kan, op basis van de analyse van de huidige afvalbeheerpraktijken, worden geconcludeerd dat de huidige situatie verder kan worden ontwikkeld voor efficiënter kabelafvalbeheer in de bouw van energienetwerken.

Voor het contractbeheer worden de maatregelen zoals juridische documenten om afval te verminderen niet toegepast. Contracten zouden specifieke doelen en prikkels met betrekking tot afvalreductie en recycling moeten bevatten om samenwerking in afvalminimalisatie aan te moedigen. Hergebruik van materialen wordt niet zo effectief geïmplementeerd als in de ideale situatie. Verdere experimenten en ontwikkeling met betrekking tot het integreren van hergebruikte restmaterialen in het netwerk en het renoveren van kabels kunnen worden onderzocht. Tot slot kunnen er verbeteringen worden aangebracht in het logistiek beheer van materialen, de levering en verplaatsing van materialen op de bouwplaats. Efficiënte logistiek kan overtollig afval verminderen en de algehele efficiëntie van het bouwproces verbeteren.

Introduction

The construction industry is 40% of the world's consumption and approximately 50% of the greenhouse gas emissions in the European Union (EU). Materials commonly disposed of in landfills, such as concrete, steel, copper, and aluminum have a long-term negative impact on the environment (Dutta & Dagwar, 2024). Landfills produce harmful pollutants through the mixture of waste that affects the ecosystem. According to Papadaki et al. (2022), 80 to 70% of materials from construction end up as waste in landfills. The global climate crisis demands the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

According to the International Renewable Energy Agency, renewable technologies should account for 70% of the energy demand to reduce carbon emissions (IRENA, 2022). The abrupt change towards renewable energy implementation requires altering the existing infrastructures and connections between energy networks. The shift to using renewable energy can harm the environment through waste generation which increases land, water, and air pollution. The energy transition can be understood from the term "direct electrification", the process of substituting electricity from direct fossil fuels into renewable energy (Alliander, 2023). The goal of direct electrification between 2024 and 2035 is to complete 80 to 90% of the energy transition in the Netherlands (Alliander, 2023). The pressure comes from ambitious goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 55% by 2030 (Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy, 2019) and the Netherlands to operate 100% in renewable energies in 2050 (Integrated National and Climate Plan of the Netherlands, 2019).

Now that the energy transition and energy demand are growing, there is pressure on the energy networks and the infra consultant businesses to build fast and efficiently while also incorporating environmentally friendly and resource-efficient construction. In this industry, the main components for constructing underground energy networks are pipelines and cables. Siers is responsible for the construction of the operators of the energy network.

Siers specializes in underground infrastructure in the Netherlands, working in the gas, water, electricity, telecommunication, and heating sectors. In collaboration with their clients, the energy network owners, Siers construct the underground infrastructure. Alliander, one of the network owners in the Netherlands, is responsible for the operation and transportation of energy. These companies work together such that Alliander tenders projects to Siers, and provides the necessary materials for their execution.

Although these companies collaborate on the same projects, their waste management practices are not entirely understood by each other. Therefore, the current collaboration between Siers and Alliander in effective waste management will be analyzed to identify potential areas for improvement. This could have a significant impact on reducing waste and carbon emissions in the industry, given their responsibility for constructing and operating energy networks.

Waste generated in the construction of infrastructure in the energy sector can be produced from excess material on-site. The cause of the surplus material can result from over-ordering or the extraction of existing cables underground. The materials are disposed of despite having the potential to be reused and repurposed to extend their useful life. These strategies to prolong the

serviceable life can also help reduce waste and hence achieve successful waste management. The European Commission (2020) explains that material efficiency integrated with successful waste management can reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 80%.

The problem is that cable waste management is not sufficiently efficient when constructing energy networks. Therefore, comparing an ideal waste management framework from the literature to assess the waste management practices of Siers and Alliander construction projects can help identify potential improvements. The analysis can achieve waste minimization and align with reducing the greenhouse emissions and environmental impacts that energy infrastructure projects have on the environment.

This document will initially provide the theoretical background on the energy industry and describe the current problem encountered. It will then present the framework chosen for assessing the current waste management practices on-site in the construction of energy networks. The method of investigation and data collection will be presented to support the discussion on the findings of current waste management. Finally, the document will discuss the results and offer further suggestions for research.

Figure 1 - Electricity grid operators 2023 Siers Projects Road Map, slide 7

1.Energy Network in the **Netherlands**

The grid in the Netherlands consists of main energy plants generating high-voltage electricity (110kV-380kV), operated by TenneT. Through transformers located throughout the country, high-voltage electricity is converted to medium-voltage and distributed nationwide. Medium and high-voltage cables carry direct current (DC). From the sub-converter stations, energy is transformed and regulated to low voltage with alternating current (AC), which is the electricity entering households.

The Netherlands' electricity network is publicly funded and managed by seven independent companies responsible for operating and transporting energy (de Rio Merino et al., 2009). These companies are: 1. Enexis, 2. Liander (Alliander), 3. Stedin, 4. Westland Infra, 5. Rendo, 6. Coteq, and 7. TenneT TSO. The

responsibility for energy distribution is based on geographic territory, as illustrated in the accompanying figure, 2.1.1.

Alliander (Liander) manages 96,000 km of the energy, transporting 40TWh through 5.9 million connections. Although these companies are responsible for the network operation, construction is carried out with the collaboration of infrastructure contractors such as Siers. Alliander works with contractors through a tendering process that starts after identifying a need and sourcing a strategy. The process begins with determining specifications such as design and engineering, followed by negotiation and contracting with InfraConsults, and concludes with the purchase of materials. Alliander owns all cables, which are supplied by other companies, while contractors handle construction using their workers or subcontractors.

Contracts vary based on the actions expected from InfraConsult. Some projects include engineering by the InfraConsult, while others have predefined designs and procedures for the contractors to follow step by step. The project type and size determine whether Siers uses its personnel or subcontracts the work on-site. However, Siers' mechanics are always involved in grid connections while subcontractors handle excavation. All these stakeholders collaborate in the construction of the energy networks.

The need for collaboration and accelerated processes began with the Dutch government's decision to stop using and pumping gas. This decision required replacing gas with electricity, leading to significant growth and development of the current electricity infrastructure, including renewable energy. By 2025, the demand is expected to be around 100,000 km of cables, 670 high-voltage stations, and 5,000 mid-voltage stations with respective low-voltage connections. This all sums up the need for 11,00 football fields of space (Alliander, 2023).

The transition generates environmental impacts during construction, where waste from materials ordered is not used in the building process and disposed of $(AI-Haii \& Hamani, 2011)$. Waste minimization, prevention, and management should be carefully followed during the energy shift. Implementing the waste hierarchy (Appendix A) is key to successful waste management through reduce-reuse-recycle strategies (Zhang et al., 2022).

The best way to reduce environmental impact is by avoiding waste production from the beginning (Wand et al., 2014). Unnecessary waste in energy network construction often comes from surplus cables on-site, which are usually disposed of or returned to the material owners. Reuse and recycling once waste is generated reduces demand for new resources, transportation, and energy production (Al-Hajj & Iskandarani, 2015). The goal is to use all existing materials rather than sending them to landfills and need for purchasing new materials.

2. Problem statement

Unnecessary waste in the construction of energy networks primarily arises from the surplus cables. In energy network construction projects, the management of cable waste has not been thoroughly analyzed. Issues of over-supply and the extraction of cables during construction contribute to generating excess and unused waste on-site.

2.1 Theoretical Model for Effective Waste Management

Previous studies on construction waste management have focused on enhancing efficiency. Examples include waste management mapping by Shen et al., 2024, schematic diagrams for managing waste from different perspectives by Rani et al., 2022, and waste hierarchy investigations by Pier & Martinho (2019). While these are valuable for the construction industry, none have specifically addressed waste management on energy network construction sites.

Figure 2- Underlying measures for reducing waste through site management practices. (Ajayi et al., 2017) Figure 2, pg 337

However, Ajayi et al. (2017) have developed a framework for effective on-site waste management in the construction industry. Although this framework has not been directly applied to energy network construction, the principles and measures can potentially be adapted and implemented in such projects.

Ajayi et al. (2017) focus on identifying measures for efficient waste management rather than

providing diagrams for waste management execution. The report investigates waste management across various sectors of the construction industry, utilizing field studies and literature reviews to highlight effective strategies. Through statistics and exploratory factor analysis, they pinpoint essential techniques for minimizing waste on construction sites. Figure 2 illustrates the four components of effective site waste management encountered by Ajayi et al. (2017).

Ajayi et al. (2017) developed a framework (Appendix B) for the construction sector in general, but can also be helpful to assess the effectiveness of waste management practices at underground infrastructure projects such as in the energy network construction.

For this study, it is important to note that several measures from the original framework by Ajayi et al. (2017) were omitted. Out of 21 measures, 9 were excluded because they were not relevant or due to time constraints. For instance, all the design-related measures were disregarded because the analysis specifically focused on on-site cable waste. The investigation centered on physical waste, so measures such as ensuring fewer design changes during construction and following project drawing/design were not measured but superficially discussed by interviewees on the component of material logistic management. However, the measure of over-ordering (M 5 in Appendix B), was included in the discussion on adequate materials delivery and movement.

Additionally, three measures, such as preventing waste mixture with soil and setting up temporary bins for each building zone, were also excluded. These exceptions stem from the nature of network construction, where factors like distinct construction zones do not apply.

The updated framework, which applies to the research objective and analysis of waste management, is presented in Table 1, furthermore, the table aims to find and present the practices that will determine the extent to which cable waste management is efficient on-site during the construction of the energy networks.

3.Research Objective

The research objective is to analyze how effectively surplus cable during the construction of energy networks is managed, applying the framework developed by Ajayi et al. (2017). Given the problem statement, regarding surplus cable waste in energy network construction, the framework developed by Ajayi et al. (2017) appears suitable for evaluating the current on-site waste management effectiveness in this sector. Their research offers insight and measures that can serve as an ideal situation for successful waste management practices. By applying the framework derived from the literature, the research aims to evaluate and compare the waste management practices employed by Siers and Alliander. This analysis will focus particularly on cable waste management on-site, aiming to identify strengths and weaknesses in current practices.

The scope of waste examined will be limited to the physical surplus generated on-site, specifically focusing on leftover materials discarded during the construction phase. This includes old cables extracted from the ground, unused leftover materials, and cut-off cables, collectively referred to as surplus cables. The research will investigate the entire lifecycle of these cables on the construction site, including their procurement, delivery, utilization, and disposal processes.

Through this practice-oriented research, insights into existing strategies for managing surplus cable in energy infrastructure construction will be gained, facilitating effective improvements in waste minimization. This analysis is crucial for supporting Siers and Alliander in achieving environmental objectives, including reducing carbon emissions and enhancing sustainability throughout their supply chain.

4.Research Questions

From the components of the framework by Aliya et al. (2017), 4 research questions were developed to reach the research objective as presented in Table 2.

Table 2- Research Questions per component

5. Methodology

The investigation utilizes various forms of qualitative data: literature, on-site observations, documents, policies, and interviews with professionals from different areas of the supply chain. During the data collection, interviews were conducted in an informal approach, lasting between 30 to 60 minutes. This type of approach of interview asked questions in a conversation to get an overall sense of the interest and work in the company. The questions asked through the meeting were tailored to the expert's position and expertise, and aligned with the framework structure. Specific questions corresponding to each measure of the framework are outlined in Appendix C2.

This approach allowed professionals to discuss topics freely and provide detailed perspectives. Additionally, employees with similar positions, for example, the circular manager from Alliander which is involved in the procurement and CSRD development, the project controller from Siers also responsible for the CSRD, and the CSR(d) manager from TKF were asked the same question to compare data.

Depending on the logistics, meetings occurred in the company or via virtual platforms like Microsoft Teams. With consent from the interviewees, sessions were recorded and transcribed to ensure the accuracy and completeness of information. The participants were also requested to provide documentation such as contracts, presentations, reports, and spreadsheets, which were reviewed and categorized based on their relevance to the framework measures (Appendix D1).

The research is based on qualitative data, which involves organizing data from various sources (interviews, documents, policies, and observations) into groups based on the measures from the framework. Thematic analysis was implemented by reading through the data set and clustering data into measures. Once the data was clustered into the different measures chosen from the framework, it became possible to determine which measures were being practiced by Alliander and Siers in the construction of energy networks. This process allowed for a detailed understanding of current waste management practices and helped identify areas for improvement.

To validate the conclusions, triangulation was applied to ensure consistency across different data sources. This validation method involved finding two or more sources that will describe the same idea (e.g., Interviews 2, 8, 10, and 11, corroborated by Site Visits 1 and 2, noted the existence of designated waste sorting areas on projects).

After implementing the thematic approach to illustrate the on-site waste management measures currently in use, a comparative method was applied. The measures from the data set were compared to the ideal construction practices to evaluate the effectiveness of waste management in the context of an energy network. For instance, if the literature and the paper by Aliya et al. (2017) described Measure 2 as "properly managing integration throughout the supply chain ensures all workers have aligned performance and optimization targets," the way the supply chain worked together through the development of the CSRD (Sustainable Report) was compared. Additionally, statements such as "including recycling as a shared goal across the supply chain" and "integrated supply chain is necessary to prioritize recycling in waste management activities" were compared to the content of documents and interviews. This involved examining whether the workers had aligned performance and optimization targets, recycling as a shared goal, and prioritizing recycling in waste management within the project. Finally, conclusions were drawn on whether the projects by Siers and Alliander adhered to these principles.

Table 3 summarizes the information gathered from each participant and the data source of each measure. Further details on the documents and policies analyzed are presented in Appendix D.

6.Results and Findings

This chapter will present the comparison between the ideal type situation of waste management in literature, with the case study of constructing energy networks. Each category elaborates on the components 1-4 from the network with their corresponding measures. Then a conclusion on the waste management of energy projects between Siers and Alliander can be drawn from the results.

6.1 Component 1, Contact Management

Contract Management associates measures that concentrate on the development of documents and policies that will propel targets to minimize waste generation on-site.

Measure 1- Waste Target set for sub-trades

In the construction of energy networks, sub-trades, after being rendered to subcontractors, refer to a group of workers responsible for specific tasks in the project. These subcontractors can vary; for instance, Siers may act as a subcontractor under Alliander, responsible for on-site construction, while excavators may be subcontractors under Siers. Each operates under respective contracts. According to the literature, Measure 1, aims to assess whether clients provide documents or legal requirements to their contractors regarding waste. These targets can range from waste reduction objectives to initiatives promoting waste reduction.

Working Contracts for Sub Trades

Alliander typically works with a comprehensive contract with Siers, integrating logistics, cable routing, and engineering designs. In these situations in which Siers is following the plan step by step, it is because Alliander does not have enough capacity to achieve a project (Regional Manager, Int 11).

Siers engages subcontractors using two distinct contractual models, which are chosen based on the project type. In one contract, subcontractors are compensated based on the length of cable laying per day. Alternatively, subcontractors can be paid hourly, providing more flexibility in their work schedules. This arrangement significantly impacts waste management practices since workers' priorities differ based on their compensation structure. Subcontractors' inventions by cable length may prioritize speed and efficiency.

A three-step contract is adopted by Sies, integrating network owners' requirements obtained during tendering with their specific conditions for executions, named "Special Conditions for Execution of Works" (Appendix D1.1). The contract stipulates conditions for waste disposal and treatment (Appendix F), emphasizing material reuse and waste segregation being promoted by the client and contractor. The document further outlines requirements for waste management compliance set by the main client (network owner), such as segregating waste into waste skips, and surplus cables required to be returned to Alliander; this was confirmed by interviews (Int 2, 9, and 11). No detailed waste targets are presented in these documents. Additionally, the literature suggests that incorporating incentives or penalties related to waste management in contracts could potentially enhance efforts to reduce waste, and such provisions are not included for subcontractors in these arrangements.

Legal documents that focus on waste management within the "Special Conditions for Execution of Works'', present the expectations of the sub-trades on waste treatment. While these documents encourage waste management, they do not specify explicit targets for waste

reduction, reuse, or recycling. Contractual variations influence waste generation on-site, with varying payment methods.

Measure 2- Recycling target to be set for every project

This measure aims to analyze if stakeholders in the supply chain (Figure 3) set recycling goals in the project. Companies focusing on environmental practices can raise awareness and ensure all parties are conscious of material use (Al-Hajj & Iskandarani, 2015).

The relationship between recycling and waste management is explained in Appendix A.

Targets in Projects

When discussing project targets, managers often emphasize safety, revenue, quality, and costs, but do not mention recycling or waste management. None of the managers were aware of any specific recycling targets for their project. Even the Project Manager for larger projects described that there are no goals

related to recycling, highlighting that the primary focus is delivering the project to the client. According to insights from the Regional Manager and Project Manager (Int 8), project success is primarily measured by safety and revenue outcomes.

Dutch Regulations Followed by the Stakeholders in Supply Chain

Common policies used by everyone in the supply chain include environmental legislation outlining waste management protocols, aligned with the Waste Framework Directive (Appendix A). The CSRD is another mandatory document for non-governmental organizations in the Netherlands, requiring them to report on sustainability practices, including their recycling methods.

The environmental considerations are "obvious", with aims aligned with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (Regional Manager, Int 11). Both companies use these goals; Siers measures their Corporate Social Responsibilities (CSR) with SDGs, while Alliander describes their environmental goals with the SDGs in their annual report. Alliander and Siers produce monthly reports on their waste flows, aligned with the Environmental Plan. These reports classify waste to promote proper recycling by detailing what materials are accepted in each waste skip.

Furthermore, Alliander's waste management aligns with the Netherlands National Waste Management Plan (LAP3) (Circular Manager, Int 9) (Appendix E3 presents the three relevant chapters of the document). These policies focus on waste collection, recycling, incineration, and landfill activities. The conditions are followed by the recycling processors of cables. This is a procedure rather than a goal for a project.

The conditions for Acceptance from the Netherlands, the recycling methods for metals from cables include:

- 1. Recycling Aluminum: The main component must be aluminum, with no other
- 2. non-ferrous materials mixed in. Attachments of iron, rubber, or plastic are accepted but should be free from other waste.
- 3. Mixed Scrap Metals: These can include ferrous and non-ferrous materials. They may contain copper, aluminum, and steel in certain thicknesses.
- 4. Scrap Metals: These should be free from other waste when disposed of in the metal contains. The copper content should be at least 28% of the material for this.

Summary

None of the documents or interviews indicated specific recycling targets for the projects between Siers and Alliander. What is currently done with recycling, is following the regulations and laws prescribed by the government. Regarding the waste processor, HKS is responsible for the recycling of the metals in the capsules. However, recycling is not a target set by any client.

M3- Making sub-contractors responsible for waste disposal

Waste disposal involves collection, transportation, and disposal (e.g., landfill). Based on observations and interviews, different subcontractors handle the waste disposal on-site. According to the literature, successful waste management involves the meticulous documentation of waste flows and the use of checklists. Measure 3 will investigate who is responsible for waste disposal and whether weather checklists and waste disposal records are being applied.

Subcontractors are responsible for handling on-site waste disposal. Workers are expected to dispose of waste materials into designated skips and return any surplus cable left on reels to the network owner. The returned materials are documented in the "Material Bon" by Siers, specifying the materials that are returned. Specialized waste processors handle the processing of the collected waste. Pre Zero processes nonmetals and non-ferrous electronics, while HKS processes metals for recycling. HKS is particularly responsible for non-ferrous materials such as aluminum and copper, which serve as the conductors in cables. Cable weighing and sorting typically occur at the waste processor's facility, as explained by HKS's Logistic Administrator.

For the registration of waste, tools such as material checklists are not implemented. This lack of tools results in the absence of official checklists and documentation on material use and disposal. According to the team leader, the recording system of the cables is done once or twice a week by a Siers representative through the GPS Click. Waste is weighed and recorded by workers at project completion, as noted by the Logistics and Service Planner. These records are compiled into documents such as monthly reports with HKS and Alliander's annual waste disposal spreadsheet.

The procedure for on-site waste disposal varies depending on the project size and type. Workers dispose of old cables and scraps into the corresponding waste skips. When a skip is full or the project is over, the project planner contacts the waste processor via phone or email to arrange pick-up. The responsibilities of the waste processors are often outlined in contracts, resembling a subcontractor relationship. Pre Zero processes nonmetals and non-ferrous electronics, while HKS processes metals for recycling.

Subcontractors seem mostly responsible for on-site waste disposal. Workers follow client instructions to dispose of materials into designated skips and return surplus cables on reels. The waste processor, acting as a subcontractor of Alliander, is responsible for the procession of cables.

6.2 Component 2, Waste Segregation

Waste segregation is a mandatory on-site practice enforced by both the network owner and waste processors to facilitate the recycling and reuse of materials. Governmental policies mandate the maximal separation of cables into reusable materials. According to the team leader and the regional manager, incorrect waste segregation has not been an issue in their projects.

Measure 4- Dedicated Space for sorting waste

In the energy network projects carried out by Siers and Alliander, evidence showed that there was a dedicated space to sort waste. This area was separated from the construction zone, and its location depends on the availability of space near the project site, the surroundings, and the willingness of nearby residents to allow equipment placement (Int 8, 10, and 11). Finding a suitable location for this area can be challenging, especially in cities, due to potential opposition from residents or legal restrictions on where containers and waste skips can be placed. As a result, this space is often situated far from the construction site, complicating the mobility of materials to the construction site.

On-site, waste cables (including scraps, leftover cables, and old extracted cables) are collected in smaller trucks or vehicles and transported to a designated area (Appendix G and H present pictures and observation notes on-site visits 1 and 2). In this dedicated space, workers are responsible for disposing of the cables into metal skips or storing leftover cable reels. This area is exclusively used for the delivery, transport, storage, and disposal of materials from the construction site. This was seen in both site visits where the storage location was located in a separate area and expressed by. The storage and segregation area is outlined in Appendix G2.

However, an operational field is not always available because not all projects need a large area for waste disposal. In certain cases, waste is not sorted on-site due to the minor scope. When there is a small cyclic work, all waste is stored in Siers' bus and transferred to the headquarters of Siers. Once there, the materials are sorted into the network owner bins (Team Leader Work Manager, 10).

Regardless of the project size, there is a dedicated space for sorting waste. For large-scale projects such as the one visited, there is a dedicated space of land where waste skips, offices, parking, and storage units are located. If the project is smaller and dedicated space for storage or skips is needed, a smaller van transports the waste to a Siers' headquarters where it gets sorted in a specific area with waste skips from the network owner.

Measure 5- Provision of waste skips for specific materials

Waste skips for the material are ordered depending on the project. As mentioned beforehand waste skips are not always ordered, it depends on the scale of the project and skips are not necessarily on-site, but in the designated space.

The Project Planner is responsible for ordering waste skips based on the waste processor preferred by the network owner (Team Manager, Int 2). The common tool between stakeholders to order the waste skips which are through portals from the waste processors. Appendix I provides detailed information on the different portals from Pre Zero, HKS, and between Allainder and Siers. To order the appropriate skip size, Siers will estimate based on the length of cable work from the cable plan. Alliander makes an order from the HKS portal based on the length of cable extracted from the ground order skips. The type of materials expected to become waste is based on the project specifications.

The Team Leader mentioned that while skips can be emptied or changed upon request during the project, it's uncommon to change midway. This consistency is because the types of material used and disposed of during energy network construction remain the same throughout the project. Furthermore, from interviews and site visits, there was an estimate of three waste skips per project, one designated for cables. The cable skips are used to dispose of all types of cable, including scraps from new cables and old cables.

Ordering during the construction of energy networks is possible as expressed by the Team Leader. Throughout the project, the project managers onsite or the representatives from Siers can email or make calls when a skip has to be emptied and/or changed. However, it is uncommon to change the type of skips in the middle of the project according to the Team Leader. This is because the type of materials that are used and disposed of during the construction of an energy network does not vary with time.

During the construction of energy networks, waste skips are allocated specifically for different materials. The order is based on the projected waste volume on a project, from which one skip is dedicated to cables, including scraps and old extracted cables. For smaller projects that do not have on-site waste skips, the waste is transported to Siers' headquarters for further segregation.

6.3 Component 3, Material Reuse

Siers and Alliander prioritize using cables that are as long as possible to minimize connections and reduce the risk of network problems (Int 2, 7, 9, 10, 11). Connecting multiple short lengths of cable to create a full cable is avoided to maintain network stability and integrity. There is a general rule allowing low voltage cables a maximum length of 500 meters and medium voltage cables a maximum of 1000 meters. This approach makes the reuse of cables challenging which is why cable reuse can occur by reusing the cable materials or long unused cables in other projects.

Measure 6- Detect the Construction activities that can admit reusable materials from the construction.

This measure will analyze the activities involved in the construction of energy networks, with a focus on experts identifying potential opportunities for cable reuse.

The construction process for all network projects follows a standardized procedure:

- 1. *Excavation*: Trenches are excavated according to engineer specifications detailed in technical drawings.
- 2. *Cable Laying*: New cables are pulled into the trenches and laid next to the old cables.
- 3. *Cable Connections:* New cable connections are securely wrapped with impermeable material, adhering to design specifications.
- 4. *Substation Connection:* The new cable is connected to the substation, while the old cable is disconnected from the grid, ensuring continuous energy supply.
- 5. *Cable removal:* The old cable is removed by cutting it into smaller pieces to comply with legal requirements and facilitate handling due to the substantial weight of cables. The decommissioning process for the old cable varies based on factors such as alignment with drawings, whether the cable feeds from both sides of the transformer and the presence of the connections through the main cable.

The activity of cable laying to introduce reused cables is more delicate due to the mentioned risks by the experts. The risk of network malfunction outweighs the benefits of reusing cables in the network (Project Manager Large Projects, 7). The primary risk is related to the length and number of connections required to achieve the desired length, not necessarily because of the age of the cable. However, this is the only activity in which surplus waste (with adequate length) is implemented back into the system. More on the process will be discussed in Measure 7.

Measure 7- Use of reclaimed materials

The reclamation and reuse of cables in the construction of energy networks would be analyzed by focusing on the newly purchased cables, and the recycling of cables that reclaim raw materials.

Sustainable Material in Cables Purchased

Alliander aims for energy efficiency and sustainability, targeting 45% circular procurement by 2027. The goal is driven by the material demands during the energy transition and energy demand for the future. Therefore, Alliander prioritizes materials with high recycled content for their networks, evaluating the environmental impact based on recycling percentage and reusability.

During the tendering process, Alliander challenges suppliers to use renewable materials in their products, as claimed by the Circular Manager. This practice helps save CO2 and provides circular benefits for all stakeholders. To ensure purchased materials are circular and contain the correct components, Alliander uses a Material Passport. This document, requested from all

suppliers, tracks the circulatory purchases. Network owners such as Alliander, Enexis, Gasunie, and Stedin use this tool, with varying requirements based on the company.

The material passport provides a clear picture of the raw material composition and whether the product can be recycled or reused at the end of life. More on the sections and details of the document can be seen in Appendix K. Suppliers are required to fill out the table with information before orders are made to evaluate whether the materials used in the products align with Alliander's requirements.

Recycling Cable to Reclaim Raw Materials

As discussed in Measure 2, Alliander recycles its cables with HKS, ensuring metals in cables are recovered and converted into usable metal again. A legal contract between HKS and Alliander mandates that 100% of waste is sent to HKS. However, workers such as the Logistic Service Planner [Int 1] declare what is waste at the end of a project.

The processing of ferrous and non-ferrous materials begins by shredding the cables. Metals are extracted from the shredded residuals with magnets to sell the metals to smelters. This way, raw materials are extracted and promoted for reuse.

Summary

Reclaiming in several ways is achieved with the cables used for the construction of energy networks. Alliander is responsible for purchasing these assets, which is why they make it a priority to buy cables that have restored materials. Additionally, they challenge their partners to also use assets with recycled components. Furthermore, the unused materials are recovered from the construction sites to reuse them in other projects. Recovering material can be a type of reclamation. Finally, recycling of cables to recover metal is assured by Alliander sending their waste to HKS.

Measure 8- Reuse of off-cut materials

The goal of Alliander and Siers is to minimize the number of connections to ensure a stable energy supply. Off-cut material happens during the connection between cables, which are also described as scrap cables. These short lengths of cables are mostly disposed into the cable skip. Experts advise against reusing these cables because they are often too short to be useful for new installations and pose risks of future breakdowns and operational disruptions.

Measure 9- Maximization of on-site reuse of materials

Experts advise against reusing old cables. Instead, the focus is on managing leftover lengths and ordering materials in precise amounts. Reusing short cable lengths and leftover cables is handled carefully, but the primary goal is to reduce the initial provision of materials to minimize waste. This proactive approach in logistics, engineering, and management practices aims to enhance efficiency and sustainability in energy network construction.

The leftover cables are sent to Alliander as discussed in the past measures. Only if the surplus cables are still on the reel, the material is received in the logistics department to prioritize their reuse. The Circular Manager highlighted during Site Visit 3 that retired assets still on reels

are particularly valued, according to the annual report, to ϵ 1.85 million saved from collected materials, €850,000 of which were reused. Recovering the surplus cables on reels has indicated a 45.95% reuse from returned assets. For a smaller amount of surplus in reels, as mentioned in Measure 6, Siers operates their warehouse to reuse reclaimed materials from other projects.

To ensure surplus cable is reused, Siers' workers also store leftover cables at Siers' headquarters for future projects. Typically, leftovers are sent to the network owners, but with Alliander's agreement, they can be kept by Siers for reuse in other projects or emergencies. This practice of reusing cables is the only one employed by professionals in these projects (Team Leader and Regional Manager, Interviews 2 and 11). However, the reuse of cables is not always successfully done. This is proven by the amount of new, unused cables that are disposed of per quarter of the year by Alliander in their "Incurant" waste calculation.

6.4 Component 4, Materials Logistic Management

The focus of this measure may not explicitly aim to reduce waste, but to generate emphasis on proper handling of materials and raise awareness about responsible resource management.

Measure 10 - Use of safe materials storage facilities

Proper storage practices are essential for preventing damage or theft of valuable materials, such as cables, which are high-cost materials with valuable components. Ensuring proper storage minimizes waste and reduces the need for additional supplies.

The Team Leader and Regional Manager discussed the implementation of storage facilities near construction sites. These areas are fenced and equipped with 24/7 camera surveillance for added security. As mentioned in Measure 4, a designated space within the project area is allocated for material storage.

This setup ensures that materials, including cables, are protected from damage and theft. Effective storage not only guards against physical damage but also shields cables from environmental factors like UV rays, which can degrade their quality over time. Special covers are used to preserve cables exposed to sunlight, as explained in Int 2 and 11 and confirmed during Site Visits 1 and 2 (see images in Appendix G and H).

The importance of preventing damage during storage was emphasized in interviews (e.g., Int 1, 2, and 11). In the event of damage during storage, Siers assumes responsibility for any cable losses. The proper handling and protection of cables are randomly inspected by the Network owners to ensure that storage practices comply with standards. The Logistic Service and Planner described that the random checks minimize risks associated with material handling.

In conclusion, proper storage units and locations are effectively managed in the construction of energy networks. Workers are conscious of the importance of properly storing and protecting cables. Alliander conducts random checks to ensure compliance with storage standards. Additionally, facilities such as the lockable containers that are protected by fence and security also ensure adequate storage.

Measure 11 - Adequate materials delivery and movement

This measure will analyze the delivery and movement of materials during the construction of the energy networks. It covers the transportation from storage units to construction sites and the back-and-forth movement of surplus materials between Siers and Alliander.

The process of cable movement starts with Alliander purchasing cables from suppliers each quarter of the year, based on projected needs for upcoming projects in the energy network (Int 3). These projections are developed by tendered projects from Alliander. Once ordered, materials are delivered to Alliander's logistics center, and stocked. When InfraConsult receives a tendered project, the engineered cable plan is specified, detailing the type and quantity of cables needed. Project planners request the necessary cables through a portal with Alliander (Appendix L). The order includes specific data and location details for delivery and is recorded in the 4P software used by Siers.

Ordering errors occasionally occur due to design changes or incorrect underground cable data. Experts note that while these errors do not necessarily impact waste generation, proper cable planning can integrate design changes effectively. Additional cable required due to routing changes can usually be managed with existing project materials, though priority orders to the network owner may be necessary for extra supplies. Changes in materials and orders are documented in Siers' 4PS Windows system, allowing to track deviations in orders.

Cable delivery to the storage location typically occurs at the beginning of projects (Regional Manager, 11). Although early delivery can facilitate timely project commencement, it sometimes requires rushed installation of security measures, generating additional costs. Project complexity and type, influence material delivery and movement. Medium voltage projects, for example, often involve longer cable lengths and fewer connections, resulting in less waste and fewer cut-offs. This was visible in Site Visit 1 where a main medium cable was replaced. These projects typically use a single type of cable delivered directly to the site.

During site visits, it was noted that storage sites varied in proximity to the work area depending on the project's magnitude. For instance, in a medium voltage project, the storage site was situated at the beginning of the construction site, whereas in a neighborhood project managed entirely by Siers, the storage site was within a 10-minute walking distance. In both cases, materials were transported using smaller vehicles like trucks, ensuring they were delivered efficiently to the work area. After project completion, the surplus material is sent back to the logistics department (Circular Manager, Int 9).

In conclusion, adequate material delivery and movement are generally achieved, despite some issues with unexpected early deliveries. Materials are typically asked to be delivered at the start of the projects with the use of a portal. When the storage location is far from the construction site, workers transport materials and waste using additional vehicles.

Measure 12 - Central areas for storage

Centralized storage areas play a crucial role in facilitating efficient material management on construction sites. When these areas are strategically located, they minimize the need for

workers to travel back and forth, optimizing productivity. An analogy drawn by a Siers project manager related this setup to Formula 1 pit stops; where seamless logistics ensure that materials and tools are readily available to mechanics without delay, enabling quicker operations.

Experts note that there isn't a standardized procedure for selecting storage locations. Instead, availability of space and compliance with local regulations specify where sites can be established. Legal restrictions and community preferences often deny placing containers or storing materials within residential areas. This results in necessitating storage sites to be located outside communities to maintain aesthetic standards and resident well-being.

In summary, while centralized storage areas enhance material handling efficiency, their location impacts logistics and operational costs. Balancing regulatory requirements, community considerations, and logistical needs remains a challenge in ensuring effective material management on construction sites.

6.5 Framework Analysis

The research objective is met once the analysis using the framework demonstrates the efficiency of the current waste management practices in the construction of energy networks in the Netherlands. With the collected data and results discussed in the findings, an ideal perspective on successful on-site waste management is presented parallel to the energy network projects.

However, claims about reusing old cables and short cable scraps in the network should be further investigated. Another concept that needs innovative solutions is refurbishing cables, which is currently not practiced by anyone in the supply chain. If cables can be re-joined to form a specific length, scraps can be fully reused.

7. Discussion and Recommendation

Based on evidence from the study, seven out of twelve measures are considered to be achieved, this means that the success is 58% on the waste management. The data show that the on-site waste management in the construction of energy networks has room for improvement. Considerable improvements can be implemented to achieve full success. This chapter will discuss the findings and provide innovative solutions that could be implemented to reduce waste on-site.

7.1 Component 1- Contract Management

Measure 1- Waste Target set for sub-trades

There are no comprehensive documents outlining waste targets for on-site workers. From out of the 34 documents reviewed, contractual agreements between stakeholders potentially hold crucial information on waste management targets. However, due to confidentiality and time constraints, a detailed analysis of these contracts was not feasible.

Wang et al. (2014) stated that the key lies in how to drive people and incentivize them through short-term benefits. The literature recommends this approach but is not applied to the workers on-site in the construction of the energy networks. On the other hand, incentivizing the workers is the type of monetization stated in the document (Purchaser and Project Manager Large Projects, Int 5 and 7). Monetization can generate prioritization of activities to earn as much profit as possible. The target of on-site workers depending on having a contract that pays by length compared to the workers that get paid by hour can generate different behavior on-site.

For the workers that are paid by length, it is disposable that their target is speed, which can lead to prioritizing using a new reel with more reels to complete a section faster even if two reels are possible to be used (Project Controller, 5). Conversely, hourly workers may exhibit greater conscientiousness in material handling and waste management. While some interviewees suggest a relationship between time management and waste generation (Int 5, 6, 8), others do not see a direct correlation (Int 11). It is possible that time management can be related to waste generation on-site; it is something that has been brought up as a relationship by some interviewees (Int 5, 6, and 8) but others believe it does not have a direct relationship (Int 11).

To promote waste reduction among subcontractors, interviews with the Project Controller and Purchaser (Int 5 and 6) proposed setting waste generation objectives for sub-trades and offering incentives like bonuses. A recommended approach could involve rewarding subcontractors economically if the total waste generated is <10% of the total material ordered, with the reward proportional to the waste reduction achieved (e.g., 2% waste generates a 0.98 reward). Such incentives can encourage material efficiency and problem-solving among workers, thereby reducing unnecessary waste generation on-site.

As mentioned in the results, the only document encountered that addresses waste disposal and treatment agreements between site workers and the client is the Special Conditions contract with subcontractors. The conditions lack concrete instructions for implementation such things as "reuse of materials and waste quantities" and "limit waste flow". When setting clear objectives regarding waste reduction, these can ease workers to find a way to reach these targets. It can be argued whether or not these are goals for the workers on-site to reduce waste and be conscious of waste generation. Waste is stated, but there is also no way to measure if the target is achieved or not, that is to say, what is expected from the workers to accomplish the statement.

Additionally, under the fourth condition of the Disposal and Waste section, subcontractors are obligated to provide copies of reporting forms in compliance with the Environmental Management Act. This indicates that Siers expects subcontractors to adhere to environmental regulations, as stipulated in Appendix E and corroborated by the Circular Manager (Int 9). The document covers general provisions like duty of care, dumping bans, use of a national waste management plan, reuse, prevention, recycling rules, waste shipments, and regulations for every waste stream. Siers and Alliander ensure subcontractors comply with Dutch policies and regulations through these conditions.

Measure 2- Recycling target to be set for every project

In Alliander's and Siers' annual reports and development of CSRD, waste management is tackled in the form of waste reduction and recycling. Evidence presented how companies work on their waste management independently. Both companies achieve over 90% recycling, as stated in the portals and Annual Reports. The recycling is facilitated by the company's waste processors. However, specific recycling targets or objectives for individual projects are not explicitly outlined and could help reduce CO2 emissions. However, the measure aims to promote collaboration within the supply chain.

Recycling in projects primarily relies on the waste processor chosen by Alliander, HKS, which is legally authorized to process cable waste. However, HKS processes only what is provided in the designated metal skips on the project. There are no specific project-level recycling goals; procedures for recycling are outlined but not targets.

Stakeholder collaboration within the supply chain includes adherence to the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol, integrated into the CSRD. This protocol assesses emissions across three scopes: direct emissions, upstream emissions (involving activities like capital goods, purchased goods and services, transportation fuel, and operational waste), and downstream emissions (related to production, distribution, and end-of-life treatment of products). Consequently, waste management practices within the supply chain significantly influence each company's carbon footprint. Each participant in the supply chain depends on the waste management practices of others. For example, Alliander's annual report identifies their primary emission source originating from purchased assets, particularly related to the extraction of raw materials used by suppliers in cable development (as discussed in Interview 3). Therefore, recycling targets set respectively for a project should be an important implementation. This can also help subcontractors to compromise with reducing waste on-site.

While companies prioritize transparency in emissions reporting and communication, specific reduction targets are not discussed. Interview 3 highlights that meetings between TKF and Alliander to formulate their CSRD typically end with a general encouragement to reduce emissions without setting explicit targets.

Measure 3- Making sub-contractors responsible for waste disposal

Waste disposal is composed of different activities, from which the on-site workers are required to collect waste and waste processors transport, recycle, and dispose of the waste. The process of waste disposal in Siers projects is logically organized and established by the client. While material handling is not typically the responsibility of the workers, they are trained to effectively use materials (Regional Manager, 11). Workers are accustomed to following instructions to place materials in designated skips and waste processors to dispose of or recycle. Each subcontractor is clear in their position regarding waste disposal, but there is always someone who has a role.

Documentation on material usage and checklists for waste disposal is not done specifically on-site by the workers. This concept of monitoring inflow and outflow materials can help identify construction activities that are utilizing more material than normal and understand overall material usage and inefficiencies.

7.2 Component 2- Waste Segregation

Consistent waste segregation and disposal practices facilitate recycling, which is the responsible activity for waste processors chosen by the company. Both of the measures were possible to see through the different sources, from which the evidence indicates waste segregation is properly applied in the construction of energy networks.

All experts interviewed about this measure agree that having different skips is essential and allows workers to understand what waste goes where and to be sure to give it to the right processing company so they can recycle. It was also brought to the attention that there are project leaders who are more cautious with the revision of proper waste disposal and segregation. In the large-scale neighborhood project, a Siers representative would check the skips daily to make sure that what was being disposed of was in the right place and qualified as waste. Additionally, waste segregation is double-checked by the waste processors. If there is a location in which the waste is not being segregated properly, then the processor will give the network owner a working solution.

Measure 4- Dedicated space for sorting waste

This measure is accomplished adequately, considering how there is always a dedicated space where workers can segregate waste. There is no general rule on how many skips there should be on site. It is important to think about simplicity and not overcrowding the space dedicated to all the transportation and overall material handling (Team Leader and Regional Manager, Int 2 and 7). This was observed in the site visits from which it was also possible to see that the area for sorting waste distributed space for workers to easily dispose of, allowing the managers to go thoroughly in the skips and ensure that all the materials being disposed of are actual waste and are in the correct skip.

Measure 5- Provision of waste skips for specific materials

Evidence demonstrated that there are waste skips ordered for the segregation of materials. They are ordered based on the project's specifications through the portals, from which there is a possibility to choose different waste types (Appendix I). Literature expresses that reuse and recycling are achieved when there are clearly labeled skips and bins. (Al-Hajj & Hamani, 2011). This was also applied on-site as observed in Vist 2 (Appendix G3).

Having an accurate estimate of what type and size of waste skip is needed was also performed by Siers. This is important to control the unnecessary transport and labor needed when skips are ordered. In a general sense, having specific waste skips does not allow effective waste segregation if they are not necessary or if there are unnecessary skips in the space. Therefore, demonstrating that this measure is accomplished the right way.

When non-ferrous streams of waste such as copper and aluminum are separated from the rest of the waste, there is a possible 91% environmental benefit due to the raw material saved. This is properly done on-site by having the cables specifically disposed of in one skip. Segregating waste eases waste processors to support the prevention of scarcity in materials and

reduce CO2 emissions released when new materials are manufactured (Logistic Administrator (Int 4).

7.3 Component 3- Material Reuse

M6- Detect the activities that can admit reusable materials from the construction

Currently, the primary activities focus on reusing materials involving connections and repurposing cables in other projects. The potential for utilizing unused materials, such as scraps, requires further investigation.

Based on expert opinions and site visits, reusing old cables is not feasible. Typically, these cables have been in the ground for around ten years and are near the end of their service life. Using old materials can result in a high risk of destabilizing and damaging the networks. The quality of the same cannot be assured, making them a liability. The topic of cable reuse was discussed in all the interviews that have been checked in Table 3 with the data collection.

Specific attention has been given to connection activities, exploring ways to facilitate material reuse. For example, during Site Visit 2, a storage container was used for sorting surplus materials that were unused or accidentally disposed of by workers after completing an area of the project. Loose connection components were organized into packages containing all the small parts needed for one cable connection, re-introducing reused materials back into the system. Although this is not a common practice, it can be implemented in the future to ensure reuse of surplus materials.

The approach of collecting the connections surplus waste inspired the project manager to develop a simpler connection system. Using a new apparatus that will change the connection procedure. Such innovations arise from the freedom to explore and improve standard practices, potentially leading to new solutions for reusing materials in the future. This simple approach by the working team in Visit 2 was possible due to their freedom in logistics and planning (Project Manager Large Projects, Int 7). This can also reveal that maybe a collaboration between Alliander and Siers for the logistics and overall planning can help with implementing new practices. Rather than following what is prescribed by Alliander, implementing new experiments such as containers and new technologies for connections can be beneficial for both companies.

Alliander has tested a new methodology for the connection activity by making pre-connections at the storage area of the project. This approach significantly reduced waste due to more meticulous work. Although this method does not currently use reused materials, it could potentially incorporate leftover cable lengths for pre-connections in the future.

Measure 7- Use of reclaimed materials

The metals reclaimed by the waste processors are not directly sold to suppliers; instead, they are sold to smelters who produce recycled metals. Suppliers then have the option to use this recycled metal or not. This decision is driven by the need for a large amount of disposed cables to make metal extraction efficient for smelters (Account Manager, Int 3). Consequently, cables

are handed over to a separate company that collects the required amount for smelters, rather than being returned to suppliers for reuse.

The concept of refurbishing cables has not been extensively investigated. Due to the contract between Alliander and HKS, cables are not returned to the supplier to explore possibilities of regenerating cables from scraps. This contractual arrangement limits opportunities for refurbishing and reusing cable materials, leaving the focus primarily on recycling processes managed by smelters.

Measure 8- Reuse of off-cut materials

The cause of the residual lengths is an issue stemming from the cable length and connection design (Schaap et al., 2022).

The analysis of waste management has proven that reusing cables is sensitive. However, the project on the solar farm from Siers proves that cut-off new materials can be reused. This can open a conversation on how it is possible to use the off-cut materials for other things that engineers are thinking about. In a general sense, the thought of using the off-cuts is thought to be used as the main line, which is stated to be a risk to the quality of the network. However, there can be other purposes for these off-cut materials. Maybe not even for the same project, but in other types of projects.

To find a new purpose for these scraps, it is necessary to divide them in the segregation process. Scraps are thrown away no matter the length, so there could also be a set standard. For example, the minimum length that can be separated from the rest of the cable waste could be more than 20 meters. It is interesting to observe that HKS logistics state that it is not necessary to separate the waste from old and new, although it can be useful. Further segregation is done in the HKS headquarters where experts sort the recyclable materials for their waste processing. However, it is possible to experiment with how to reuse off-cut materials in hand with the topics talked about in Measure 7 with refurbishing cables.

Measure 9 - Maximization of on-site reuse of materials

Custom cables become a significant waste because their unique details prevent them from being reused in other designs (Project Manager, Int 7). Multiple ways to order a cable can also lead to challenges in reusing such cables. The procurement of uncoded and incomplete materials, referring to items not in their original packaging or leftover pieces that cannot be returned to logistics, exacerbates this issue. The team aims to find new purposes for the reclaimed materials but is limited by the uniqueness of the ordered cables. Custom design lengths contribute to significant waste, as evidenced by the Strategic Resource Management (SRM) Logistics document and statements from the Circular Manager. In 2023, Alliander disposed of new, unused cables, referred to as "incurant" (Appendix D1.7). That is why standardization of materials can help with maximizing the reuse of leftover cables.

Although methods have been implemented to maximize on-site reuse, different practices can be improved based on observations and proposals from workers. For example, ideas for future-proof infrastructure could prevent current problems from recurring or introduce new
approaches to speed up the energy transition and achieve the Netherlands' forecasted goals for 2050.

During a discussion with one of Alliander's Project Managers devoted to sustainability and innovation, an idea emerged related to waste disposal of cables from solar farms. Solar farms have a life cycle of around 15 to 20 years, while cables have 40 to 50 years. Experts have noted that old cables are typically not reused. However, after a solar farm is no longer serviceable, the cables, still potentially serviceable, will be dismounted and become waste. The suggestion is to implement medium voltage cables back on towers instead of underground. Above-ground cables simplify maintenance and replacement processes, allowing for easier assessment of material condition and determination of specific lengths needing replacement. Neighboring countries such as Germany and Belgium already employ this method. This approach could potentially reduce waste and improve the efficiency of cable usage.

Additional observations both from the Site Visit 3 and the interviewee (Project Manager Large Projects, Int 7) evidentiated that cables are maximized for reuse when projects are on a larger scale. In medium voltage projects, leftover cable reels are more common due to section-based designs. Once a project is completed, the leftovers are either sent back to Alliander or disposed of. However, in large-scale projects lasting three-quarters of a year with reconnecting 70,000 houses, surplus cables can be reused throughout the project. Demonstrating that larger projects have a higher potential for material reuse compared to smaller projects. This way of organizing materials can be considered by the network owners. Aligning projects based on the material type and length could be a potential idea on how to ensure the reuse of materials, hence finding a maximization on reusing the surplus materials.

Measure 10- Use of safe material storage facilities

Various measures are in place to protect cables and other materials with safe storage facilities. Additional safety measures are implemented on-site and by network owners to ensure proper storage and material use. Experts have highlighted that material damage is uncommon and materials are rarely rendered unusable due to improper storage. However, damage can occur when cables are pulled into underground holes. Despite precautions, it is challenging to visually inspect underground conditions, which can lead to scratches in the cable. In such cases, the damaged section of the cable is replaced entirely to maintain network quality. This reveals a challenge on-site that generates unexpected waste, as damaged cables must be disposed of.

Measure 11- Materials adequate delivery and movement

Ordering of Cables

Experts (e.g. Int 7, 9, 10) and the document by TKF on connectivity solutions, state that optimizing the ordering process can directly reduce the need to purchase materials and increase the reuse of leftover cables. Views differ among workers regarding the logic of the current ordering system. Some believe that adjusting this process could reduce workload and decrease CO2 emissions by 19%. However, Alliander defends this approach, citing benefits such as rationalizing the tendering process and mitigating past issues with material shortage through

increased capacity. Bulk purchasing every quarter also allows Alliander to negotiate better prices with suppliers.

The company defends its strategy of building stock as a precaution against shortages to ensure projects are developed without delays. However, experts from Siers and TKF argue that surplus materials can be significantly reduced through precise ordering. They suggest that orders should be with specific lengths; not the exact length because of the risk of unsuitable lengths. Engineers can calculate a 2% margin from the cable lengths of the project to ensure there are no shortfalls in the connections. Orders should be done with specific and needed lengths and no significant surplus can become waste.

Despite this proposal, no actions have been taken to implement it. The traditional mindset, as explained by the project manager from Alliander, is that if a company can afford to build stock, it should maximize its inventory. Recognizing the issue through stakeholders, a recommendation to the network owners on preference for maintaining stock is questioned. However, an alternative if Alliander wants to still proceed with stocking up with material could be to cut the cables in the logistics department of Alliander. The necessary lengths per reel will have only the necessary material, reducing waste on-site and the need for transportation of the leftover material. This approach would effectively reduce waste while respecting Alliander's preference for stock.

Further recommendation on the delivery and stock of supplies was offered by TKF to another network owner, Enexis. The proposed idea is to deliver the cables directly to the project site instead of storing them at Alliander's logistics center. This Just-In-Time delivery in hand with a new reel design (Appendix M) focuses on a sustainable ordering and delivery approach, aiming to reduce surplus cable. According to TKF's proposal, the new design could reduce unused cable waste by 91% and cut carbon emissions from transport by 31%. Additionally, if this new approach is implemented, delivery and transportation will be simplified while reducing costs.

Recording Waste

Proper planning and efficient handling ensures minimal waste and timely project execution. Siers and Alliander have a clear ordering and material movement that has worked. Tools like portals facilitate organized delivery, and documentation helps track the ordering and return of materials, ensuring accountability and efficiency in projects.

Understanding the flow of assets within the supply chain is critical for effective waste management in cable handling. The documentation indicates that this process has been effectively managed, although further improvements in material movement can enhance efficiency. Analyzing how materials move in and out of construction sites can provide insights into areas where material handling may need improvement to reduce waste. Recording material usage through the project could offer an overview of how materials are utilized and disposed of, aiding in the detection of activities that can admit reused materials.

Records of material use throughout the project are generally not applied, according to the (Team Leader, Int 2). Instead, Siers' workers conduct random checks using the Click software to

record the installed cables. Based on the length recorded, it is possible to know how much material has been used. However, the recording does not specify how the material was used or what was disposed of.

In Interview 7, the alignment of materials with project activities was discussed. The expert explained that in large-scale projects, workers have started planning material containers for specific activities on-site. These containers hold the necessary materials for specific periods of work. Pre-planning and aligning materials with specific tasks could be considered for future projects to enhance proper material use and increase efficiency.

Measure 12- Central areas for storage

In many energy network construction projects, having a central storage area is often unavailable and not possible. Finding a suitable space, especially in neighborhood projects, is challenging due to inflexible policies and regulatory requirements for storing in public and private spaces. Consequently, storage locations and waste skips are chosen based on available and adequate sites. This often results in less-than-ideal locations in terms of accessibility and compliance with regulations.

As a result, materials must be transported daily to the work site, which adds logistical complexity and may lead to increased costs. Given these constraints, it might be more beneficial to focus on enhancing efficiency through new methods of material movement, rather than in a centralized storage. Exploring innovative approaches to material handling and transport could potentially mitigate logistical challenges and optimize project efficiency.

8. Limitations and Recommendations

8.1 Theoretical Limitations and Recommendations

Utilizing a framework developed for waste management on construction sites in the context of energy networks required adaptations. While this framework was suitable for measuring waste management across four components aligned with the waste hierarchy (reduce, reuse, and recycle), it may not have been an ideal fit for the unique challenges within the energy network.

Further investigation into the measures was necessary to understand the framework, though some had to be taken literally. The framework included 12 measures but lacked detailed qualifications to conclude whether the measure was achieved ideally. For instance, measure 7 on the use of reclaimed materials can be interpreted in various ways. The literal definition of "reclaim" involves retrieving materials for reuse. In this research, it was not interpreted to include the recovery when returning of surplus unused cables, but it could be understood differently. Similar issues arose with measures 10 and 12 regarding the adequate delivery and movement of materials and central areas of storage. This investigation simplified them into the inflow and outflow of materials for a better analysis. Interviewees also found it challenging to define or consider what an ideal central area is, and what is maximization.

Component 1, measure 2, emphasizes the importance of recycling for every project. However, literature, observations, and interviews indicate that recycling should be a last resort for waste minimization. Surplus waste can be prevented on-site by shifting to ordering specific lengths and just-in-time delivery of materials. This could be a future focus for waste minimization. Finally, conducting further research on a Pareto analysis of the different types of material ordered and used from Alliander's suppliers could provide a detailed analysis for the company for effective ordering and supplying.

Finally, in sub-chapter 2.1, the measures that were discarded due to time constraints and studying physical waste measures could provide insight into the bottlenecks that are happening in the construction of energy networks. The 12 measures were developed before the data collection began and topics such as following the drawings and the prevention of over-ordering materials were brought up in different locations. The measure of over-ordering and building material is a very controversial topic that was discussed by all interviewees and should have research of its own. It is possible that solving this measure could make a difference in the industry.

8.2 Practical Limitations and Recommendations

The research conducted was based on qualitative data and could be improved. More precise data could have been obtained if the same positions within Alliander and Siers were interviewed. Although this was the goal, certain roles like Project Controllers and Team Leaders were not interviewed. Interviews with subcontractors on-site, which were not done due to language barriers, could provide valuable insights.

Some interviews had incomplete transcripts due to technical difficulties. One was recorded halfway in another language, requiring audio review during coding. Others were conducted through email due to language barriers so the interaction was lost, this is important considering that when having a meeting the conversations would flow naturally, and valuable information was obtained from it. Consistency in interview methods can help with better overview and data accuracy. Additionally, it would be recommended to do the coding with software so the analysis is more accurate and specific.

A significant limitation was not being able to analyze documents due to confidentiality. For example, contracts between Siers and Alliander were not available for review. To have an insight on how much cable is purchased and how much cable is disposed of can help to better understand qualitatively the waste management of cables. These documents were not available for this investigation but could serve as good qualitative additional data.

Different types of projects and contracts can generate an extensive amount of data and potential confusion. Since projects are managed differently, with variations in contracts, logistics, and areas for waste segregation, it might be more effective to analyze waste management on a per-project basis. Then this can help to determine the bigger picture of whether waste management is efficient and successful.

More site visits are recommended to gain a better understanding of material movement and disposal. Observing a project site consistently for a week could reveal patterns valuable for measuring against the framework measures.

Conclusion

In the Netherlands, the energy sector is being pressured to reach a 100% renewable energy source by 2050. This change requires a reconstruction of the current infrastructure and electrification, where old cables are being disposed of and a new energy network is being constructed. Waste management in this sector has not been thoroughly analyzed. To investigate such, the framework developed by Ajayi et al. (2017) on Critical Management Practices Influencing On-site Waste Minimization in Construction Projects was applied to the construction of energy networks.

With different sources of data collection, from interviews with different experts in the supply chain, governmental policies, company documents, and construction site observation, a qualitative data set was developed. With the application of thematic analysis, the data was grouped into twelve measures relevant to the physical waste management on-site and the construction of energy networks. Triangulation was then applied for validation of the current waste management in the projects constructing energy networks between Siers and Alliander. demonstrated that 7 out of the 12 aligned with the ideal waste management situation. While there are areas for improvement in the remaining 5 measures, it is evident that current projects and experiments on-site aim to reduce waste and ensure adequate waste management. Some measures can be considered more than ideal, such as the use of reclaimed materials. Despite organizational issues with reusing surplus cables, there is a priority on using sustainable assets that contain recycled materials.

Companies tend to focus more on their tasks within the supply chain rather than on the project's overall waste management. This is also reflected in legal documents, where waste goals and recycling targets are not in an ideal situation. Although there are conditions for waste disposal to the subcontractors, there are no specific goals for workers regarding waste, no incentives or penalties to reduce waste, and no main targets for recycling materials in the project. This reveals that the component of contract management can be improved to ensure that everyone in the supply chain is committed to waste management and reduction.

Waste segregation on-site is a major accomplishment in constructing energy networks, with dedicated spaces for this activity and different waste skips for each waste stream. Material logistics management is also appropriate in these projects, with proper storage facilities focusing on protecting materials. Workers on-site and from Alliander constantly ensure that materials are properly stored and used. However, while the delivery and movement of materials are generally well-managed, there are issues with early delivery, and the process of material movement can be improved for more efficiency.

Significant improvements are needed in material reuse, particularly through experimentation and innovation. While reusing cable scraps and old cables is currently prohibited, there are efforts to rescue long lengths of leftover cables from one project and use them in another. In the big picture, two out of the components for effective waste management are properly applied during the projects to construct energy networks.

Despite the industry being managed by seven network owners across the country, this paper only focused on the projects between Alliander and Siers. The waste management practices in these projects are found to be adequate but leave room for improvement. The substantial amount of material disposed of every quarter and the significant waste generated on-site can be reduced.

Collaboration throughout the supply chain can lead to more effective waste management and minimization. To make a real impact, everyone involved in energy network construction projects must contribute by deviating from standard approaches and embracing innovation to reduce on-site waste. Crucially, improvements can be made in the ordering and delivery system, finding new technologies for connections, refurbishing cables, and developing methods to maintain network quality as more connections are made, among other initiatives mentioned. Furthermore, it is also important to focus on reducing waste generation to achieve real waste minimization, rather than merely finding methods to preserve raw materials and avoid unnecessary material production.

The use of waste processors by the companies ensures that nearly all the waste is recycled, with 90-96% of the on-site and company-generated waste being recycled with the help of HKS, Pre Zero, and Renewi. While it is advantageous to have specialized companies handle specific waste streams, like HKS recycling metals in cables, it can also be a limitation. This specialization can cause Siers and Alliander to be unaware of the total waste generated as an industry. This compartmentalizes and complicates the identification of patterns of bad waste management and to pinpoint sources of issues.

Data collected also presented the need for experimentation and innovation, where new ideas and technology from different employees should be exposed to each other. Prioritizing collaboration to improve efficiency and reduce environmental impact, should be done per project, not per company. Workers are coming up with ideas and solutions, and they should be further encouraged to develop and implement these ideas. Innovation programs and contests within the companies can uncover interesting solutions to current waste management problems. However, incentives must be provided to encourage employees to keep innovating and engineering. Developing new approaches and methodologies can drive the creation of future-proof infrastructure, preparing for future challenges and easing the way for the next generation to be developed.

References

Ajayi, S. O., Oyedele, L. O., Bilal, M., Akinade, O. O., Alaka, H. A., & Owolabi, H. A. (2017). Critical management practices influencing on-site waste minimization in construction projects. *Waste Management*, *59*, 330–339.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.10.040 Al-Hajj, A., & Hamani, K. (2011). Material Waste in the UAE Construction Industry:

Main Causes and Minimization Practices. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, *7*(4), 221–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/17452007.2011.594576 Al-Hajj, A., & Iskandarani, T. (2015, June 22). *Reducing Waste Generation on the UAE Construction Sites*. ACE, Brazil.

Andersson, R., & Buser, M. (2022). From waste to resource management? Construction and demolition waste management through the lens of institutional work. *Construction Management and Economics*, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2022.2081989 Andersson-Sköld, Y., Andersson, K., Lind, B., Claesson, A. N., Larsson, L., Suer, P., & Jacobson, T. (2007). Coal Tar-Containing Asphalt Resource or Hazardous Waste? *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *11*(4), 99–116. https://doi.org/10.1162/jiec.2007.1106 *Annual report 2023*. (2024). Alliander.

https://www.alliander.com/content/uploads/dotcom/Alliander_Annual_Report_2023.pdf Antwi-Afari, P., Ng, S. T., & Hossain, Md. Uzzal. (2021). A review of the circularity gap in the construction industry through scientometric analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *298*, 126870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126870

Balali, A., Gholami, S., Javanmardi, M., Valipour, A., & Yunusa-Kaltungo, A. (2023). Assessment of heavy metal pollution in the soil of a construction and demolition waste landfill. *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management*, *20*, 100856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2023.100856

Benton, R. (2014). Reduce, Reuse, Recycle … and Refuse. *Journal of Macromarketing*, *35*(1), 111–122. https://doi.org/10.1177/0276146714534692

Cerqueira, P. A., Soukiazis, E., & Proença, S. (2020a). Assessing the linkages between recycling, renewable energy, and sustainable development: evidence from the OECD countries. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00780-4

Cerqueira, P. A., Soukiazis, E., & Proença, S. (2020b). Assessing the linkages between recycling, renewable energy, and sustainable development: evidence from the OECD countries. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00780-4

del Río Merino, M., Izquierdo Gracia, P., & Weis Azevedo, I. S. (2009). Sustainable

Delgado, L., Catarino, A. S., Eder, P., Litten, D., Luo, Z., & Villanueva, A. (2009). *End-of-Waste Criteria* (pp. 291–351). JRC Scientific and Technical Reports.

Domingo, N., Osmani, M., & Price, A. D. F. (2009). Construction waste minimization in the UK healthcare industry. *Department of Civil and Building Engineering*, 1021–1030. European Commission. (2020). *Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions*. European Commission.

construction: construction and demolition waste reconsidered. *Waste Management & Research*, *28*(2), 118–129. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242x09103841

European Commission. (2021). *Construction and demolition waste*. Environment.ec.europa.eu.

https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/waste-and-recycling/construction-and-demolition -waste_en

European Commission. (2022). *Waste Framework Directive*. Environment.ec.europa.eu. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/waste-and-recycling/waste-framework-directive_ en

Garbelini Anuardo , R., Espuny , M., Ferreira Costa , A. C., & Oliveira , O. J. (2022). Toward a cleaner and more sustainable world: A framework to develop and improve waste management through organizations, governments, and academia. *PlumX Metrics*, *8*(4). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(2004)130:4(472

Garbelini, R., Espuny, M., Frerreira Costa , A. C., & Oliveira , O. J. (2023, March 31). *Toward a cleaner and more sustainable world: A framework to develop and improve waste management through organizations governments and academia*. Https://Doi.org/10.1016/J.heliyon.2022.E09225.

<https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.heliyon.2022.e09225>

Hao, S., Kuah, A. T. H., Rudd, C. D., Wong, K. H., Lai, N. Y. G., Mao, J., & Liu, X. (2020a). A circular economy approach to green energy: Wind turbine, waste, and material recovery. *Science of the Total Environment*, *702*, 135054.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135054

Hao, S., Kuah, A. T. H., Rudd, C. D., Wong, K. H., Lai, N. Y. G., Mao, J., & Liu, X.

(2020b). A circular economy approach to green energy: Wind turbine, waste, and material recovery. *Science of the Total Environment*, *702*, 135054.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135054

Homburg, L. (n.d.). *What is a supply chain? | Nabuurs | Supply Chain Solutions*.

Nabuurs. Retrieved April 29, 2024, from

https://nabuurs.nl/kennisbank-categorie/supply-chain/

IRENA. (2022). *Outlook*. Www.irena.org.

https://www.irena.org/Energy-Transition/Outlook

Jingkuang, L., & Yousong, W. (2011). Establishment and application of performance assessment model of waste management in architectural engineering projects in China. *Systems Engineering Procedia*, *1*, 147–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sepro.2011.08.025

Kubba, S. (2010). Choosing Materials and Products. *Green Construction Project Management and Cost Oversight*, 221–266.

https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-1-85617-676-7.00006-3

Ladder van Lansink - Rangorde van afvalverwijdering. (n.d.). Recycling.nl. Retrieved April 19, 2024, from <https://www.recycling.nl/ladder-van-lansink/>

Lu, W., & Yuan, H. (2011). A framework for understanding waste management studies in construction. *Waste Management*, *31*(6), 1252–1260.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.01.018

Marinelli, Marina & Dolan, M. & Spillane, John & Konanahalli, Ashwini. (2014). Material waste in the northern Ireland construction industry: On-site management causes and methods of prevention. Proceedings 30th Annual Association of Researchers in Construction Management Conference, ARCOM 2014. 113-122.

Minelgaitė, A., & Liobikienė, G. (2019). Waste problem in European Union and its influence on waste management behaviors. *Science of the Total Environment*, *667*, 86–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.313>

Pires, A., & Martinho, G. (2019). Waste hierarchy index for circular economy in waste management. *Waste Management*, *95*, 298–305.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.06.014

Priyadarshini, P., & Abhilash, P. C. (2020). Circular economy practices within energy and waste management sectors of India: A meta-analysis. *Bioresource Technology*, *304*, 123018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123018

Rijkswaterstaat. (n.d.). *National Waste Management Plan*. Rijkswaterstaat Environment. https://rwsenvironment.eu/subjects/from-waste-resources/national-activities/national-was te/

Sabah Mariyam, Cochrane, L., Tareq Al-Ansari, & McKay, G. (2024). A Framework to Support Localized Solid Waste Management Decision Making: Evidence from Qatar. *Environmental Development*, *50*, 100986–100986.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2024.100986

Salmenperä, H., Pitkänen, K., Kautto, P., & Saikku, L. (2021). Critical factors for enhancing the circular economy in waste management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *280*(1), 124339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124339

Schaap, A., van der Velde, M., Varkevisser, R., Smink, P., & Klok, S. (2022). *Can Enexis work more sustainably*? Hanze University of Applied Sciences Groningen .

Shen , L., Tam , W. Y., Tam , C., & Drew, D. (2004). Mapping approach for examining waste management on construction sites. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9364\(2004\)130:4\(472\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(2004)130:4(472))

Siers and Wokstroom. (2024, 01, 11). Siers Projects Roadmap [Presentation]. Singh, A., & Sushil. (2017). Developing a conceptual framework of waste management in the organizational context. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, *28*(6), 786–806. https://doi.org/10.1108/meq-07-2016-0045

Sogelink Group. (n.d.). *All about cables and pipes | Legislation and guidelines |*

GOconnectIT. Www.goconnectit.com. Retrieved May 29, 2024, from

https://www.goconnectit.com/areas-of-expertise/cables-and-pipes/

SRM University-, Dutta, D., & Dagwar, P. (2024). Landfill leachate is a potential

challenge to sustainable environmental management. *Science of the Total Environment*,

171668–171668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.171668

Stertton, C. (2024, January 5). *Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) explained*. Www.circularise.com.

https://www.circularise.com/blogs/corporate-sustainability-reporting-directive-csrd-expla ined?utm_source=google&utm_medium=cpc&utm_campaign=

Terlumn Utsev, J., Imoni, S., Onuzulike, C., & Akande, E. (2024). Strategies for Sustainable Construction Minimization in the Modern Era. *Bincang Sains Dan Teknologi*, *3*, 1–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.56741/bst.v3i01.506

The world needs a swift transition to sustainable energy. (2021). Unfccc. int. https://unfccc.int/news/the-world-needs-a-swift-transition-to-sustainable-energy Timoteus Kadhila, Martin, & Schenck, R. (2023). A conceptual framework for sustainable waste management in small municipalities: the cases of Langebaan, South Africa and Swakopmund, Namibia. *Springer*.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-26904-7

TKF Connectivity Solutions. (n.d.). *Cable on "roll" or by "custom"* .

Tomić, T., & Schneider, D. R. (2020). Circular economy in waste management –

Socio-economic effect of changes in waste management system structure. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *267*, 110564.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110564

Trkman, P., Indihar Štemberger, M., Jaklič, J., & Groznik, A. (2007). Process approach to supply chain integration. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, *12*(2), 116–128. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540710737307

van den Berg , M., Lars Hulsbeek, & Voordijk, H. (2023). Decision support for selecting demolition waste management strategies. *Buildings & Cities*, *4*(1), 883–901. https://doi.org/10.5334/bc.318

van der Korput, H. (2024, January 5). *Elektriciteitskabels van gerecycled plastic komen eraan | Change Inc.* Www.change.inc.

https://www.change.inc/energie/elektriciteitskabels-van-gerecycled-plastic-maken-hun-in trede-40696?utm_medium=email&_hsmi=80994878&_hsenc=p2ANqtz--9QUlNIONLk W-SrkQhHxCEo2ubnpSINZyTS8ZXhLwnDI1DKanEtVSbX0pRHv5uTG90hihESqUC-KMgSF1wYyCaUiTkk7wAXP2nKwZNiTYtePwL76U&utm_content=80994878&utm_s ource=hs_email

Wang, J., Li, Z., & Tam, V. W. Y. (2014). Critical factors in effective construction waste minimization at the design stage: A Shenzhen case study, China. *Resources,*

Conservation and Recycling, *82*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.11.003 Widijatmoko, S. D., Cui, Z. (John), Agalit, H., Li, Y., & Leeke, G. A. (2024a). Recycling of enamelled copper wire from end-of-life electric motor via room temperature methanolysis. *Resources, Environment and Sustainability*, *15*, 100143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resenv.2023.100143

Widijatmoko, S. D., Cui, Z. (John), Agalit, H., Li, Y., & Leeke, G. A. (2024b). Recycling of enamelled copper wire from end-of-life electric motor via room temperature methanolysis. *Resources, Environment and Sustainability*, *15*, 100143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resenv.2023.100143

Wilson, H. A., MSkitmore, R., & Seydel, A. (1989). Organizational behaviour and safety management in the construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*, *7*(4), 303–319. https://doi.org/10.1080/01446198900000030

Witjes, S., & Lozano, R. (2016). Towards a more Circular Economy: Proposing a framework linking sustainable public procurement and sustainable business models. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *112*, 37–44.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.04.015

Zhang, C., Hu, M., Di Maio, F., Sprecher, B., Yang, X., & Tukker, A. (2022). An overview of the waste hierarchy framework for analyzing the circularity in construction and demolition waste management in Europe. *Science of the Total Environment*, *803*(4), 149892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149892

Zorpas, A. A. (2020). Strategy development in the framework of waste management. *Science of the Total Environment*, *716*, 137088.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137088

Zurita 46

Appendix

Appendix A- Waste Hierarchy and Waste management

Figure A2- Lansink Ladder

In the construction industry, *waste management* involves minimizing the amount of materials that end up in landfills and sending recyclable recovered resources back to production processes for material reuse (Kubba, 2010). Managing waste enclose reducing, reusing, and recycling (3Rs) (Minelgaitė & Liobikienė, 2019).

The waste hierarchy is the first step in waste management, serving as a tool for waste minimization (Minelgaitė & Liobikienė, 2019). Figure A1 illustrates the European Commission's waste management principles through a waste hierarchy. Figure A2 presents the Lansink Ladder of the Netherlands' recycling website. The ladder is a crucial component of a sustainable circular economy, adopted in the European Waste Framework in 2008 (*Ladder van Lansink - Rangorde van Afvalverwijdering*, n.d.). This hierarchy depicts levels of waste management, starting with waste reduction as the most beneficial method and

concluding with waste disposal as the final option.

According to Ray (2019), recycling and reusing in the construction and demolition industries save energy while minimizing greenhouse gas emissions. Benton (2014) adds that although waste ends up in landfills due to overconsumption, waste minimization is possible through reducing and reusing strategies.

Reducing the amount of materials needed for projects generates less waste at the end of construction (Zorpas, 2020). This strategy can be achieved by separating waste generated during construction, providing financial incentives to subcontractors to be conscious of waste, and establishing contractual procedures to decrease waste and ensure consistent on-site waste management.

Reusing materials involves utilizing them in their original state without altering their composition. Storage facilities that retain unused material from previous projects should consider these items for reuse in future projects. This strategy eliminates the need to order additional materials, reducing surplus waste.

Recycling materials involves forming new products while preserving the material itself. This step follows prevention and preceded disposal in the waste hierarchy models. Papadaki et al. (2022) state that recycling waste can decrease negative environmental impacts by 22%. Various processes renew the materials in the cables, depending on the plant from the network owner or supplier.

Appendix B- Waste Effective Site Management from Literature

Component labelling and its associated criteria.

Figure B1- Components and Measures for successful on-site waste management (Ajayi et al., 2017) Table 4, pg 335.

Appendix C - Interviews and Questionnaires

C1- Expertise of the position

According to the job description from WijTechniek (2024), a project manager from Siers is responsible for managing and organizing the underlying team while aligning with the unit's objectives. Alongside the team, the manager ensures safety, quality, planning, financial status, and team organization. They manage risks and opportunities for current and potential projects. Additionally, internal developments and innovations are communicated and applied within the company.

Logistics Service and Planning (Alliander) [Int 1]: Material recording and logistic planning of material collection after project completion.

Team Leader (Siers) Int 21: The interviewee works on the already engineered and designed projects from Alliander, involved hand in hand with the planner of the projects. In this position, the responsibility lies in schedule, finance, and workflow for all mainline works with Siers. This individual has expertise in the material movement and on-site.

CSR(d) Manager and Account Manager Energie (TKF) [Int 3]: Responsible for the development of CSRD in the Sustainability department.

Logistic Administration (HKS) [Int 4]: Senior administration responsible for wight bridge administration of all HKS locations in the Netherlands. Within HKS and relations with Alliander, they are responsible for the logistics, administration as well as invoicing their working fee and transportation. The interviewee has a long experience in the metal recycling world and is familiar with the processing of waste, material quality, and legal regulations.

Project Controller (Siers) [Int 5]: Responsible for the implementation of predictability of project results and the financial process that could influence it. Additionally, is responsible for the implementation of the CSRD legislation for the Sieres Groep. With it, the position is also in the sustainability department where they work on how Siers can have more sustainable approaches.

Purchaser (Siers)[Int 6]: Responsible for purchasing the assets for all projects. In this case, Sier's assets are 90% worker-based. Expertise in the contracts with the people who work on-site. *Project manager of large projects (Siers)[Int 7]:* Background on mechanical engineering and electrical projects. Responsible for the "Unit Project", these are projects that have a higher budget than others. The activities that concern the person are on the design and building assignments in the project plans. The projects that the manager is working on are focused on the energy transition of the Netherlands.

Project Manager (Alliander) [Int 8]: Project manager in projects with large client consumers and the reconstruction of the network. The interviewee works in high-voltage nets (20kv) and high-voltage stations. From which the goal is to also reconstruct the current stations. Additionally, the individual is involved in innovation and sustainability projects from Alliander. Has a background study in mechanics and electrotechnics.

Circular Manager (Alliander)[Int 9]: In this position, the interviewer is responsible for stimulating the production and use of circular materials. The position belongs to the procurement department specifically working on policy and the implementation of circularity from the CSRD. The team manages the returned assets of uncoded and incomplete materials streams from the operation of projects as, the production of specific materials from the Alliander'd grid, and provisioning of temporary mid-volume stations.

Team Leader Worker Manager (Siers)[Int 10]: Responsible for developing the work plans for the mechanics to make the connections in the cables. Make sure that the tight connection with the cable is properly achieved. From its activities with cables, its expertise lies with not only the material but also the actions that are taken by the worker (mechanic from Siers).

Regional Manager (Siers)[Int 11]: Project manager in charge of the already engineered and designed projects from Siers. Responsible for four locations of Siers in the projects of North Holland and northeast of the country. Mostly on the projects of the main lines (medium-voltage) and house lines (low-voltages). In the same team as the team leader from Siers.

C2- Interview Questions Categorized to Measures

The next tables display the questions asked to each participant depending on the measure. However, some of the participants shared information from measures without being asked any specific questions.

Position	Measure	Questions
Team Leader	1	Is there any policy or regulation for the amount of waste?
Siers		What are the waste management policies and contracts that you have to follow?
Project Controller	$\mathbf{1}$	How do subcontractors work?
Siers		
Purchaser	1	Are there any procedures that are expected from the subcontractors in regard to waste reduction?
Siers		
Circular Manager	1	Are there any contracts with the subcontractors regarding waste?
Alliander		
		What are the waste and handling conditions for Siers?
Regional Manager	$\mathbf{1}$	I heard that the subcontractors usually have to provide an Environmental Management Act, is this always asked of
Siers		the contractors?
		Is there any way in which you tell the subcontractors or workers on site what they are supposed to do with the waste?

Table 2.1- Component 1, Contract Management

Appendix D- Analyzed Documents and Policies

D1- Document Organization

Table D1.1 - Measure 1- Waste target set for sub-trades

Document	Stakeholder	Description
Special conditions for the execution of works.	Siers	Conditions contract for Siers Group and its operating companies. Here the expectations for the company that will work on site are presented. Usually, the contract is sent to the sub-contractors along with the clients (Network owner). These conditions are also expected to be followed by the workers from Siers if no sub-contractors are used. There are 7 main conditions: 1. Labor, safety, and the environment (contains helpful) information for measure 3) Disposal and treatment of waste 2. 3. Issue of material 4. Provision of material by client Purchase of materials by client 5. 6. Executive work 7. Additional information Each of these has sub-conditions that are in Appendix

Table D1.2- Measure 2- Recycling target as project

		The document also presents the non-recyclable materials and materials that are not permitted in these containers.
Metal In the Waste Management Plan	Alliander, HKS	Waste streams to understand how waste is disposed of. Not included in the waste stream of Siers because they are not responsible for the waste disposal and processing of metal cables. This waste stream is considered for the materials of aluminum, mixed scrap metals (ferrous materials and non-ferrous materials), non-ferrous metals, and scrap cables.

Table D1.4- Measure 5- Provision of waste skips

		company are presented on the website. The portal presents 94% of the recycling obtained by Siers'
		waste purchasing department
Valuable booklet	Renewi	The waste is recycled into new raw materials, green energy, and gray energy. The process turns waste into products following circularity.
Condition for Acceptance Netherlands	Alliander and Siers	Type of waste classified in what section? The accepted materials that lie in the category of waste management, the document sets how waste streams work. Both stakeholders can determine what skips are relevant to their projects.

Table D1.5- Measure 6- Detect the construction activities that can admit reusable materials from the construction

Table D1.7- Measure8 and 9- Maximization of on-site reuse of materials

D1.8- Measure 11- Adequate materials delivery and movement

D2- Policies Organization

Table D2.1 - Policies used by Alliander

Document	Concept	Measure
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility	Recycling target to be set for every project
Integrated National Energy and Climate Plan	Set goals	Contract management
Environmental Legislation	Waste management (from the Netherlands)	Contract management
Dutch law environmental management Waste policy sheet, chapter 10	Tools for the waste management plan that regulates https://www.bodemrichtlijn.nl/ Bibliotheek/beleid/beleid-van- centrale-overheid/landelijk-bel eid/beleidsblad-wet-milieubeh eer/beleidsblad-afvalstoffen-h9 5099 The processor of the cables needs to have a permit	Contract management
National Waste Management Plan NWMP3	Accordance with a statutory procedure that allows public consultation. Leads to waste policy: Restricting waste generation Restricts the burden of production chains on the environment Optimisation of the use of waste with circular economy 14. Paper or plastic insulated cables and remnants Sector	Contract management

	Plan (PDF) https://lap3.nl/sectorplannen/se ctorplannen/kabels/	
EVOA Importing and Exporting Waste EWSR	Waste transportation Waste shipment Regulations	Adequate material delivery and movement
Regulation of the EU Parliament and the Council on Shipping Waste	Waste list for the segregation of waste	Waste regulation but also provision of waste skips for specific materials
Monthly Report from HKS	Waste flow at the end of the month with the processors	Making sub-contractors responsible
LAP3 Policy Framework from the Waste Waste Management	Used by governments in waste management decisions and overall the policy of waste management. Policy framework - LAP3 Guideline on waste or non-waste - LAP3	Recycling target to be set for

Table D2.2- Policies followed by Siers

Table D2.3- Policies followed by HKS

Appendix E - Dutch Policy Followed by Stakeholders

Table E1- Dutch Policy Followed by Allainder

	environmental regulations with rules when there are harmful activities to
	the environment. Metal processing is once and the shredded waste should
	also be considered in this process.

Table E2- Dutch Policies Followed by Siers

The legal scope of the LAP:

- Conditions when grating permit
- General policy of waste separation
- Policy and licensing of collection
- Policy on storage and transshipment of waste
- Tasks and powers of various authorities involved in waste policy implementation including all the provinces and municipalities
- Eural

Appendix F- Special Conditions, Condition 2 (Disposal and treatment of waste)

Table F1- Document of Special Conditions for the execution of Works, Siers Group and its operating Companies Analysis

Appendix G- Large-Scale Neighborhood Project Site Visit

G1- Observations and Notes

70,000 house connections in Enschede. The project is done by dividing it into substations that feed certain neighborhoods. This is a project that is being innovative and trying to find new ways to divide the organization for the construction of energy networks.

Although this project is meant to re-construct the network of a neighborhood, it is being considered as a "migration" of the old network and way of working. There used to be several network owners, around 100+ and each of them would have its way of engineering and feeding the network. This is why the project is more complex, there are very old and different cables that are being connected and changed for the new network.

In a general sense, if the old school working keeps happening and there are no experiments on how to change the way things work on-site and innovate, then there will be no difference in waste management. The goal is to have everyone in the supply chain willing to work together and consider what changes should be made for the chain to benefit.

One of the main engineering issues that have been encountered in this project is that the placement of the substations is not optimal. They should be centralized so the length of the cables is not long and there is no need for a lot of cables coming straight from one part of the substation.

This is an energy transition project which also means that the energy demand used to be average and there was no need for these big projects. The pressure is also delivering the new network that takes time and therefore speed on the work is very important. Some delays happen because of rain, which causes all the trenches to get filled with water and get soil inserted into the hole. When the trenches are filled with water and soil, the only option is to re-excavate and that is completely a delay on the schedule.

This is a big project in which the engineering and logistics are given to Siers, this is something that is also not common. However, with this organization, it is possible to encounter new ways to find effective matters. There is a big misunderstanding of efficiency and effectiveness which are important for these projects. The effectiveness is directly related to the design and engineering. This is also the starting point in which waste can be reduced and prevented.

For this project, there is a daily recording of what is found and placed on the ground which also provides the amount of material that has been used. Apart from the klick being used the proper way. The maintenance protocol is very important for this. It assures that the unknown cables that are found when there is an excavation and they are not on the map, then it is impossible to know where the cable is connected to.

Central Storage Lay Out Storag Reels θ Contai ners Drop off of materials Other Materials (soil and bricks) g materials Entrance Offices Parking Secu

G2- Storage and Segregation area Outline from Site Visit in Neighborhood Projects

Figure G2- Central storage area lay out

G3- Images

Figure G.3.1- Waste Skips Waste skips for different materials. Picture 1 shows how the skips are labeled in font so segregation is done properly. Picture 2 shows the skip dedicated for the old extracted cable.

Figure G3.2- Storage area

Figure G3.3- Stored materials

Figure G3.4- Storage facilities for materials

Appendix H- Medium Voltage Site Visit

H1- Observations and Notes

Subcontractors, one director from Siers, and the contract was to get paid by meters. **Duration of project:** approximately 6 months

The task: laying down a medium voltage cable while the old one had to be taken out. This is because new capacity is needed.

Also had to take the old water tube (asbestos) and change it with a new tube. Not in scope but shows how it shows that they are a company who are contracted to do several things from a neighborhood. T

Old cable: 10kV 3x95AI XLPE (three cables of 95mm diameter and wrapped with PE type of plastic)

New cable: 10kV 3x240 Al and 160 PVC (3 cables of 240 mm with a PV plastic cover)

Medium and high voltage cables are DC type, then they are connected to the low voltage cable that has four phases making them into an AC type. The fact that it is AC refers to how the amount of energy that is provided comes in oscillations where there are low peaks and there is no constant voltage coming into the houses or facilities in general. The fourth phase of the cable helps regulate this irregularity. Additionally, the low-voltage cables have other four smaller cables, these are for the small connections to traffic lights or light poles that don't require as much power.

Medium voltage cables are made out of aluminum, they need to transport more energy than the amount for the cable to be copper will make it too expensive. The low voltage cables are made of copper because they are better conductors and the distances are smaller. However, high voltage cables are also copper because the power that should be transported is too high to make

them from aluminum, this would mean that the diameters of these cables would be too thick and heavy for it to be handled.

Aluminum cables will need more surface area to transport energy than a copper cable would. This again, is because copper is a more efficient conductor of electricity.

There is always a transformation station that will regulate the kv that is going into the cables that are connected to the transformer.

The site is most likely going to be shared with other construction companies. In this case, there was road construction and sewage also being done at the same location.

The location was a 1km+ connection. They are done through sections, and the cable is even more than one of the big rolls that were on the site. The engineers set where to start and where connections should be made, although the goal is to not have connections it is impossible to do so because the lengths that are getting installed are long.

Process:

- Excavation
- New cable is laid completely
- Power is cut and the old cable is disconnected while the new one is connected
	- This is so no one dependent on electricity will have to
- The cable is covered with soil, the cover on top of the cable is placed throughout.
	- This is done so that when there are excavations done in the future people can see that there is a cable laying there and the excavation has to stop.
- While this happens, the old cable is also being cut and placed on the side of the excavation point where there is a small truck where the excess materials are used.
- The cables are then collected in a small wagon connected to a car and then all waste is disposed of in the skip

How the material is handled:

- The reel with 1 km is in a wagon towards the excavation starting point
- The start of the cable is placed in the beginning and rolled with the help of the steel things.
- New cable is laid down, excess is for the proper length is cut
- Connections in the areas where the engineers have drawn
- Old cut into smaller pieces to take it out
	- Everything is one waste

Contract Management

H₂- Images

Extracted old materials were disposed into this truck which was later carried to the segregating and storage area.

Cable is attached to a wagon. These are moved with a smaller truck into the site. Cables are later pulled with steel artifacts that allow an easier pull (Image 3). It is important to note the heaviness of the material.

Cut-off of the new cable while cable was already in the trench.

During the site visit it was possible to see how the new cable was being laid next to the old cable. It was also interesting the procedure on how the the new cable is laid, protected with the plastic red rug and then covered back with soil.

Appendix I- Waste Processor Portals

Table I1- PreZero Portal information with Siers and Alliander.

Table I2- HKS portal information

Table I3- Renewi portal options

Appendix J- Cause of Remaining Lengths

Figure J1 - Causes of residual length of cables (Schaap et al., 2022) pg 8.

Appendix K- Material Passport

Table K1- Material Passport

Appendix L- Materiaal Bon, Returning material document

Figure L1- Material Voucher for returning unused materials

Appendix M- Can Enexis Work More Sustainability?

Figure M1- Design for Cable Transportation

Offered Reel Design by TKF to Enexis for easier use and transportation in order to reduce the residual lengths. The design is describes to change the delivery process, and that the contractor can provide the cable for a project to his employees (sub-contractors or subtraders).