



by Katharina Lunenberg



UNIVERSITEIT TWENTE.

Master Thesis

Internal Corporate Social Responsibility Fit and Its Effects on Media Coverage

Katharina Lunenberg

January 2014

Final thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Science in Communication Studies

University of Twente Faculty of Behavioural Sciences

Examination Committee

Dr. Jordy F. Gosselt (1st supervisor) Prof. Dr. Menno D. T. de Jong (2nd supervisor)

Katharina Lunenberg | Student number: 1003062 | Grönebergstr. 22 | 59069 Hamm, Germany | k.lunenberg@student.utwente.nl | +49 175 273 59 03

PREFACE

After about 10 months of searching literature, thinking and rethinking, reading and coding news articles, I can complete the most important project of my university education – my master thesis on internal Corporate Social Responsibility fit and its effects on media coverage. It has been a very exhausting but at the same time valuable and instructive period. At this point I would like to mention some important persons who accompanied and supported me during this essential phase of my education.

I would like to thank Jordy Gosselt and Menno de Jong who supervised me during the whole research project. They always found a way to trigger my thinking in such a way that new valuable perspectives opened up which definitely added great value to this research. Thanks a lot for this constructive feedback and all the support.

Moreover, thanks go to Susanne Barth who supported me a lot in validating the code book for the content analysis of the news articles.

In addition, I would like to thank Olaf Fisscher and André Nijhof who conducted the expert review together with me. Without their great effort, expertise and valuable input I would not have been able to perform this study.

Finally, a big thank you goes to my family and friends who were always there for me during this exciting time. Thanks for all the understanding, motivation and appreciation.

Katharina Lunenberg Dortmund, 3rd of January, 2014

ABSTRACT

This research discusses the influence of the degree of fit between an organization's CSR activities and its business practices on the media coverage of CSR. Businesses' role in society nowadays clearly goes beyond a simple economic responsibility and also includes a social and environmental aspect. Communicating this new role to the public organizations may encounter counterproductive effects. While stakeholders would like to know more about the organizations' CSR activities, "too much effort to create awareness can have a boomerang effect as stakeholders become cynical and skeptical" (Coombs & Holladay, 2012, p. 111) and CSR activities can lead to legitimacy destruction when one or several external stakeholders perceive these activities as window-dressing. Here, the media are a central actor, because they clearly codetermine the public discourse on CSR (Zhang & Swanson, 2006; Wang, 2007). They are not only a channel which organizations use to communicate to the public but also an independent monitor of organizations' activities representing the public's interest (Zhang & Swanson, 2006).

In face of these possible obstacles and the central role the media play in the public discourse on CSR (Buhr & Grafström, 2006; Wang, 2007; Zhang & Swanson, 2006), internal CSR fit seems to be an interesting concept, because it focuses on the relevance of CSR in terms of the organizations' core business (Yuan et al., 2011). Since past research indicates a significant effect of internal CSR fit on the public perception (Becker-Olsen, Cudmore & Hill, 2006; Bloom, Hoeffler, Keller & Meza, 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen, Mohr, & Webb, 2000; Elving, 2012) and the media, as independent monitor of organizational activities (Zhang & Swanson, 2006), have a great responsibility in informing the public in an adequate way, it is expected that internal CSR fit would influence the way in which the media present organizations and their CSR activities.

513 news articles on organizations' CSR activities from newspapers and magazines were investigated via two different studies. First, an expert review of the fit between the CSR initiatives presented in the news articles and the core business of the organizations pursuing these initiatives was conducted. Second, a quantitative content analysis measured the tone of voice and framing of the media coverage. The statistical analysis, which combined the results from the two studies, revealed that the relationship between internal CSR fit and the presentation of organizations and their CSR in the media is rather weak. While internal CSR fit does not impact the tone of voice of the media coverage, it weakly predicts the framing in the news articles. In addition, there are single influences of internal CSR fit found on separate aspects of the frames applied in the media. The identified impacts are statistically weak and point in two opposite directions with some pledging for a positive and the others pledging for a negative influence of a high degree of internal CSR fit. Since the negative impacts slightly outweigh the positive impacts it might be concluded that high internal CSR fit has a weak, negative influence on the framing of the media coverage. However, one has to consider that whether there is a positive or negative impact depends on which specific aspects of the framing is considered, because for some CSR frame variables there was also found a positive impact.

This weak relationship between internal CSR fit and media coverage offers two options for future research: looking for different CSR related factors that may impact media coverage or investigating the weak relationships identified more closely. Moreover, taking a more open approach

future research may seek to identify the meaning of internal CSR fit to journalists and how they perceive their role in the public discourse on CSR. In addition, exploring possible interaction effects of internal CSR fit and media coverage on the public perception may also be a valuable direction for future research.

Finally, adopting the theoretical insights derived from this research to the practice organizations are advised to engage in CSR, no matter whether deeply integrated into their core operations or in a superficial way. Since the perception of CSR in the media seems to be mainly positive, simply pursuing CSR can be expected to increase the likelihood of positive media coverage. Furthermore, the media should increase the transparency of their reporting in order to deliver the public an unbiased picture of the state of CSR and imply a more critical public discourse on this prominent topic. A good way to foster such critical perspectives among media professionals – and therefore also a more critical public perception – would be a form of CSR education for this specific target group.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	6
2. THEORETICLA FRAMEWORK	8
2.1 Defining Corporate Social Responsibility	8
2.2 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit	9
2.2.1 Different Dimensions of Corporate Social Responsibility Fit	9
2.2.2 Internal Consistency of Corporate Social Responsibility	10
2.3 Media Coverage	12
2.3.1 Tone of Voice	12
2.3.2 Framing	13
2.4 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit and Media Coverage	13
2.4.1 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit and Public Perception	14
2.4.2 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit, Tone and Framing	15
3. METHOD	18
3.1 Expert Review: Internal Corporate Social Responsibility Fit	18
3.2 Content Analysis: Media Coverage	19
3.3 Sample	22
4. RESULTS	24
4.1 Descriptive Statistics	24
4.2 The Impact of Internal CSR Fit on Tone of Voice	25
4.3 The Impact of Internal CSR Fit on CSR Frames	25
4.4 The Impact of Internal CSR Fit on CSR Frame Variables	27
4.5 CSR Frames and CSR Frame Variables	30
5. DISCUSSION	32
5.1 Theoretical Conclusions and Contributions	32
5.2 Methodological Limitations	34
5.3 Recommendations for Future Research	35

5.4 Practical Implications	37
REFERENCES	39
APPENDICES	44
Appendix 1: Code Manual	45
Appendix 2: Ideal Coding of CSR Frames	53
Appendix 3: Data Method Chapter	55
Appendix 4: Excel Table Expert Review	56
Appendix 5: Hand-out Expert Review	57
Appendix 6: CSR Fit and Industry, Country and CSR Initiatives	60
Appendix 7: CSR Fit and Tone	65
Appendix 8: CSR Fit and CSR Frames	66
Appendix 9: CSR Fit and CSR Frame Variables	69
Appendix 10: Strategic Idealist Frame and CSR Frame Variables	80

1. INTRODUCTION

Businesses' role in society nowadays clearly goes beyond a simple economic responsibility and also includes a social and environmental aspect. Communicating this new role to the public, many companies try to improve their reputation and other aspects such as consumer loyalty, consumer trust (Stanaland, Lwin & Murphy, 2011) and the attraction of high potentials (Berger, Cunningham & Drumwright, 2007). "However, stakeholders' low awareness of and skepticism towards companies' CSR activities are critical impediments in companies' attempts to maximize business benefits from their CSR investment [...]" (Du, Bhattacharya and Sen, 2010, p. 17). While stakeholders would like to know more about the organizations' CSR activities, "too much effort to create awareness can have a boomerang effect as stakeholders become cynical and skeptical" (Coombs & Holladay, 2012, p. 111), what is known as the CSR promotional communication dilemma.

A strong example of this possible paradox is the case of the tobacco industry investigated by Palazzo and Richter (2005), who found that the high distrust towards tobacco companies in general, that is grounded in the lethality of their products, leads to a counterproductive effect of typical CSR activities such as philanthropy, stakeholder collaboration and CSR reporting. Because tobacco companies are least accepted by the public all their legitimacy attempts are seen very critically; by presenting themselves as benevolent they increase the public skepticism concerning their business activities. Another concrete example of negative results of CSR activities is Shell's partnership for human, institutional development and provision of infrastructure with The Niger Delta Development Commission in Nigeria (Ite, 2007). The negative public perception that the resources and efforts devoted to this partnership would not reach the communities led to serious resistance through violent and peaceful protests representing a key challenge to these CSR activities (Ite, 2007). In addition, negative side-effects of CSR activities were also supported by Heugens and Dentchev (2007) who found that CSR activities can lead to legitimacy destruction when one or several external stakeholders perceive these activities as window-dressing. This means that CSR activities lowered the organizational legitimacy because consumers suspected organizations to try to compensate for ethical misconduct. All this emphasizes that organizations may encounter serious barriers when trying to fulfill their new role within society, because consumers may take a very critical and skeptical stance towards their CSR activities.

Since the media play a central role in the public discourse on CSR and can be perceived as independent monitor of organizations' activities (Zhang & Swanson, 2006) they should reflect this critical issues concerning organizations' CSR in their produced coverage. As the public perceives many issues through the media rather than through their own experience (Andsager & Smiley, 1998), the mass media have a great responsibility in informing their audience in an adequate way. More specifically speaking, media clearly codetermine the public discourse on CSR (Zhang & Swanson, 2006; Wang, 2007). This is also confirmed by Buhr and Grafström (2006) who found in their investigation of the framing of CSR in the Financial Times between 1988 and 2003 that the development of the meaning of the concept CSR was reflected in the in the media coverage.

In face of the possible counterproductive effects CSR may have and the central role the media play in the public discourse on CSR, internal CSR fit – "the linkages between new CSR

initiatives and what constitutes the firm's 'core'" (Yuan, Bao & Verbeke, 2011, 2011, p. 76) – seems to be an interesting concept in this context. With internal CSR fit representing the relevance of CSR initiatives in terms of the organizations' core business, a high degree of internal CSR fit requires the organization to deeply integrate CSR into their core (Yuan et al., 2011). Past research on the effects of CSR fit on the public perception is contradictory with some studies pledging for (Becker-Olsen, Cudmore & Hill, 2006; Elving, 2012) and others pledging against a high degree of CSR fit Bloom, Hoeffler, Keller & Meza, 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen, Mohr, & Webb, 2000). However, the relationship between internal CSR fit and the coverage of CSR in the media is barely investigated. Therefore, it would be interesting to explore whether the media are also influenced by the degree to which an organization integrates its CSR activities into its core. Taking these considerations together the central question this research seeks to answer can be formulated as followed:

What is the relationship between internal CSR fit and the coverage of CSR in the media?

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Defining Corporate Social Responsibility

In today's society and business world CSR is a highly relevant topic because environmental and social issues get more and more public attention, thereby becoming also important to the different organizational stakeholder groups (Smith, 2003). Since "corporations can survive only when their activities meet the expectations of stakeholders and social norms" (Lee & Carroll, 2011, p.117), CSR as an operational bridge connecting organizations and society, may be an essential mechanism for their survival (Frederick, 2006).

While the scientific body offers numerous definitions of CSR, Aguinis and Glavas (2012) specify the concept in a way especially suitable in the context of this research. They define CSR as "context-specific organizational actions and policies that take into account stakeholders' expectations and the triple bottom line of economic, social and environmental performance" (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012, p.933). Aguinis' and Glavas' (2012) definition specifies CSR not simply as an obligation but explicitly defines it as actions and policies pursued in an organization. This implies that CSR always describes actions which are pursued by an obliged entity and not simply the obligation itself. Thus, when investigating organizations' CSR one has to focus on the actions an organization actually pursues rather than simply the obligations it has; only if an organization acts in response to its obligations one can talk about CSR. In the context of the fit between an organization's CSR activities and its core business this view of CSR is especially relevant because CSR fit concerns the degree to which organizations' CSR actions and policies fit their core business. Moreover, Aguinis' and Glavas' (2012) consider the triple bottom line in their definition of CSR which is crucial because "its main thrust is to emphasize the importance of contextual relevance, stakeholder relationships and integrity in all business operations" (Painter-Morland, 2006, p. 353). Since CSR fit can be regarded as internal consistency "between new CSR initiatives and what constitutes the firm's 'core'" (Yuan, Bao and Verbeke, 2011, p. 76) it can be perceived as a specific form of organizational integrity which "emphasizes the importance of the alignment between an organization's values and its everyday practices" (Painter-Morland, 2006, p. 358). Fostering organizational integrity, a concept closely related to CSR fit, the triple-bottom line is a valuable addition to the definition of CSR in this research context.

Furthermore, looking more specifically at the organizations' possibilities to pursue CSR such activities can be sub-divided into many dimensions. The Ethos Indicators (Ethos Institute, 2007), an instrument developed by the Ethos Institute to support companies in integrating CSR in their management, offers a comprehensive range of CSR activities (see Table 2.1).

To summarize the considerations above it can be stated that within the context of this research CSR is defined as proposed by Aguinis and Glavas (2012) and operationalized according to the Ethos Indicators (Ethos Institute, 2007). Considering these numerous possibilities organizations have to become active in the field of CSR, the question evolves which CSR activities fit best to which company.

Table 2.1: Operationalization of CSR Activities

CSR Activity	Description
Values, transparency and	Activities targeted at the incorporation of values in the
governance	organizational structure in order to increase transparency and
	achieve ethically correct governance.
Workforce	Activities targeted at the well-being of the workforce.
Environment	Activities targeted at the well-being of the environment.
Suppliers	Activities targeted at the well-being and responsible behavior of
	suppliers.
Consumers and customers	Activities targeted at the well-being of consumers and customers.
Community	Activities targeted at the well-being of the community.
Government and society	Activities supporting ethically correct relationships with
	government and overall society.

2.2 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit

2.2.1 Different Dimensions of Corporate Social Responsibility Fit

In their study on the role of CSR communication in maximizing business returns Du et al. (2010) argue that an important factor in CSR communication is CSR fit meaning "the perceived congruence between a social issue and the company's business" (Du et al., 2010, p. 12). CSR fit is a quite complex concept which can be defined in different ways based upon varying factors. This variety is also reflected in Yuan's et al. (2011) paper on the integration of CSR initiatives in business. The researchers proposed that CSR initiatives of businesses have to fit in three important ways: external consistency, which is the fit with social stakeholder demands, internal consistency, which is the fit with social stakeholder demands, internal consistency.

In the context of this research internal consistency – "the linkages between new CSR initiatives and what constitutes the firm's 'core'" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 76) – is especially important for several reasons. First, reconsidering the definition of CSR applied in the context of this research – "context-specific organizational actions and policies that take into account stakeholders' expectations and the triple bottom line of economic, social and environmental performance" (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012, p. 933) – internal consistency and external consistency as defined by Yuan et al. (2011) reveal the most overlap with this definition. Since coherence only concerns the fit with other CSR practices it can be neglected in this research context. Second, looking closer at internal and external consistency one can say that external consistency is an extensively researched topic while internal consistency is far less examined within scientific literature (Yuan et al., 2011). Therefore, the greatest contribution to the existing scientific body can be made by focusing on internal consistency.

Third, and as the most important reason, one can say that since this research seeks to critically investigate the role the media play in informing the public about organizations' CSR activities internal consistency offers the best opportunity for this purpose. As independent monitor of organizations' activities (Zhang & Swanson, 2006) the media can be expected to represent

organizations' CSR in their produced coverage. With internal consistency representing the relevance of CSR initiatives in terms of the organizations' core business, a high degree of internal CSR fit requires the organization to deeply integrate CSR into their core (Yuan, Bao & Verbeke, 2011). Therefore, this dimension of CSR fit offers a great opportunity to explore whether the media consider organizational activities in terms of CSR integration in their coverage.

2.2.2 Internal Consistency of Corporate Social Responsibility

Based on existing literature Yuan et al. (2011) develop a comprehensive conceptual organizing framework with seven patterns of CSR initiative adoption which determines whether the CSR initiatives fit the organizations' core business or not. The basic principle of this framework is to identify the consistency between an organization's business core practices and CSR practices and by this determine how the latter relate to the former. While the first four patterns represent different degrees of internal consistency concerning CSR fit and can be perceived as an ordinal scale, the last three patterns – relabeling, trimming and cooperating – focus more on specific ways of CSR initiative integration. Relabeling means the recognition of already existing organizational actions as part of their CSR and therefore only describes the process of categorizing certain organizational activities as CSR. Trimming means the elimination of activities detrimental to CSR. Cooperating involves the creation of CSR practices through alliances and therefore only describes a certain practice in order to develop CSR measures. These three patterns are redundant in the context of this research because they can be assigned to any of the first four patterns. The recognition of organizational activities as CSR (relabeling), the elimination of existing CSR initiatives (trimming) and inter-organizational CSR alliances all can be pursued in such a way that they can be either categorized as born CSR, patching, thickening or positioning. Therefore, only these four patterns are used in this research in order to categorize the CSR fit of different organizations defined as internal consistency (see Figure 2.1 and Table 2.2).

	Business core		The role of CSR
	practices		practices
Born CSR oriented		>	
Patching (creating new CSR core practices)			



Figure 2.1 Four Degrees of Internal CSR Fit. Adapted from "Integrating CSR Initiatives in Business: An Organizing Framework" by W. Yuan, Y. Bao and A. Verbeke, 2011, *Journal of Business Ethics, 101*, p. 81. Copyright 2011 by Springer.

Degree of CSR Fit	Definition
(1) Born CSR	These businesses have incorporated CSR as a crucial part of their operations
	from the beginning of their existence. A so-called "CSR 'reflex' tends to direct
	systematically organization members' attention to both external and internal
	CSR issues [], where core business and CSR routines are indistinguishable
	from each other. The distinctive feature of these 'born CSR-oriented' firms is
	management's early CSR focus and commitment of specific resources to
	establish CSR routines at or near the founding" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 80).
(2) Patching	This pattern describes the creation of new CSR core routines meaning that CSR
	co-determines organizational operations and strongly interacts with current
	organizational routines therefore influencing future organizational practices.
	"If a firm is characterized by CSR core practices, this indicates that CSR co-
	determines organizational purpose, helps mold the firm's identity, and heavily
	influences resource distribution across the organization" (Yuan et al., 2011, p.
	82). Such integration of CSR is often reflected through the institutionalization
	of core values driving CSR in order "to encourage employees to consider
	systematically social and environmental parameters when making business

decisions or even to make decisions based on corporate ethics" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 82). In addition, the implementation of CSR values at the operational level is also crucial in this pattern.

- (3) Thickening This pattern means the creation of new "CSR routines as peripheral, core extending practices" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 83), which are built upon the core business routines and benefit from their strength. There are two important characteristics of this pattern. "First, core-extending CSR routines are still peripheral. Changes in peripheral practices normally do not lead to changes in core routines, but changes in these core routines do affect the content and process aspects of peripheral elements. Second, CSR core-extending routines do reinforce existing core elements" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 83).
- (4) Positioning This pattern involves the creation of new CSR routines as independent peripheral practices which "are not central to the firm's strategy and operations and do not exert much influence on the firm's future development" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 83). This means that even if firms would not pursue such CSR initiatives, there business core practices hardly would be influenced.

2.3 Media Coverage

Since the public perceives many issues through the media rather than through their own experience, the mass media play a crucial role in public perceptions (Andsager & Smiley, 1998). They are not only a channel which organizations use to communicate to the public but also an independent monitor of organizations' activities representing the public's interest (Zhang & Swanson, 2006). More specifically speaking, media clearly codetermine the public discourse on CSR (Zhang & Swanson, 2006; Wang, 2007). As the concept of internal CSR fit focuses on the integration of CSR initiatives into the organizations core (Yuan et al., 2011), it can be perceived as a specific form of organizational activities. Due to the central role of the media in informing the public about organizational activities – such as the degree of internal CSR fit – and their impact on the public discourse on CSR, media coverage will be discussed in more detail. Two concepts central to the presentation of topics and issues in the media are tone of voice (Hester & Gibson, 2003) and framing (Miller & Riechert, 2001; Price, Tweksbury & Powers, 1997).

2.3.1 Tone of Voice

While traditional agenda setting hypothesizes that the emphasis placed on certain aspects in the media coverage influences public perception, second-level or attribute agenda setting in addition focuses on the tone of the news coverage – the selection of attributes assigned to the topics of interest (Hester & Gibson, 2003). A classification of the tone of voice of media coverage into positive, neutral and negative is reasonable (Deephouse, 2000; McCombs & Ghanem, 2001). For example, when an organization's actions are praised one can talk about favorable media coverage, when an organization's actions are criticized one can talk about unfavorable media coverage and when no

evaluation of an organization's actions is delivered one can talk about neutral media coverage (Deephouse, 2000). In general, past research indicates that the tone of voice in media coverage impacts the public perception of the topic of interest (De Vreese & Boomgaarden, 2006; Kim, Caravllo & Cooksey, 2007, Shaw 1999).

Since media codetermine the public discourse on CSR (Zhang & Swanson, 2006; Wang, 2007) it is also interesting to explore how journalists evaluate CSR activities and the organizations pursuing them. Using a positive, neutral or negative tone of voice within their coverage, journalists may influence the way the public perceives organizations and their CSR. For example, in their investigation of the coverage of CSR in the Financial Times between 1988 and 2003 Buhr and Grafström (2006) found that the overall tone of voice of media coverage on CSR was positive with the intention to convince other organizations also to participate more in CSR activities.

2.3.2 Framing

Next to tone of voice, framing is a central media mechanism in forming the public perception of issues (Miller & Riechert, 2001; Price et al., 1997). Framing works via selection and salience and means to "select some aspects of a perceived reality and make them more salient in a communicating text, in such a way as to promote a particular problem definition, causal interpretation, moral evaluation, and/or treatment recommendation" (Entman, 1993, p. 52). By selecting and highlighting certain aspects of information frames make "a piece of information more noticeable, meaningful, or memorable to audiences" (Entman, 1993, p. 53). As a result frames define problems, diagnose causes, make moral judgments and suggest remedies.

Scheufele (1999) classifies "approaches to framing research along two dimensions: the type of frame examined (media frames vs. audience frames) and the way frames are operationalized (independent variable or dependent variable)" (Scheufele, 1999, p. 103). Since this research seeks to investigate the impact of internal CSR fit one can state that it takes the approach of media frames as dependent variable. Taking this perspective it can be assumed that the frames present within the media coverage result from journalists' social and professional routines (Van Dijk, 1985). This view is further supported by Gamson and Modigliani (1989) who argue that the "media discourse is part of the process by which individuals construct meaning, and public opinion is part of the process by which journalists and other cultural entrepreneurs develop and crystallize meaning in public discourse" (Gamson & Modigliani, 1989). Based on these considerations it can be concluded that there is an interdependence between media discourse and public opinion (Gamson & Modigliani, 1989; Van Dijk, 1985) mutually impacting each other.

2.4 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit and Media Coverage

Internal CSR fit can be expected to influence media coverage because of two main reasons: the impact internal CSR fit has on public perception and the prevalence of the concept of internal CSR fit in the journalists' perceptions. First, there is done little research on the effect of internal CSR fit on media coverage, so that the assumptions with regard to this relationship have to be based on slightly different research directions. Here, it is reasonable to apply observations made on the relationship

between CSR fit and public perception to this specific context, because the "public opinion is part of the process by which journalists [...] develop and crystallize meaning" (Gamson & Modigliani, 1989). Past research indicates that CSR fit impacts the perception of an organization and its CSR activities (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006; Bloom et al., 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen et al., 2000; Elving, 2012). As media professionals are individuals belonging to the public, they also can be expected to be influenced by the processes that are relevant to the public perception in the context of CSR fit.

Second, in their research Tench, Bowd and Jones (2007) interviewed 63 media professionals and tried to define what means CSR to them. One key component of CSR, as perceived by the respondents, reveals a clear link with the concept of internal CSR fit discussed earlier (see paragraph 2.2.2): how organizations run their business/activities. The fact that media professionals see the way how organizations run their business as a central component of CSR can be seen as a reflection of internal CSR fit defined as "the linkages between new CSR initiatives and what constitutes the firm's 'core'" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 76). A high degree of internal CSR fit means that the CSR activities are deeply integrated into the organizations' core and therefore also determine how the organization operates (Yuan et al., 2011). This makes clear that the concept of internal CSR fit coincides with this key component of CSR as perceived by media professionals. Since journalists have a need to preserve one's existing predispositions – their existing knowledge and attitudes (Donsbach, 2004) – and the concept of internal CSR fit already is inherent in the journalists' perceptions of CSR, one can assume that by seeking to preserve them media professionals will incorporate their knowledge and attitudes on internal CSR fit into media coverage. This assumption is further strengthened by the fact that Tench et al. (2007) found a willingness of journalists to cover those points journalists see as the key attributes of CSR – internal CSR fit being one of them.

2.4.1 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit and Public Perception

Past research on the effects of CSR fit on public perception is contradictory with some studies pledging for (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006; Elving, 2012) and others pledging against a high degree of CSR fit (Bloom et al., 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen et al., 2000). To start with, in his research Elving (2012) focused on the influence of CSR fit and reputation on stakeholders' skepticism. The experiment conducted in the context of this study showed that high levels of CSR fit lead to less skepticism which in turn positively influences the attitude towards the company and purchase intention. Elving (2012) argues that high CSR fit decreases skepticism, because a high fit eases the integration of CSR communication into the existing structure of the consumer, so that no inconsistencies are perceived and critical thinking is reduced. In addition, Becker-Olsen et al. (2006) find that while low-fit CSR initiatives negatively impact consumer beliefs, attitudes and intentions, high-fit CSR initiatives have a positive impact on consumer beliefs, attitudes and intentions.

Nevertheless, it has to be considered that there are other studies which clearly contradict these findings. In their study on charitable programs in the retail sector Ellen et al. (2000) discovered that donations incongruent with the firm's core business were rated more favorably than congruent donations. Such a negative relationship is further supported by Bloom et al. (2006) who explain that "low-fit initiatives may be responded to more positively by consumers under certain conditions" (Bloom et al., 2006, p. 54). Moreover, interviewing advertisement managers on the social dimension

of advertising campaigns, Drumwright (1996) found that the respondents expected that a too close relationship between the social dimension and the brand may provoke cynical consumers' reactions.

Taking these observations together it can be stated that the existing research on CSR fit points in two opposite directions. While some studies reveal positive effects of a high CSR fit (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006; Elving, 2012), others identify negative effects of a high CSR fit (Bloom et al., 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen et al., 2000).

2.4.2 Corporate Social Responsibility Fit, Tone and Framing

As reasoned earlier because media professionals are individuals belonging to the public, they also can be expected to be influenced by the processes that are relevant to the public perception in the context of CSR fit (Gamson & Modigliani, 1989). However, considering the contradictory findings on the effects of CSR fit (see paragraph 2.4.1) it is not possible to hypothesize whether a high internal CSR fit positively or negatively influences journalists' perception and thereby media coverage. Nevertheless, since past research indicates a significant impact of CSR fit on the perception of organizations and their CSR initiatives one can assume that this relationship is also valid for journalists thereby impacting the way in which these media professionals evaluate an organization and its CSR activities. More specifically speaking, one can assume that the degree of internal CSR fit will influence the selection of attributes assigned to the organization and its CSR, thereby leading to a positive, neutral or negative tone of voice in the media coverage (Deephouse, 2000; McCombs & Ghanem, 2001). However, whether a high internal CSR fit results in a more positive or negative tone of voice cannot be assumed. Based on these considerations following sub-research question can be formulated:

Research Question 1: How does the degree of internal CSR fit of CSR initiatives influence the tone of voice of the media coverage on the organization and its CSR initiatives?

Furthermore, based on questionnaires and face-to-face interviews Tench et al. (2007) identified five distinct orientations of how organizations were perceived by journalists concerning their CSR activities ranging from a strong negative perception (conformist) to a strong positive perception (strategic idealist) (see Table 2.3).

CSR Orientations	Definition
(1) Conformist	In the conformist orientation organisations are only involved in CSR practice
	because "everyone else is". These organisations are only following the
	example of others in order to not be left behind and view it only as a cost,
	and one which they are not sure of or have considered the real benefits that
	can be achieved from the practice.
(2) Cynic	The cynical orientation perceives companies, organisations, communities,
	groups and individuals signing up to the CSR agenda for purely self-
	interested purposes and that real substantive change is limited. For the

Table 2.3: Five Frames of CSR (Tench et al., 2007, pp. 355-356)

cynics CSR is a laudable cause but the motives, drivers and determinants of change are business and economic self interest and not any inherent desire to sign up to CSR for its own sake. Change is perceptual rather substantive and is perhaps best exemplified by the "business of business is to make money" mindset. For cynics CSR is a cost, a management fad and something to be endured.

- (3) Realist Realists recognise that CSR involves self-interest, are comfortable with this and see its potential to transform business, social, economic and other practices for the better. They believe that change for the better will occur, but that it is something people need to sign up to and it is not something that can be imposed. Realists see change occurring in a stepped, incremental manner. They understand the limitations of CSR, see its potential and work within existing frameworks and constraints to further implement and develop the agenda. For realists the cost of CSR is one that has to be paid to gain improvement, whilst it is of the moment it is more than just a fad and is something to be actively engaged with.
- (4) Optimist Optimists focus on the positive benefits of CSR for themselves, their communities and their businesses. They emphasise the potential benefits of CSR and downplay though do not disregard the negatives. For opportunists CSR is not a cost but an investment and is an agenda that requires active engagement. Optimists might be driven by community, environmental or pure economic self-interest. They actively seek out opportunities to influence, act on and deliver change.
- (5) Strategic idealist Strategic Idealists seek to maximise the positive benefits and minimise the negative effects of CSR. They recognise that CSR is about the long term and do not see it as a short-term fix. CSR is an investment, requires careful and considered engagement and has wide ranging potential benefits. It is something to exploit as a force for good, be that profit, community renewal, business or personal growth.

These five orientations highlight different aspects of how an organization is perceived to pursue CSR and therefore coincide with the concept of framing, which means to "select some aspects of a perceived reality and make them more salient in a communicating text, in such a way as to promote a particular problem definition, causal interpretation, moral evaluation, and/or treatment recommendation" (Entman, 1993, p. 52). As argued earlier, since journalists have a need to preserve their existing knowledge and attitudes (Donsbach, 2004), one can expect that by seeking to preserve them media professionals will incorporate their orientations into media coverage.

Moreover, as explained earlier due to the contradictory findings on the effects of CSR fit (see paragraph 2.4.1) it is not possible to hypothesize whether internal CSR fit positively or negatively influences journalists' perception and thereby media coverage. Nevertheless, since past research indicates a significant impact of CSR fit on the perception of organizations and their CSR initiatives one can assume that this relationship is also valid for journalists thereby impacting the aspects selected and highlighted by the media professionals. This leads to following sub-research question:

Research Question 2: How does the degree of internal CSR fit of CSR initiatives influence the framing of the media coverage on the organization and its CSR initiatives?

3. METHOD

The corpus included into this research consisted of 513 English newspaper and magazine articles which reported on an organization's CSR initiatives. There were two studies conducted in order to ascertain the effect of internal CSR fit on media coverage. First, an expert review on the degree of internal CSR fit of the CSR initiatives presented in these news articles was performed. Second, a quantitative content analysis of these news articles measured the tone of voice and framing of the media coverage. In the analysis the data retrieved from both studies was combined in order to determine the relationship between internal CSR fit and media coverage.

3.1 Expert Review: Internal Corporate Social Responsibility Fit

In order to determine the degree of internal CSR fit as defined by Yuan et al. (2011) an expert review was conducted. First, the CSR initiatives presented in the news articles involved in this study were listed in an excel table and grouped according to the organization pursuing the CSR initiatives (see Appendix 4). The descriptions of these CSR initiatives were copied from the news articles and it was tried to leave out any evaluative language, so that the descriptions were as neutral as possible. Because some articles included more than one CSR initiative, there were (n=547) cases that had to be assessed. In a second preparing step each company's website was consulted in order to retrieve a description of the organizations' core business. If there could not be found a summarizing description on the website itself, the free encyclopedia Wikipedia was consulted. All these descriptions were also copied into the excel table next to the CSR initiatives.

Based on the definition of the different degrees of internal CSR fit two experts categorized the fit between the CSR initiative and the organization in one of the four categories – positioning, thickening, patching and born CSR (see Figure 2.1 and Table 2.2). While the first expert was the researcher conducting the overall project, the second researcher was specifically involved for this substudy. During a first meeting between the first and the second expert, the former introduced the later to the research context, provided him with a hand-out summarizing the definitions of internal CSR fit (see Appendix 5) and both experts together evaluated about 20 initiatives in order to agree on how to categorize them.

Number of CSR Initiatives Evaluated	Карра
155	.333
153	.911
399	.357
399	.922
547	.774
547	.922

Table 3.1: Inter-coder Reliability for the Expert Review

After that, the two experts stepwise evaluated the CSR initiatives independently (see Table 3.1). In a first step they evaluated 155 initiatives resulting in a Cohen's kappa of .333. After this first round the two experts discussed those cases where discrepancies occurred and tried to achieve agreement. They decided to exclude two initiatives from the analysis, because these were not considered to be CSR activities, and adapted their evaluations if agreement could be achieved. This resulted in a Cohen's kappa of .911. Next, in a second step both experts evaluated additional 246 initiatives. For the 399 initiatives, which consisted of the 153 initiatives categorized before and the 245 initiatives newly evaluated, a Cohen's kappa of .357 was reached. After the discussion of discrepancies and the adaption of the evaluations the Cohen's kappa increased to .922. In the third and last step further 148 initiatives were evaluated independently and all 547 initiatives together reached a Cohen's kappa of .774. This value increased to .922 after having discussed the discrepancies in the last 148 initiatives and having adapted the evaluations.

Finally, in those cases where no agreement between the first or second expert could be achieved a third expert was consulted. In a meeting between the first and the third expert, this third expert was also provided with the hand-out summarizing the definitions of internal CSR fit (see Appendix 5) and introduced to the research context. During the meeting the third expert gave advice on how to categorize those cases of disagreement; for example if an initiative was categorized as positioning by the first coder and categorized as thickening by the second coder, the third coder explained which category was more suitable according to him. Moreover, the third expert advised to exclude three initiatives from the analysis because he did not consider them to be CSR, so that finally only (n=544) CSR initiatives were included in the analysis. All in all the consultation of this third expert made it possible to assign one specific degree of internal CSR fit to each CSR initiative.

3.2 Content Analysis: Media Coverage

Content analysis is a method that enables to analyze communication data in a systematic, objective and quantitative way (Neuendorf, 2002). These characteristics make the method suitable in order to identify how CSR is covered in the news articles involved in this study. These news articles were coded with a code manual consisting of 26 different codes (see Table 3.2 and Appendix 1 for the full code manual).

The first part of the code manual included descriptive information on the material coded and the organization presented in the news article. Here, the classification of the industry was based on the Industry Classification Benchmark (FTSE International Limited, 2011), a comprehensive industry classification system owned by FTSE International.

In addition, the second part focused on the CSR initiatives presented in the investigated news articles. The structure of this part is based on the Ethos Indicators (Ethos Institute, 2007), which is an instrument developed by the Ethos Institute in Sao Paulo in order to indicate the degree and area of CSR an organization participates in. According to this instrument CSR can be divided into seven different concepts: value, transparency and governance; workforce; environment; suppliers; consumers and customers; community and government and society (see Table 2.1). These categories were used to categorize the CSR initiatives described in the news articles.

Category	Sub-category	Definition	Карра
(1) Descriptive	Organization	Descriptive information about the	
Information		organization presented in the article:	
		- Name of organization	
		- Country of organization	
		- Industry of organization	.756
	Article	Descriptive information about the newspaper	
		/ magazine and the article:	
		 Name of newspaper / magazine 	
		 Country of newspaper / magazine 	
		- Number of words	
		- Number of CSR initiatives	
(2) CSR Initiatives	Values,	Activities targeted at the incorporation of	.740
	transparency, and	values in the organizational structure in order	
	governance;	to increase transparency and achieve ethically	
		correct governance.	
	Workforce;	Activities targeted at the well-being of the workforce.	.753
	Environment;	Activities targeted at the well-being of the environment.	.732
	Suppliers;	Activities targeted at the well-being and	1.000
		responsible behavior of suppliers.	
	Consumers and	Activities targeted at the well-being of	.738
	customers;	consumers and customers.	
	Community;	Activities targeted at the well-being of the community.	.700
	Government and	Activities supporting ethically correct	.729
	society	relationships with government and overall society.	
(3) Valence	Tone of voice	Overall tone of the article evaluated as	.733
		positive, neutral or negative	
	Holistic CSR Frames	Holistic CSR frames as defined by Tench et al.	.711
		(2007) (see Table 2.3)	
		- Conformist	
		- Cynic	
		- Realist	
		- Optimist	
		- Strategic idealist	

Table 3.2: Topics of Code Manual

CSR Frame	- Intention to follow others: The organization	1.000
Variables	mainly follows the example of others in order	
	to not be left behind concerning CSR.	
	- Intention self- interest: The organization	.738
	mainly participates in CSR for self-interested	
	purposes.	
	- Intention stakeholders' pressure: The	.790
	organization mainly participates in CSR	
	because of stakeholder pressure and in order	
	to satisfy these needs.	
	- Intention force for good: The organization	.879
	mainly uses CSR for its own sake, for an	
	inherent desire, as force for good.	
	- Change: Organizational change with regard	.715
	to the implementation of the CSR initiatives	
	- Cost vs. investment: Whether the	.758
	organization perceives CSR initiatives as cost	
	or investment	
	- Engagement: Degree of organizational	.736
	engagement in the CSR initiatives	
	- Judgment: Overall judgment of the CSR	.735
	initiatives	

The third part of the code manual concerned how the media presented the CSR initiatives and the organization. First, it was evaluated whether the overall tone of voice of the news articles was positive, neutral or negative. Second, the CSR frames as defined by Tench et al. (2007) (see Table 2.3) – conformist, cynic, realist, optimist and strategic idealist – were coded. "Coding holistic frames is one of the major threats to reliability in frame analysis" (Matthes & Kohring, 2008, p. 264) and Matthes and Kohring (2008) further assume that

a frame consists of several frame elements, and each frame element consists of several content analytical variables. As stated above, we assume that some of these different variables systematically group together in a specific way, thereby forming a certain pattern that can be identified across several texts in a sample. These patterns we call frames. (Matthes & Kohring, 2008, p. 264)

Therefore, the CSR frames (Tench et al., 2007) were coded in two different ways (see Table 3.2). First, the five frames were coded as whole coherent concept meaning that Tench's et al. (2007) definitions of each frame (Table 2.3) was provided in the code manual and the coder had to decide which frame was prevalent in the news article (see Appendix 1, code 26). If no frame could be identified, the coder had the opportunity to indicate this by choosing the option 'none'.

Second, in order to overcome possible reliability problems, as considered by Matthes and Kohring (2006), the CSR frames were split into certain variables which were coded separately (see Table 3.2 and Appendix 2). These variables were identified by analyzing Tench's et al. (2007)

definitions of the five CSR frames. In each frame a specific intention with which an organization was perceived to pursue their CSR activities could be identified. These intentions ranged from following other organizations in order to not be left behind, self-interest, stakeholder pressure to using CSR for its own sake. The four intentions were each added as separate code to the code manual (see Appendix 1, code 18-21) and it had to be indicated whether the intention was described in the news article or not. Furthermore, in each of the five CSR frames some form of organizational change caused by the implementation of the CSR initiatives was described. This organizational change could be identified to take three different forms: perceptual change - only how the organization is perceived changes rather than its core operations, incremental change – the organization changes incrementally step by step, and substantial change - the organization changes deeply, its core is involved (see Appendix 1, code 22). Concerning organizational change it had to be indicated which form of organizational change was described in the news article. If no form of change was prevalent this could be made clear by choosing the option 'not specified'. Moreover, each CSR frame as described by Tench et al. (2007) indicated whether the organization perceived CSR as cost or investment (see Appendix 1, code 23). The organizations were either described to perceive CSR simply as cost, as cost necessary to achieve improvement or as investment. For this code it had to be chosen between these three different options and the option 'not specified'. In addition, the five CSR frames indicated whether the organizations were perceived to show low or active engagement with regard to their CSR (see Appendix 1, code 24). If the news article did not specify the organization's engagement the coder could chose the option 'not specified'. Finally, all five CSR frames delivered a judgment on the organization and their CSR (see Appendix 1, code 25). While the strategic idealist and optimist frame delivered a positive, the realist frame delivered a neutral and the cynic and conformist frame delivered a negative judgment on the organization and its CSR. For this code it had to be indicated whether the news article delivered a positive, neutral, negative or no judgment.

In order to validate the instrument two coders independently coded 10% of the sample. After three rounds of coding and several minor adaptions the final version of the code book was validated with each categorical code reaching a Cohen's kappa of at least 0.7 (see Table 3.2).

3.3 Sample

"LexisNexis[®] is a leading global provider of content-enabled workflow solutions designed specifically for professionals in the legal, risk management, corporate, government, law enforcement, accounting, and academic markets" (LexisNexis[®], 2013). Via this data base (n=2455) English news articles published between 22nd February, 2013 and 23rd May, 2013 were selected. The search criteria leading to this selection were the search term Corporate Social Responsibility, two additional index terms – company activities or management – and the sources of the material – newspapers and magazines. While magazines are published only periodical and attract a more specialized readership, newspapers are still one of the most significant mass media today, appear daily and have a high circulation (Kipphan, 2001). These two kinds of sources are selected because they are expected to reflect the public discourse on CSR at two essential levels – people actively interested in topics such as CSR (magazines) and society at large (newspapers). In addition, the index terms company activities or management were added in order to limit the output of the search inquiry and increase the likelihood that news articles describing specific organizations' CSR activities were included.

In order to draw a suitable sample for this research all (n=2455) news articles were read through. News articles focusing on one single company, which was described to pursue one or several CSR initiatives, were included in the final sample, while news articles reporting about e.g. CSR in general, reporting about several companies or not describing specific CSR initiatives were excluded from the sample. Furthermore, news articles which could be identified as press releases (e.g. written in first person perspective) and repetitions of the same news article were excluded from the final sample. This resulted in a final sample of (n=513) news articles which ranged from short descriptions to extensive reports on an organization's CSR initiatives. On average the news articles contained approximately 378 words (M = 378.42, SD = 192.12) and came from 39 different countries (see Appendix 3, Table A.3) with India (24.8%), Nigeria (9.6%), Pakistan (9.2%) and Sri Lanka (5.7%) representing the biggest portion of the sample. The country of origin of the newspapers and magazines was not considered as a selection criterion because this research sought to determine the effect of internal CSR fit independent of cultural background.

4. RESULTS

The influence of internal CSR fit on media coverage is analyzed via several binary logistic regression analyses. First, the predictive power of the different degrees of internal CSR fit - positioning, thickening, patching and born CSR – with regard to the tone of voice of the media coverage is tested. Second, it is examined whether internal CSR fit predicts the CSR frames. Finally, the relationship between internal CSR fit and the single CSR frame variables is investigated. Before describing these statistical tests in detail, some general descriptive statistics are provided.

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

Looking at the percental composition of the data several interesting observations can be made. With regard to the different degrees of internal CSR fit (see Table 4.2) it becomes clear that most CSR initiatives are categorized as positioning, the lowest degree of CSR fit (41.3%). Consecutively thickening, patching and born CSR follow (31.2%, 19.1% and 8.4% respectively) with born CSR being the least frequent category of CSR fit. In contrast, the tone of voice of the articles (see Table 4.3) reveals an opposite pattern meaning that a negative tone of voice is least frequent (5.8%) while a positive tone of voice is most frequent (73.7%). A similar observation can be made when it comes to the different CSR frames (see Table 4.1). While the two most negative frames, conformist and cynic (1.0% and 3.1% respectively) are least present within the news articles, most often the second most positive frame, optimist (38.4%), is used. In addition, one also has to consider that in nearly one quarter of the cases it was not possible to assign a certain CSR frame (24.4%).

Taking these observations together it can be stated that there is an unequal distribution of the different categories of the three variables – CSR frames, CSR fit and tone of voice. Concerning the independent variable the frequency of cases decreases the greater the degree of internal CSR fit, while for the dependent variable of tone of voice the frequency of cases increases the more positive the tone of voice. Regarding the dependent variable of CSR frames it is noticeable that the two negative frames – cynic and conformist – have a very low frequency compared to the other frames.

Table 4.1: CSR Fram	e in %	Table 4.2: CSR F	it in %	Table 4.3: T	one in %
CSR Frame	}	CSR F	it	Tone of	Voice
Optimist	38.4%	Positioning	41.3%	Positive	73.7%
None	24.4%	Thickening	31.2%	Neutral	20.5%
Realist	18.5%	Patching	19.1%	Negative	5.8%
Strategic Idealist	14.6%	Born CSR	8.4%		
Cynic	3.1%	* Based on rour	nded		
Conformist	1.0%	average CSR fit	per article		

4.2 The Impact of Internal CSR Fit on Tone of Voice

Before conducting a binary logistic regression analysis on the predictive effects of the four different degrees of internal CSR fit – positioning, thickening, patching and born CSR – on the three categories of tone of voice – positive, neutral and negative – Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used in order to determine the correlations between the categorical variables (see Appendix 7, Table A.10). Fisher's exact test was used when there were expected cell counts less than five. Significant correlations could only be identified for positioning and neutral tone and patching and neutral tone (see Appendix 7, Table A.10). Therefore, a binary logistic regression was performed to ascertain the effects of positioning and patching on the likelihood that a news article has a neutral tone of voice. The logistic regression model was statistically significant, $\chi^2(2) = 8.661$, p < .05. The model explained 2.6% (Nagelkerke R^2) of the variance in positive tone of voice and correctly classified 79.5% of cases. However, none of the two predictor variables was statistically significant (see Table 4.4).

Tone	Predictor	B(SE)	Wald	Odds ratio
Neutral	Positioning	419	3.091	.657
	Patching	.501	1.972	1.651

Table 4.4: Binary Logistic Regression for CSR Fit and Tone

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001

Based on these results it becomes clear that the degree of internal CSR fit of CSR initiatives does not significantly predict the tone of voice of the media coverage on the organization and its CSR initiatives.

4.3 The Impact of Internal CSR Fit on CSR Frames

As a first step again Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was conducted in order to identify the correlations between the four different degrees of internal CSR fit – positioning, thickening, patching and born CSR – and the six different CSR frames – none, conformist, cynic, realist, optimist and strategic idealist (see Appendix 8, Table A.11). Fisher's exact test was used when there were expected cell counts less than five. When a significant correlation between one or more degrees of internal CSR fit and a CSR frame was identified, for each CSR frame a binary logistic regression was conducted (see Table 4.5).

After having conducted these binary logistic regressions for those degrees of internal CSR fit significantly predicting a certain CSR frame an additional binary logistic regression was conducted in order to control whether third underlying factors may impede these significant relationships (see Appendix 8, Table A.13). Here those CSR initiatives, industry categories and countries significantly correlated with both the degree of internal CSR fit (see Appendix 6) and the frame (see Appendix 8, Table A.12) were included as additional predictors into the analysis. Controlling for these underlying influences three out of the four significant predictive effects were eliminated (see Table 4.5 and Appendix 8, Table A.13), so that two significant predictive effects remained (see Figure 4.1).



Figure 4.1 The Effects of Internal CSR Fit on Framing

Holistic CSR Frame	Predictor	B(SE)	Wald	Odds ratio
None	Positioning	813***	12.594	.443
	Patching	.533	2.243	1.704
Realist	^a Positioning	.513*	4.509	1.671
Optimist	^a Born CSR	.780*	4.367	2.181
Strategic Idealist	^a Positioning	.830**	7.506	2.294
	Born CSR	-9.85**	7.451	.373

Table 4.5: Binary Logistic Regression for CSR Fit and CSR Frames

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 *Predictive effect disappeared when including additional predictors significantly correlated with the independent variable and the predictor.</p>

First, the binary logistic regression analysis performed in order to test the effects of positioning and patching on the likelihood that no frame was applied in the news articles was statistically significant, $\chi^2(2) = 23.920$, p < .0005. The model explained 6.8% (Nagelkerke R^2) of the variance in whether any or no frame was applied and correctly classified 75.6% of cases. Only positioning was a significant predictor and the odds ratio indicated that when the CSR initiatives were classified as positioning, it was more likely that any CSR frame but none is applied in the news articles. In addition, the binary logistic regression analysis conducted in order to ascertain the effects of positioning and born CSR on the likelihood that the strategic idealist frame was applied in the news articles was statistically significant, $\chi^2(2) = 20.375$, p < .0005. The model explained 6.9% (Nagelkerke R^2) of the variance in realist frame and correctly classified 85.4% of cases. Since the effect of positioning was impeded by underlying third factors, only born CSR was a significant predictor that decreased the likelihood of the application of the strategic idealist frame in the news articles.

Taking these observations together it can be stated that internal CSR fit of CSR initiatives does not strongly predict the framing of the media coverage on the organization and its CSR initiatives. Only two significant predictive effects were found and the variance in the frames explained by the predictors was very low (Nagelkerke R^2 about 7%). While the first significantly predictive effect simply showed that positioning increased the likelihood that any of the five frames was applied in the news article, the second significantly predictive effect reveals that the high degree of internal CSR fit – born CSR – decreased the likelihood of the application of the most positive frame – strategic idealist. Therefore, this second effect indicates a negative impact of internal CSR fit on the framing in the news articles. In conclusion one can say that a slightly negative effect of internal CSR fit on the framing of the media coverage was found.

4.4 The Impact of Internal CSR Fit on CSR Frame Variables

Since the CSR frames were measured in two different ways – as whole coherent concepts and as single CSR frame variables which were coded separately – the single aspects of the CSR frames were also considered in the analysis. First, again Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was conducted in order to identify the correlations between the four different degrees of internal CSR fit – positioning, thickening, patching and born CSR – and the CSR frame variables – intention to follow

others, intention self-interest, intention stakeholder pressure, intention force for good, change, cost vs. investment, engagement and judgment (see Appendix 9, Table A.14 to A.18). Fisher's exact test was used when there were expected cell counts less than five. Second, for those combinations with a significant relationship a binary logistic regression was conducted. Third, for those degrees of internal CSR fit significantly predicting certain CSR frame variables an additional binary logistic regression was conducted in order to control whether third underlying factors such as industry, type of CSR initiative or country may impede these significant relationships (see Appendix 9, Table A.21). Table 4.6 and Figure 4.1 summarize the significant relationships between internal CSR fit and the CSR frame variables (see Appendix 9, Table A.19 for complete table including effects impeded by underlying third factors).

Dependent Variable	Predictor	B(SE)	Wald	Odds ratio
Intention self-interest	Positioning	.819*	5.834	2.269
	Born CSR	-1.262**	10.999	.283
Intention stakeholder pressure	Positioning	2.840**	7.365	17.108
Change				
Not specified	Born CSR	1.189**	10.917	3.283
Substantial change	Patching	-1.008**	11.877	.365
	Born CSR	-1.742***	22.233	.175
Cost vs. investment				
Not specified	Patching	.532*	4.158	1.702
	Born CSR	.803*	4.928	2.233
CSR as cost	Positioning	-1.167*	5.403	.311
CSR as investment	Born CSR	875**	6.868	.417
Engagement				
Not specified	Patching	.789*	6.468	2.201
	Born CSR	.935*	4.397	2.547
Active	Positioning	.659**	9.448	1.933
Judgment				
Not specified	Patching	1.090*	5.320	2.975
	Born CSR	2.098*	4.114	8.149
Negative	Patching	-1.639*	3.930	.194
	Born CSR	-2.092*	5.598	.123

Table 4.6: Binary Logistic Regression for CSR Fit and CSR Frame Variables

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001

Taking a closer look at the impacts internal CSR fit has on the different CSR frame variables several interesting observations can be made. First, one has to notice that thickening as degree of internal CSR fit does not significantly predict any CSR frame variable.

Second, the analyses reveal that when a CSR initiative was categorized as patching or born CSR, the news articles were less likely to specify the CSR frame variables change, cost vs. investment,

engagement and judgment (see Table 4. 6 and Figure 4.1). Considering these relationships in detail seven significant influences could be identified. Three binary logistic regressions revealed that patching and born CSR decreased the likelihood that news articles specified whether the organizations perceive the CSR initiatives as cost or investment ($\chi^2(3) = 12.680$, p < .0005, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 3.3\%$, 56.3% of cases correctly classified), the likelihood that news articles specified the degree of organizational engagement in the CSR initiatives ($\chi^2(3) = 36.341$, p < .0005, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 9.4\%$, 64.9% of cases correctly classified) and the likelihood that news articles delivered a judgment on the CSR initiatives ($\chi^2(3) = 21.486$, p < .0005, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 7.2\%$, 84.8% of cases correctly classified). Considering organizational change only born CSR decreased the likelihood that the news articles specified the degree of organizational change only born CSR decreased the likelihood that the news articles specified the CSR initiatives ($\chi^2(3) = 54.862$, p < .0005, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 14\%$, 68.8% of cases correctly classified). These observations emphasize that CSR initiatives categorized as patching and especially born CSR are less frequently framed in terms of these CSR frame variables in the media coverage.

Third, there could be identified positive influences of internal CSR fit on the CSR frame variables intention self-interest, intention stakeholder pressure and engagement (see Table 4. 6 and Figure 4.1). The binary logistic regression on the organizational intention self-interest revealed that while positioning increases, born CSR decreases the likelihood that the news articles mentioned selfinterest as an organization's intention for pursuing the CSR initiatives ($\chi^2(3) = 31.881$, p < .0005, Nagelkerke R^2 = 10.3%, 84% of cases correctly classified). Furthermore, the binary logistic regression on stakeholder pressure as organizational intention made clear that positioning increases the likelihood that the news articles mentioned stakeholder pressures as an organization's intention for pursuing the CSR initiatives ($\chi^2(3) = 32.781$, p < .0005, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 16.3\%$, 93.6% of cases correctly classified). Looking at the judgments delivered by the news articles the binary logistic regression found that patching and born CSR both decrease the likelihood of a negative judgment ($\chi^2(3)$ = 15.256, p < .005, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 13.9\%$, 97.5% of cases correctly classified). These observations all point in the same direction, namely a positive influence of a high internal CSR fit on the framing in the news articles. While the lowest degree of internal CSR fit (positioning) increased the likelihood that the organizational intentions stakeholder pressures and self-interest are mentioned - aspects that may portray organizations in a negative way - the two highest degrees of internal CSR fit (patching and born CSR) decreased the likelihood of a negative judgment on the organization and its CSR initiatives in the news articles. In conclusion one can say that in these cases a high internal CSR fit led to a more positive and a low internal CSR fit led to a more negative representation of the organizations and their CSR in the news articles.

Fourth, there could be identified negative influences of internal CSR fit on the CSR frame variables change, cost vs. investment and engagement. The binary logistic regression on substantial change showed that patching and born CSR decreased the likelihood that the news articles described the organizations to change substantially with regard to their CSR initiatives ($\chi^2(3) = 76.354$, p < .0005, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 21.8\%$, 80.9% of cases correctly classified). In addition, the binary logistic regression on whether CSR is perceived as cost revealed that positioning decreases the likelihood that news articles describe organizations to perceive their CSR initiatives as cost ($\chi^2(1) = 5.897$, p < .05, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 4.2\%$, 96.3% of cases correctly classified). Furthermore, the binary logistic regression on whether CSR is perceived as investment made clear that born CSR decreases the

likelihood that news articles describe organizations to perceive their CSR initiatives as investment $(\chi^2(2) = 20.952, p < .0005, Nagelkerke R^2 = 5.6\%, 69.8\%$ of cases correctly classified). Finally, the binary logistic regression on active engagement showed that positioning increased the likelihood that the news articles described organizations to actively engage in their CSR initiatives ($\chi^2(3) = 28.608, p < 10^{-10}$.0005, Nagelkerke R^2 = 7.3%, 62.2% of cases correctly classified). All these observations indicate a negative influence of internal CSR fit on the framing in the news articles. The lowest degree of internal CSR fit (positioning) increased the likelihood that organizations were described to actively engage in their CSR initiatives - an aspect clearly highlighting a positive description of the organization – and decreased the likelihood that organizations were described as perceiving CSR as cost, what would put the organizations in a negative light. Moreover, the two highest degrees of internal CSR fit (patching and born CSR) decreased the likelihood that news articles described organizations to change substantially as a result of their CSR and the highest degree of internal CSR fit (born CSR) decreased the likelihood that organizations were described to perceive their CSR initiatives as investment. These two favorable aspects were less likely to be present within media coverage when the CSR initiatives had a high internal CSR fit. In conclusion one can say that in these cases a high internal CSR fit led to a more negative and a low internal CSR fit led to a more positive representation of the organizations and their CSR in the news articles.

Summarizing these observations one can state that internal CSR fit of CSR initiatives does not strongly predict the CSR frame variables present in the media coverage on the organization and its CSR initiatives. First, most relationships between internal CSR fit and the CSR frame variables were not significant. Second, in general the variance in the dependent variables explained by the predictors was very low. Nevertheless there could be identified significantly predictive effects of internal CSR fit on particular CSR frame variables.

4.5 CSR Frames and CSR Frame Variables

Since the different CSR frames were measured in two different ways – as whole coherent concepts and as single CSR frame variables which were coded separately – it was investigated whether these two different approaches coincide with each other. Only the relationships between the strategic idealist frame and the CSR frame variables was investigated, because this is the only CSR frame significantly predicted by internal CSR fit (see Figure 4.1 and Table 4.5). When the strategic idealist frame is prevalent the news articles are expected to describe the organization's intention for pursuing its CSR initiatives as 'force for good'. In addition, the news articles are expected to describe the organization to change substantially, perceive its CSR as investment and engage actively in its CSR initiatives. In general, when the strategic idealist frame is applied, the news articles are expected to deliver a positive judgment on the organization and its CSR (see Appenidx 2, Table A.2 for expectations).

In order to find out whether these expectations can be confirmed Pearson's chi-square test was used (see Table 4.7). The results revealed that all five CSR frames variables are significantly associated with the strategic idealist frames. When the strategic idealist frame was applied in a news article, it was 8.407 times more likely that the organization was described as pursuing its CSR initiatives as force for good and it was 10.603 times more likely that the organization was described

to change substantially. In addition, a strategic idealist frame was significantly associated with the description that an organization perceives its CSR as investment (11.239 times more likely) and that the organization actively engages in its CSR initiatives (31.242 times more likely). Finally, a news article using the strategic idealist frame was 48.773 times more likely to deliver a positive judgment on the organization and its CSR.

Strategic Idealist Frame	Pearson's Chi-Square Test		Odds ratio*
	Value	р	
Intention force for good	12.054	.001	8.407
Substantial change	93.171	.000	10.603
CSR as investment	81.152	.000	11.239
Active engagement	50.476	.000	31.242
Positive judgment	41.995	.000	48.773

Table 4.7: Pearson's Chi-Square Test for Strategic Idealist Frame and CSR Frame Variables

* see Appendix 10 for calculations.

Taking these observations together it can be stated that both approaches to measuring the framing in the news articles coincide well in the case of the strategic idealist frame, because the single CSR frame variables reflect this frame as expected.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Theoretical Conclusions and Contributions

The main motivation for conducting this research were the counterproductive effects that organizations may encounter when they seek to fulfil their new role in society and engage in CSR. While stakeholders would like to know more about the organizations' CSR activities, "too much effort to create awareness can have a boomerang effect as stakeholders become cynical and skeptical" (Coombs & Holladay, 2012, p. 111) and CSR activities can lead to legitimacy destruction when one or several external stakeholders perceive these activities as window-dressing. In face of these possible obstacles and the central role the media play in the public discourse on CSR (Buhr & Grafström, 2006; Wang, 2007; Zhang & Swanson, 2006), internal CSR fit seemed to be an interesting concept, because it focuses on the relevance of CSR in terms of the organizations' core business (Yuan et al., 2011). Since past research indicates a significant effect of internal CSR fit on the public perception (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006; Bloom et al., 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen et al., 2000; Elving, 2012) and the media, as independent monitor of organizational activities (Zhang & Swanson, 2006), have a great responsibility in informing the public in an adequate way, it was expected that internal CSR fit would influence the way in which the media present organizations and their CSR activities.

The results retrieved in this study do not confirm these expectations. First, taking a closer look at Yuan's et al. (2011) conceptualization of internal CSR fit, the analyses showed that the second lowest dimension (thickening) did not significantly predict any of the variables – neither those related to tone of voice nor those related to framing. The insignificance of this dimension of internal CSR fit may indicate that a conceptualization of internal CSR fit with three different dimensions may be more useful and relevant than a conceptualization consisting of four different dimensions.

Second, one has to mention that a low internal CSR fit is prevalent within most organizations which are described in the news articles involved in this study. While for most organizations their CSR activities are not central to the firm's strategy and operations, and do not exert much influence on the firm's future development" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 83) the minority has incorporated CSR as a crucial part of their operations from the beginning of their existence.

Third, in line with Buhr's and Grafström's (2006) findings most news articles had a positive tone of voice. However, internal CSR fit did not significantly predict the tone of voice of the news articles. Whether the CSR initiatives had a high or low internal fit with the organizations' core business did not impact whether the tone of voice was positive, neutral or negative. With regard to the frames applied in the news articles, one weak, negative, predictive effect could be identified. When a CSR initiative had the highest degree of internal CSR fit (born CSR), the likelihood decreased that the most positive frame (strategic idealist) was applied in the news articles. These observations make clear that internal CSR fit does not have a strong influence on the media coverage on organizations and its CSR initiatives. While there is no impact on tone of voice, the influence of internal CSR fit on the framing of the media coverage is slightly negative. These are important new insights because to date there was done little research on the relationship between internal CSR fit and media coverage. In their role as independent monitor of organizations' activities (Zhang &
Swanson, 2006) and channel through which the public perceives many societal issues (Andsager & Smiley, 1998) the media were expected to reflect the organizational behaviors of CSR integration in their coverage. However, it seems that internal CSR fit is not a central factor determining how journalists present organizations and their CSR to the public.

Moreover, these outcomes are not in line with past research which indicates that CSR fit impacts the public perception of an organization and its CSR activities (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006; Bloom et al., 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen et al., 2000; Elving, 2012). Since these studies investigated the influence of CSR fit on public perception and these effects were not confirmed one can conclude that when it comes to media coverage different processes than those relevant for public perception are prevalent. In the production of media coverage other factors may play such a central role that they distract journalists from reflecting organizations' integration of CSR in their produced coverage. For example, Kiousis, Popescu and Mitrook (2007) found "a positive correlation between public relations messages tone and media coverage tone" (Kiousis et al., 2007, p. 161). This might indicate that the tone of voice prevalent in public relations messages may influence the tone of voice of the media coverage thereby leading to a favorable presentation of organizations in the media.

Although there was found just one weak, predictive effect of internal CSR fit on the framing of the media coverage, both several positive and negative effects of internal CSR fit on the single CSR frame variables could be identified. While internal CSR fit did not have extensive influences on the CSR frames as a whole, some aspects of these CSR frames were positively and others negatively impacted by internal CSR fit. This confirms the contradictory findings on the influence of CSR fit (see paragraph 2.4.1) with some studies pledging for (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006; Elving, 2012) and others pledging against a high degree of CSR fit (Bloom et al., 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen et al., 2000). In accordance with past literature which identified a positive effect of internal CSR fit (Becker-Olsen, Cudmore, & Hill, 2006; Elving, 2012), the analyses revealed a positive effect of internal fit on the CSR frame variables 'intention self-interest', 'intention stakeholder pressure' and 'judgment'. On the one side the lowest degree of internal CSR fit (positioning) increased the likelihood that the organizational intentions stakeholder pressures and self-interest are mentioned – aspects that may portray organizations in a negative way. On the other side the two highest degrees of internal CSR fit (patching and born CSR) decreased the likelihood of a negative judgment on the organization and its CSR initiatives in the news articles. In conclusion one can say that in these cases a high internal CSR fit led to a more positive and a low internal CSR fit led to a more negative representation of the organizations and their CSR in the news articles.

Moreover, supporting the findings on a negative effect of CSR fit (Bloom, Hoeffler, Keller & Meza, 2006; Drumwright, 1996; Ellen et al., 2000), a negative influence of internal CSR fit on the CSR frame variables 'cost vs. investment', 'change' and 'engagement' was found. The lowest degree of internal CSR fit (positioning) increased the likelihood that organizations were described to actively engage in their CSR initiatives – an aspect clearly highlighting a positive description of the organization. In addition, the lowest degree of internal CSR fit decreased the likelihood that organizations were described as perceiving CSR as cost, what would put the organizations in a negative light. Furthermore, the two highest degrees of internal CSR fit (patching and born CSR) decreased the likelihood that news articles described organizations to change substantially as a result

of their CSR and the highest degree of internal CSR fit (born CSR) decreased the likelihood that organizations were described to perceive their CSR initiatives as investment. In conclusion one can say that in these cases a high internal CSR fit led to a more negative and a low internal CSR fit led to a more positive representation of the organizations and their CSR in the news articles. Taking these observations together, on the one side it can be concluded that high internal CSR fit may lead to a more positive presentation of the organizational intentions for pursuing CSR in the media coverage. In addition, high internal CSR fit may also positively influence the overall judgment delivered in the media coverage. On the other side, high internal CSR fit seems to negatively influence the presentation of organizational engagement, organizational change and whether the organization perceives its CSR as cost or investment in the media coverage.

All in all one can state that the relationship between internal CSR fit and the presentation of organizations and their CSR in the media is rather weak. While internal CSR fit does not impact the tone of voice of the media coverage, it weakly predicts the framing in the news articles. In addition, there are single influences of internal CSR fit found on separate aspects of the frames applied in the media. The identified impacts are statistically weak and point in two opposite directions with some pledging for a positive and the others pledging for a negative influence of a high degree of internal CSR fit. Since the negative impacts slightly outweigh the positive impacts it might be concluded that high internal CSR fit has a weak, negative influence on the framing of the media coverage. However, one has to consider that whether there is a positive or negative impact depends on which specific aspects of the framing is considered, because for some CSR frame variables there was also found a positive impact. Moreover, these outcomes illustrate that internal CSR fit may not be a central factor determining how journalists present organizations and their CSR to the public.

5.2 Methodological Limitations

There are several methodological limitations which have to be considered when interpreting the results of this study and should be eliminated when conducting future research. First, the composition of the sample of news articles creates some difficulties. First, using the term Corporate Social Responsibility when searching for news articles to be included in this study, many CSR initiatives not explicitly described as such may be ignored. However, searching for additional terms related to CSR (e.g. sustainability, sustainable, socially responsible, philanthropy etc.) would have produced a too large amount of news articles to select from. Nevertheless, one has to consider that different search terms may have led to a different sample.

Furthermore, the cultural background of the newspapers and magazines included is very diverse. While the news articles come from 39 different countries with India representing a remarkable part of the sample (24.8%), the conceptualization of the CSR frames (Tench et al., 2007) was developed based on the perception of British journalists. Since the Anglo cultures significantly differ from e.g. Southern Asia or Arab cultures (House, Hanges, Javidan, Dorfman & Gupta, 2004) the discrepancies between the national, cultural context in which the measured concepts are conceptualized and the national, cultural contexts in which the research is conducted may have impeded possible effects.

In addition, although it was tried to exclude press releases from the sample (e.g. news

articles written in first person perspective) it may not always have been possible to identify and exclude them, so that some press releases still may have been included in the final sample. This may have led to a positively distorted representation of the organizations and their CSR initiatives (Kiousis et al., 2007, p. 161). The problem here is that the borders between press releases and journalists' texts are fluent. It may be possible that in some cases they adopt the press releases word by word and in other cases they partly base their own story on them. Nevertheless, it still is the journalists' decision in how far they base their produced coverage on organizations' press releases.

Moreover, the measurement of the independent variable internal CSR fit via the expert review creates some constraints. The information about the CSR initiatives was taken from the news articles. Although it was tried to keep the descriptions included in the expert review as neutral as possible the valence of the news articles may still have influenced the experts' judgment. In addition, it has to be considered that the information about the companies' core businesses in most cases was taken from the businesses' websites. Since organizations can be expected to seek to present themselves as favorably as possible, these descriptions may also have had an impact on the experts' judgment. However, regarding the fact that a positive tone of voice of the news articles and at the same time the lowest degree of internal CSR fit are most frequent (see Table 4.2 and 4.3), this issue did not seem to be of too much influence on the experts' judgment. Furthermore, the information about the CSR initiatives varied greatly what sometimes made the assignment of a specific degree of internal CSR fit difficult, because there was a lack of information. These limitations may be overcome by measuring the concept of internal CSR fit via a more neutral source of information, e.g. the Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (RobecoSAM, n.d.). However, in the context of this study there was no other source suitable for retrieving information on the organizations' CSR, because it was necessary to evaluate the specific CSR initiatives described in the news articles.

All in all one can say that several of the described methodological limitations resulted from the specific circumstances in which this study was conducted. When interpreting the results of this study these limitations have to be considered.

5.3 Recommendations for Future Research

Based on the theoretical conclusions and methodological limitations some interesting avenues for future research open up. Since the results indicate that the relationship between internal CSR fit and media coverage is rather weak, there are two options: looking for different CSR related factors that may impact media coverage or investigating the weak relationships identified more closely. First, as internal CSR fit did not strongly predict the valence of the media coverage it may be assumed that other processes than those relevant for public perception are prevalent when it comes to media coverage. In order to explore which role internal CSR fit actually plays for journalists it should be focused on the group of media professionals. Identifying the mechanisms and factors that distract them from reflecting internal CSR fit in the media coverage may deliver interesting new insights on how journalists work and deal with the discourse on CSR. Here, Burke and Longsdon (1996) describe some strategy dimensions of CSR that might be relevant for further exploration. Next to centrality, which means "the closeness of fit to the firm's mission and objectives" (Burke & Longsdon, 1996, p.407) and is quite similar to CSR fit, they further mention e.g. voluntarism – "the scope for

discretionary decision-making and the lack of externally imposed compliance requirements" (Burke & Longsdon, 1996, p.407) – and visibility – "observable, recognizable credit by internal and/or external stakeholders for the firm" (Burke & Longsdon, 1996, p.407) – as CSR strategy dimensions. These concepts represent different strategic aspects when it comes to CSR. By exploring whether and how these impact the media coverage of an organization and its CSR, it could be revealed which strategic aspects are especially important and how an organization should design its CSR strategy in order to achieve a positive representation in the media.

Second, the weak effects of internal CSR fit on the framing of media coverage offer some interesting links for future research. Taking an open approach semi-structured interviews could be used in order to explore whether this specific group considers internal CSR fit when publishing material and which consequences this might have on the resulting media coverage. Here, it would also be interesting to scrutinize how the media professionals perceive their role and responsibilities towards the public when it comes to reporting about CSR. Yuan's et al. (2011) conceptualization of internal CSR fit and Tench's et al. (2007) conceptualization of the different CSR frames would build an adequate base for developing the interview questions and an interview guide. Furthermore, the specific influences of internal CSR fit on the single aspects of the CSR frames offer some interesting opportunities for future research. The effects detected in this study were so weak that no strong conclusion could be drawn. However, future research may explore whether there are significant effects of internal CSR fit on the specific aspects of the media coverage. For those elements, where a significant predictive influence of internal CSR fit could be identified (the intention with which an organization pursues its CSR initiatives, organizational change with regard to the implementation of the CSR initiatives, whether the organization perceives CSR initiatives as cost or investment, the degree of organizational engagement in the CSR initiatives and the overall judgment of the CSR initiatives) an own study could be conducted. For example, a similar study than this one could be examine in order to explore whether internal CSR fit impacts how organizational change with regard to the implementation of the CSR initiatives is presented in the media coverage. Here, one should elaborate the concept of organizational change and focus on this specific aspect of the media coverage, so that a detailed exploration of these aspects is guaranteed.

Third, since past research indicates that internal CSR fit influences the public perception and the media clearly codetermine the public discourse on CSR (Zhang & Swanson, 2006; Wang, 2007), it may be interesting to further explore whether and how these two aspects interact with each other and together may impact the public perception of organizations and their CSR. Here, an experimental design investigating how fit vs. misfit and positive vs. negative media coverage influence the public perception of CSR initiatives would be highly relevant. This would result in a 2 x 2 design (see Figure 5.1). A high degree of internal CSR fit could be represented by a CSR initiative deeply integrated in the organization's core business (born CSR) while a CSR misfit could be represented by a CSR initiative characterized by a positioning pattern of CSR adoption (Yuan et al., 2011). Moreover, positive media coverage could be represented by an imaginary news article describing the organization as a strategic idealist, while negative media coverage could be represented by an imaginary news article describing the organization as a conformist.

	Positive Media Coverage	Negative Media Coverage
CSR Fit		
CSR Misfit		

Figure 5.1 Experimental Design

5.4 Practical Implications

Adopting the theoretical insights derived from this research to the practice critical implications for both organizations and the media can be formulated. Apparently the danger of possible counterproductive effects due to an insufficient integration of CSR into organizations' core operations is not a problem. In general, those effects identified in this study are quite weak and the majority of the tone of voice of the media coverage on organizations and their CSR was positive (73.7%). Therefore, organizations can be advised to engage in CSR, no matter whether deeply integrated into their core operations or in a superficial way. Since the perception of CSR in the media seems to be mainly positive, simply pursuing CSR can be expected to increase the likelihood of positive media coverage. Looking at the few cases when organizations and their CSR were presented in a negative way (5.8% negative tone of voice, 3.1% cynic frame, 1% conformist frame), it becomes clear that in many cases this was probably a result of previous, problematic behaviors of the organizations. Some organizations used their CSR initiatives in a responsive manner thereby pursuing CSR in order to compensate for some problematic incidents caused by the organization. Many news articles noticed the organizations' intention but still were quite negative about the organization. This coincides with Heugens' and Dentchev's (2007) findings that CSR activities may lower the organizational legitimacy when consumers suspect organizations to try to compensate for ethical misconduct. Therefore, organizations can be advised to carefully consider the use CSR as compensation for problematic behavior. A well thought through CSR strategy is necessary in order to eliminate negative perceptions by both the media and the public.

Considering the role the media appeared to play within this context one can state that this actor deviated from its expected role within society. As independent monitor representing the publics' interest the media were expected to reflect organizational activities also in terms of CSR integration. However, they did not incorporate these into their coverage – neither via the tone nor the framing. This simply shows that internal CSR fit does not seem to be important to journalists when they report about CSR and participate in the public discourse on this prominent topic. Nevertheless, internal CSR fit is a crucial aspect which definitely should be considered when discussing an organization's CSR. Representing the relevance of an organization's CSR initiatives in terms of its core business, internal CSR fit basically indicates an organization's approach towards its CSR and therefore describes important organizational activities which are also interesting for the general public. Therefore, journalists and all other media professionals can be advised to consider this aspect more strongly when reporting on CSR. In general, they should be more critically when

publishing information about organizations' CSR. A more investigative approach towards journalism which scrutinizes the perspective presented by the organizations could foster a more transparent and honest public communication on the state of CSR. Furthermore, press releases or news articles, which are mainly based on press releases, should be made identifiable as such in order to offer more transparency to the public. A good way to sensitize media professionals for the complexity of CSR would be a form of CSR education for this specific target group. University research centers, that explore the representation and perception of CSR in the media, could try to get in contact with media professionals and enlighten them about the complexity of this popular concept. This would lead to a more critical discussion of the concept in the media and therefore also to a more critical perception by the public.

Finally, those people actively interested in an organizations' CSR can be advised to not only make their judgment dependent upon newspapers or magazines but should seek to consult further more neutral sources in order to get an unbiased picture of these organizational activities.

REFERENCES

- Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What We Know and Don't Know About Corporate Social Responsibility: A Review and Research Agenda. *Journal of Management, 38(4),* 932-968.
- Andsager, J., & Smiley, L. (1998). Evaluating the public information: Shaping news coverage of the silicone implant controversy. *Public Relations Review*, *24*(*2*), 183-201.
- Becker-Olsen, K. L., Cudmore, B. A., & Hill, R. P. (2006). The impact of perceived corporate social responsibility on consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Research, 59*, 46-53.
- Berger, I. E., Cunningham, P., & Drumwright, M.E. (2007). Mainstreaming Corporate Social Responsibility: Developing Markets for Virtue. *California Management Review*, 49(4), 132-157.
- Bloom, P. N., Hoeffler, S., Keller, K. L., & Meza, C. (2006). How social-cause marketing affects consumer perceptions. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, *47*, 49-55.
- Buhr, H. & Grafström, M. (2007). The Making of Meaning in the Media: The Case of Corporate Social Responsibility in the Financial Times, 1988-2003. In F. den Hond (Ed.), *Managing Corporate Social Responsibility in Action: Talking, Doing and Measuring* (pp. 15-32). Chippenham, England: Ashgate.
- Burke, L. & Logsdon, J.M. (1996). How Corporate Social Responsibility Pays Off. *Long Range Planning*, *29(4)*, 495-502.
- Coombs, W.T., & Holladay, S.J. (2012). Managing Corporate Social Responsibility: A Communication Approach. West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Deephouse, D. L. (2000). Media Reputation as a Strategic Resource: An Integration of Mass Communication and Resource-Based Theories. Journal of Management, 26(6), 1091-1112.
- De Vreese, C. H. & Boomgaarden, H. G. (2006). Media Effects on Public Opinion about the Enlargement of The European Union. *Journal of Common Market Studies, 44(2),* 419-436.
- Donsbach, W. (2004). Psychology of News Decisions : Factors behind Journalists' Professional Behavior. Journalism, 5(2), 131-157.
- Drumwright, M. E. (1996). Company advertising with a social dimension: The role of noneconomic criteria. *Journal of Marketing*, *60*, 71-87.

- Du, S., Bhattacharya, C.B., & Sen, S. (2010). Maximizing Business Returns to Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): The Role of CSR Communication. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, *12(1)*, 8-19.
- Ellen, P. S., Mohr, L. A., & Webb, D. J. (2000). Charitable programs and the retailer: Do they mix? *Journal of Retailing*, *76*, 393-406.
- Elving, W.J.L. (2012). Scepticism and corporate social responsibility communications: the influence of fit and reputation. Journal of Marketing Communications, 1-16.
- Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Towards Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 51-58.
- Ethos Institute (2007). *Ethos Indicators on Corporate Social Responsibility 2007.* Sao Paulo: Ethos Institute.
- European Working Community for Food-Inspection and Consumer Protection (n.d.). "Organic food fraud" in Italy – the latest facts. Retrieved http://ewfc.org/en/news/news- archive/31organic-products-scandal-italy/
- Frederick, W.C. (2006). *Corporation, Be Good!: The Story of Corporate Social Responsibility*. Indianapolis, IN: Dog Ear Publishing.
- FTSE International Limited (2011). *Industry Classification Benchmark: Product Specification ICB Universe Data Services.* Retrieved from http://www.icbenchmark.com /ICBDocs/ICB_%20Product_Spec_Nov2011.pdf
- Gamson, W.A. & Modigliani, A. (1989). Media discourse and public opinion on nuclear power: A constructionist approach. *American Journal of Sociology, 95,* 1-37.
- Hester, J. B., & Gibson, R. (2003). The Economy and Second-Level Agenda Setting: A Time-Series Analysis of Economic News and Public Opinion about the Economy. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, *80*(1), 73-90.
- Heugens, P., & Dentchev, N. (2007). Taming Trojan Horses: Identifying and Mitigating Corporate Social Responsibility Risks. Journal of Business Ethics, 75, 151-170.
- House, R.J., Hanges, P.J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P.W. & Gupta, V. (2004). *Leadership, culture, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies.* Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Ite, U.E. (2007). Partnering with the State for Sustainable Development: Shell's Experience in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Sustainable Development, 15,* 216-228.

- Kim, S.-H., Carvalho, J. P., & Cooksey, C. E. (2007). Exploring the effects of negative publicity: News coverage and public perceptions of a university. *Public Relations Review, 33*, 233-235.
- Kiousis, S., Popescu, C. & Mitrook, M. (2007). Understanding Influence on Corporate Reputation: An Examination of Public Relations Efforts, Media Coverage, Public Opinion, and Financial Performance From an Agenda-Building and Agenda-Setting Perspective. *Journal of Public Relations Research*, 19(2), 147-165.
- Kipphan, H. (2001). *Handbook of print media: Technologies and production methods* (Illustrated ed.). New York: Springer.
- Lee, S.Y. & Carroll, C.E. (2011). The Emergence, Variation, and Evolution of Corporate Social Responsibility in the Public Sphere, 1980-2004: The Exposure of Firms to the Public Debate. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *104*, 115-131.
- LexisNexis[®]. (2013). *About LexisNexis.* Retrieved from http://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/about-us/about-us/about-us.page
- Luo, J., Meier, S., & Oberholzer-Gee, F. (2012). *No News is Good News: CSR Strategy and Newspaper Coverage of Negative Firm Events* (Havard Business School Working Paper No. 12-091). Retrieved from http://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Publication%20Files/12-091_6d3f52ce-ab93-4cc6-82cd-e4fc7624c3b6.pdf
- Matthes, J., & Kohring, M. (2008). The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. Journal of Communication, 58, 258-279.
- McCombs, M., & Ghanem, S. I. (2001). The convergence of agenda setting and framing. In S. D. Reese, O. H. Gandy Jr, & A. E. Grant (Ed.), *Framing public life: perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world* (pp. 67-81). Mahweh, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Miller, M.M., & Riechert, B.P. (2001). Frame mapping: a quantitative method for investigating issues in the public sphere. In M.D. West (Ed.), Applications of Computer Content Analysis (pp. 61-75). Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group.

Neuendorf, K. (2002). The content analysis guidebook. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Palazzo, G., & Richter, U. (2005) CSR Business as Usual? The Case of the Tobacco Industry. Journal of Business Ethics, 61, 387-401.

- Painter-Morland, M. (2006). Triple bottom-line reporting as social grammar: integrating corporate social responsibility and corporate codes of conduct. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 15(4), 352-364.
- Price, V., Tewksbury, D., & Powers, E. (1997). Switching Trains of Thought: The Impact of News Frames on Readers' Cognitive Responses. *Communication Research*, *24(5)*, 481-506.
- RobecoSAM (n.d.). *Dow Jones Sustainability Indices*. Retrieved http://www.sustainabilityindices.com/
- Scheufele, D.A. (1999). Framing as a Theory of Media Effects. *Journal of Communication, 49(1),* 103-122.
- Shaw, D. R. (1999). The Impact of News Media Favorability and Candidate Events in Presidential Campaigns. *Political Communication, 16,* 183-202.
- Smith, N.C. (2003). Corporate Social Responsibility: Not Whether, But How? *California Management Review, 45 (4),* 52-76.
- Sprengel, D.C., & Busch, T. (2011). Stakeholder Engagement and Environmental Strategy the Case of Climate Change. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 20,* 351-364.
- Stanaland, A.J.S., Lwin, M.O., & Murphy, P.E. (2011). Consumer Perceptions of the Antecedents and Consequences of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *102*, 47-55.
- Tench, R., Bowd, R., & Jones, B. (2007). Perceptions and perspectives: Corporate Social Responsibility and the Media. *Journal of Communication management, 11(4),* 348- 370.
- Van Dijk, T.A. (1985). Structures of news in the press. In T.A. Van Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse and communication: New approaches to the analysis of mass media discourse and communication* (p. 69-93). New York: W. de Gruyter.
- Wang, A. (2007). Priming, Framing and Position on Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of Public Relations Research, 10(2),* 123-145.
- Yoon, Y., Gürhan-Canli, Z., & Schwartz, N. (2006). The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Activities on Companies With Bad Reputations. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *16(4)*, 377-390.
- Yuan, W., Bao, Y., & Verbeke, A. (2011). Integrating CSR Initiatives in Business: An Organizing Framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 101, 75-92.

Zhang, J., & Swanson, D. (2006). Analysis of news media's representation of corporate social responsibility. *Public Relations Quarterly*, *51(2)*, 13-17.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Code Manual	45
Appendix 2: Ideal Coding of CSR Frames	53
Appendix 3: Data Method Chapter	55
Appendix 4: Excel Table Expert Review	56
Appendix 5: Hand-out Expert Review	57
Appendix 6: CSR Fit and Industry, Country and CSR Initiatives	60
Appendix 7: CSR Fit and Tone	65
Appendix 8: CSR Fit and CSR Frames	66
Appendix 9: CSR Fit and CSR Frame Variables	69
Appendix 10: Strategic Idealist Frame and CSR Frame Variables	80

Appendix 1: Code Manual

- (1) Identification number of coder:
- (2) Identification number of article:

The code manual is divided into three parts. The first part focuses on descriptive information on the article and the organization presented. The second part focuses on the CSR initiative presented in the article. The third part finally concerns how the news article presents the CSR initiative and the organization.

Label	Definition	Legend	Code	To fill in
Organization				
Name		Fill in the name of the organization	3	
Country		Fill in the country, the CSR initiative is pursued in	4	
Industry	The industry <i>Oil & Gas</i> contains companies which: - produce oil & gas including the discovery, sourcing and processing of oil & gas. - offer equipment, services and distribution related to oil & gas such as pipelines. - produce alternative energy, renewable energy equipment and alternative fuels. The industry <i>Basic Materials</i> contains companies which: - produce both commodity and specialty chemicals. - produce forestry & paper. - produce forestry & paper. - produce / source industrial metals such as aluminum, nonferrous metals, iron and steel. - focus on mining of coal, diamonds, gemstones, gold, platinum & precious metals. The industry <i>Industrials</i> contains companies which: - focus on construction & materials such as building materials, fixtures and heavy construction. - offer industrial goods & services such as aerospace & defense, electronic & electrical equipment, industrial	Indicate the industry the organization operates in: (0) Not specified (1) Oil & Gas (2) Basic Materials (3) Industrials (4) Consumer Goods (5) Health Care (6) Consumer Services (7) Telecommu- nications (8) Utilities (9) Financials (10)Technology	5	

(1) Descriptive Information

engineering (commercial vehicles &		
trucks, industrial machinery),		
industrial transportation (delivery		
services, marine transportation,		
railroads, trucking) and support		
services (business trainings &		
employment agencies, financial		
administration, industrial suppliers,		
water & disposal services).		
The industry Consumer Goods		
contains companies which:		
- produce automobiles & parts.		
 produce beverages & food. 		
- produce personal & household goods		
such as household products, furniture,		
home construction, leisure goods		
(consumer electronics, recreational		
products, toys), clothing &		
accessories, footwear, tobacco.		
The industry light Courses to be		
The industry Health Care contains		
companies which:		
 focus on health care equipment & 		
services such as health care providers,		
medical equipment, medical suppliers.		
- focus on pharmaceuticals &		
biotechnology.		
blotteenhology.		
The industry Consumption Constant		
The industry Consumer Services		
contains companies which:		
- are food & drug retailers.		
- are general retailers of apparel,		
home improvement, and specialized		
consumer services.		
- are media such broadcasting &		
entertainment, media agencies and		
publishing.		
- focus on travel & leisure such as		
airlines, hotels, gambling, recreational		
services, restaurants & bars, travel &		
tourism.		
The industry <i>Telecommunications</i>		
contains companies which:		
- focus on fixed line		
telecommunications.		
- focus on mobile		
telecommunications.		
The industry Utilities contains		
companies which:		

			1	1
	- focus on electricity, both			
	conventional and alternative			
	electricity.			
	- focus on gas, water & multiutilities.			
	The industry <i>Financials</i> contains			
	companies which:			
	- are banks.			
	- focus on insurances such as full line			
	insurances, insurance brokers,			
	property & casualty insurances,			
	reinsurances and life insurances.			
	- focus on real estate, both investment			
	& services and investment trusts.			
	- focus on financial services such as			
	asset managers, consumer finance,			
	specialty finance, investment services,			
	mortgage finance.			
	- focus on equity / non-equity			
	investment instruments.			
	The industry <i>Technology</i> contains			
	companies which:			
	- produce software & computer			
	services such as internet and			
	software.			
	- produce technology hardware &			
	equipment such as computer			
	hardware, electronic office			
	equipment, semiconductors and			
	telecommunications equipment.			
Article				
Name of		Fill in the name of the	6	
newspaper /		newspaper / magazine		
magazine				
Country of		Fill in the country	7	
newspaper /		within which the		
magazine		newspaper / magazine		
		is published		
Length of text		Fill in the number of	8	
in words		words of the article		
Number of	Note: Please only count those	Fill in the number of	9	
CSR initiatives	initiatives sufficiently described. If	CSR initiatives		
	there is one dominant CSR initiative	presented in the		
	and additional initiatives are only	article		
	mentioned shortly, please code 1. If all			
	initiatives mentioned are described			
	equally detailed, please count all of			
	them.			

(2) CSR Initiatives (as presented in the newspaper article)

Label	Definition	Legend	Code	To fill in
Values,	Activities mainly targeted at the	Indicate if the article	10	
Transparency	incorporation of values in the	reports about		
and	organizational structure in order to	initiatives related to		
Governance	increase transparency and achieve	values, transparency		
	ethically correct governance.	and/or governance:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Workforce	Activities mainly targeted at the well-	Indicate if the article	11	
	being and development of the	reports about		
	workforce of the organization.	initiatives related to		
	(Example: active participation of	the workforce :		
	workforce for example via	(1) No		
	volunteering, recruiting, development	(2) Yes		
	and education of workforce, but NOT			
	simply monetary donations by the			
	workforce)			
Environment	Activities mainly targeted at the well-	Indicate if the article	12	
	being of the environment.	reports about		
		initiatives related to		
		the environment:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Suppliers	Activities mainly targeted at the well-	Indicate if the article	13	
	being, development and responsible	reports about		
	behavior of suppliers of the	initiatives related to		
	organization.	suppliers:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Consumers	Activities mainly targeted at the well-	Indicate if the article	14	
and	being of consumers and customers of	reports about		
Customers	the organization.	initiatives related to		
		consumers and/or		
		customers:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Community	Activities mainly targeted at the well-	Indicate if the article	15	
	being of the local/regional community	reports about		
	of the organization.	initiatives related to		
	-	the community:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Government	Activities mainly supporting ethically	Indicate if the article	16	
and Society	correct relationships with the	reports about		
- /		initiatives related to		
	government and overall society.			
	government and overall society. (Example: national issues, broader	government and/or		
	(Example: national issues, broader	government and/or society:		
	-	government and/or society: (1) No		

Label	Definition	Legend	Code	To fill in
Tone	Overall tone of the article	Indicate the overall	17	
		tone of the article:		
		(1) Positive		
		(2) Neutral		
		(3) Negative		
CSR Frames			1	-
Intention to	The organization mainly follows the	Indicate if the article	18	
follow others	example of others in order to not be	describes the		
	left behind concerning CSR.	organization's		
		intention to pursue		
		the CSR initiative as		
		following the example		
		of others:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Intention self-	The organization mainly participates in	Indicate if the article	19	
interest	CSR for self-interested purposes.	describes the		
		organization's		
		intention to pursue		
		the CSR initiative as		
		self-interest:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Intention	The organization mainly participates in	Indicate if the article	20	
stakeholder	CSR because of stakeholder pressure	describes the		
pressure	and in order to satisfy these needs.	organization's		
		intention to pursue		
		the CSR initiative as		
		satisfaction of		
		stakeholders' needs:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Intention	The organization mainly uses CSR for	Indicate if the article	21	
force for good	its own sake, for an inherent desire, as	describes the		
	force for good.	organization's		
		intention to pursue		
		the CSR initiative as		
		using it as force for		
		good:		
		(1) No		
		(2) Yes		
Change	Different kinds of organizational	Indicate how the	22	
	change with regard to the	article describes the		
	implementation of the CSR initiative:	organizational change		
	- Perceptual change: The organization	with regard to the		
	only changes superficially; only how	implementation of the		
	the organization is perceived changes	CSR initiative:		
	rather than its core operations (for	(0) Not specified		
	example monetary donations)	(1) Perceptual		
	- Incremental change: The	change		

(3) Valence (as presented within the newspaper article)

	organization changes incrementally	(2) Incremental		
	step by step	change		
	- Substantial change: The organization	(3) Substantial		
	changes deeply, its core is involved	change		
	(for example active participation of			
	the workforce)			
Cost vs.	Different organizational perceptions	Indicate how the	23	
investment	of the CSR initiatives:	article describes the		
	- CSR as cost: The organization	organization's		
	perceives CSR only as a cost (for	perception of the CSR		
	example if amount of money donated	initiative:		
	is mentioned)	(0) Not specified		
	- CSR as cost for improvement: The	(1) CSR as cost		
	organization perceives CSR as a cost	(2) CSR as cost for		
	necessary to achieve improvement	improvement		
	- CSR as investment: The organization	(3) CSR as		
	perceives CSR as an investment	investment		
Engagement	Different degrees of organizational	Indicate how the	24	
	engagement in the CSR initiative:	article describes the		
	- Low engagement: The organization	organization's		
	only superficially engages in the CSR	engagement in the		
	initiative	CSR initiative:		
	- Active engagement: The organization	(0) Not specified		
	actively engages in the CSR initiative	(1) Low		
	actively engages in the CSK initiative			
		engagement (2) Active		
ludgmont	The everall judgment of the CCD	engagement Indicate how the	25	
Judgment	The overall judgment of the CSR		25	
	initiative(s)	article judges the		
		organization's CSR		
		initiative:		
		(0) Not specified		
		(1) Positive		
		(2) Neutral		
		(3) Negative		
Holistic CSR	Different types of CSR frames	Indicate which CSR	26	
Frame	(consider previous codes when	frame is present in the		
	deciding on frame):	article:		
	- Conformist: In the conformist	(0) None		
	orientation organisations are only	(1) Conformist		
	involved in CSR practice because	(2) Cynic		
	"everyone else is". These	(3) Realist		
	organisations are only following the	(4) Optimist		
	example of others in order to not be	(5) Strategic		
	left behind and view it only as a cost,	idealist		
	and one which they are not sure of or			
	have considered the real benefits that			
	can be achieved from the practice.			
	- Cynic: The cynical orientation			
	perceives companies, organisations,			
	communities, groups and individuals			
	signing up to the CSR agenda for			
l		1		

purely self-interested purposes and		
that real substantive change is limited.		
For the cynics CSR is a laudable cause		
but the motives, drivers and		
determinants of change are business		
and economic self interest and not		
any inherent desire to sign up to CSR		
for its own sake. Change is perceptual		
rather than substantive and is perhaps		
best exemplified by the "business of		
business is to make money" mindset.		
For cynics CSR is a cost, a		
management fad and something to be		
endured.		
- Realist: Realists recognise that CSR		
involves self-interest, are comfortable		
with this and see its potential to		
transform business, social, economic		
and other practices for the better.		
They believe that change for the		
better will occur, but that it is		
something people need to sign up to		
and it is not something that can be		
imposed. Realists see change		
occurring in a stepped, incremental		
manner. They understand the		
limitations of CSR, see its potential		
and work within existing frameworks		
and constraints to further implement		
and develop the agenda. For realists		
the cost of CSR is one that has to be		
paid to gain improvement, whilst it is		
of the moment it is more than just a		
fad and is something to be actively		
engaged with.		
- Optimist: Optimists focus on the		
positive benefits of CSR for		
themselves, their communities and		
their businesses. They emphasise the		
potential benefits of CSR and		
downplay though do not disregard the		
negatives. For optimists CSR is not a		
cost but an investment and is an		
agenda that requires active		
engagement. Optimists might be		
driven by community, environmental		
or pure economic self-interest. They		
actively seek out opportunities to		
influence, act on and deliver change.		
- Strategic idealist: Strategic Idealists		
seek to maximise the positive benefits		
and minimise the negative effects of		

CSR. They recognise that CSR is about		
the long term and do not see it as a		
short-term fix. CSR is an investment,		
requires careful and considered		
engagement and has wide ranging		
potential benefits. It is something to		
exploit as a force for good, be that		
profit, community renewal, business		
or personal growth.		

Appendix 2: Ideal Coding of CSR Frames

Frame of CSR	Definition
(1) Conformist	In the conformist orientation organisations are only involved in CSR practice
	because "everyone else is". These organisations are only following the
	example of others in order to not be left behind and view it only as a cost,
	and one which they are not sure of or have considered the real benefits that
	can be achieved from the practice.
(2) Cynic	The cynical orientation perceives companies, organisations, communities,
	groups and individuals signing up to the CSR agenda for purely self-
	interested purposes and that real substantive change is limited. For the
	cynics CSR is a laudable cause but the motives, drivers and determinants of
	change are business and economic self interest and not any inherent desire
	to sign up to CSR for its own sake. Change is perceptual rather than
	substantive and is perhaps best exemplified by the "business of business is
	to make money" mindset. For cynics CSR is a cost, a management fad and
	something to be endured.
(3) Realist	Realists recognise that CSR involves self-interest, are comfortable with this
	and see its potential to transform business, social, economic and other
	practices for the better. They believe that change for the better will occur,
	but that it is something people need to sign up to and it is not something
	that can be imposed. Realists see change occurring in a stepped, incremental
	manner. They understand the limitations of CSR, see its potential and work
	within existing frameworks and constraints to further implement and
	develop the agenda. For realists the cost of CSR is one that has to be paid to
	gain improvement, whilst it is of the moment it is more than just a fad and is
	something to be actively engaged with.
(4) Optimist	Optimists focus on the positive benefits of CSR for themselves, their
	communities and their businesses. They emphasise the potential benefits of
	CSR and downplay though do not disregard the negatives. For opportunists
	CSR is not a cost but an investment and is an agenda that requires active
	engagement. Optimists might be driven by community, environmental or
	<mark>pure economic self-interest</mark> . <mark>They actively seek out opportunities to</mark>
	influence, act on and deliver change.
(5) Strategic idealist	Strategic Idealists seek to maximise the positive benefits and minimise the
	negative effects of CSR. They recognise that CSR is about the long term and
	do not see it as a short-term fix. CSR is an investment, requires careful and
	considered engagement and has wide ranging potential benefits. It is
	something to exploit as a force for good, be that profit, community renewal,
	business or personal growth.
Legend	Intention follow others
	Intention self interest
	Intention stakeholder pressure
	Intention force for good
	Change
	Cost vs. investment
	Engagement
	Linguperiteite

Frame/ Variable	Conformist	Cynic	Realist	Optimist	Strategic idealist
Intention follow others	1	0	0	0	0
Intention self-interest	0	1	1	1*2	0
Intention stakeholder pressure	0	0	0	1*2	0
Intention force for good	0	0	0	0	1
Change	1	2	3	4	4
Cost vs. investment	1	1	2	3	3
Engagement	1	1	2	2	2
Judgment	3	3	2	1	1

Table A.2: Ideal Coding Pattern

* Coding as defined in the third section of the code manual (see Appendix 1)

*² The intention within the optimist CSR frame can be based on self-interest or stakeholder pressure or both.

Appendix 3: Data Method Chapter

Country	Count	Percentage	Country	Count	Percentage
India	127	24.8%	Other*	6	1.2%
Nigeria	49	9.6%	China	4	0.8%
Pakistan	47	9.2%	Philippines	4	0.8%
Sri Lanka	29	5.7%	New Zealand	3	0.6%
Canada	22	4.3%	Scotland	3	0.6%
South Korea	22	4.3%	Gambia	3	0.6%
United States	21	4.1%	Ghana	3	0.6%
England	18	3.5%	Liberia	3	0.6%
Australia	17	3.3%	Jordan	3	0.6%
Qatar	16	3.1%	Uganda	2	0.4%
Nepal	12	2.3%	Azerbaijan	2	0.4%
Bahrain	12	2.3%	Oman	2	0.4%
Zambia	12	2.3%	Zimbabwe	2	0.4%
United Arab			Ireland	2	0.4%
Emirates	10	1.9%	Palestine	2	0.4%
Bangladesh	10	1.9%	Russia	1	0.2%
Malaysia	9	1.8%	Turkey	1	0.2%
Singapore	9	1.8%	Ethiopia	1	0.2%
South Africa	8	1.6%	Tanzania	1	0.2%
Namibia	8	1.6%	Torquay	1	0.2%
Thailand	6	1.2%			

Table A.3: Country of Articles

* Country cannot be specified.

Appendix 4: Excel Table Expert Review

🔀 🛛 🕶 🗢 🖓 🕶 🖓 🖛		- 0 X
Datei Start Einfügen Seitenlayout Formeln Daten Überprüfen Ansicht PDF Architect		a 🕜 🗆 🗗 🗙
	gabenber. Fenster beichern wechseln *	Makros Makros
B2 \checkmark Attock Gen Ltd (AGL)		WIAKI US
A B C D E	F	G H 🔺
Article 1 Number Company Name Company Country Core Business CSR Initiative	Fit 1	Fit 2 Fit 3
1 Attock Gen Ltd (AGL) Pakistan Attock Gen Limited(AGL) targets the challenge of Power Policy 2002 offered by the Government of Pakistan. The new cutting edge technology introduced in Pakistan not only maximized the power capacity of the country but also provided environmentally safe energy to the national grid. AGL has 25 years business partnership with National Transmission and Dispatch Company for dispatch of energy, backed by the guarantee of Government of Pakistan. AGL is arranging sports activities and providing grounds, sp material and kits free of cost to the underprivileged schools Morgah and Kotha Kalan under its Corporate Social Respon (CSR) plan. Competitions were held last week for which a gr. distribution ceremony was held. Prizes to the winning teams students were given in cricket, football, basketball, badmin table tennis. 2 4	s of nsibility and prize s and	1
2 Learn Africa PIc Nigeria Learn Africa PIc is an education solutions business with a history spanning over 50 years. LearnAfrica Education Development Foundation has advised the company was established in 1961 as Longman Nigeria – a book publishing firm wholly owned by Longman Group UK Limited, now Pearson Education. LearnAfrica PIc LearnAfr	charging y arm of June/July d by the	3
3 Kaduna Refinery and Petrol Nigeria Kaduna Refining and Petrochemical Company (KRPC) was established to efficiently and profitably process crude oil into refined petroleum products and manufacture linear alkyl benzene (LAB) and tins and drums for domestic consumption and export. The objectives of KRPC are to optimize the capacities of the existing plants, reduce the plants' operating costs, develop new products from existing facilities for use in downstream industries and extend refining services to the West African sub-region. Like other subsidiaries of NNPC, KRPC is owned 100% by NNPC. (KRPC) has donated 30,000 exercise books and furniture wo N8million to 25 host community primary schools in the stat of its corporate social responsibility to the host communiti of its corporate social responsibility to the host communiti of the subsidiaries of NNPC, KRPC is owned 100% by NNPC.	te as part	1
4 Federated Farmers New Zealand No one farmer can respond to the mass of policy and issues we collectively face. That is The strategy "refresh" came about when the Ecological Four	dation, 3	3
Bereit	Donners	tag, 7. November 2013

Appendix 5: Hand-out for Expert Review

Degree of CSR Fit	Definition
(4) Born CSR	These businesses have incorporated CSR as a crucial part of their operations from the beginning of their existence. A so-called "CSR 'reflex' tends to direct systematically organization members' attention to both external and internal CSR issues [], where core business and CSR routines are indistinguishable from each other. The distinctive feature of these 'born CSR-oriented' firms is management's early CSR focus and commitment of specific resources to establish CSR routines at or near the founding" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 80).
	 In summary: Incorporation of CSR from the beginning of the business' existence/founding Organizational members systematically focus on both internal and external CSR issues Strong commitment of resources to establish CSR routines Core business = CSR routines
(3) Patching	This pattern describes the creation of new CSR core routines meaning that CSR co-determines organizational operations and strongly interacts with current organizational routines therefore influencing future organizational practices. "If a firm is characterized by CSR core practices, this indicates that CSR co-determines organizational purpose, helps mold the firm's identity, and heavily influences resource distribution across the organization" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 82). Such integration of CSR is often reflected through the institutionalization of core values driving CSR in order "to encourage employees to consider systematically social and environmental parameters when making business decisions or even to make decisions based on corporate ethics" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 82). In addition, the implementation of CSR values at the operational level is also crucial in this pattern.
	 In summary: CSR codetermines and interacts with organizational operations Institutionalization of core values driving CSR Implementation of the CSR core values at operational level is crucial CSR determines future organizational practices

Degree of CSR Fit	Definition
(2) Thickening	This pattern means the creation of new "CSR routines as peripheral, core extending practices" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 83). These new CSR initiatives are built upon the core business routines and benefit from their strength. There are two important characteristics of this pattern. "First, core-extending CSR routines are still peripheral. Changes in peripheral practices normally do not lead to changes in core routines, but changes in these core routines do affect the content and process aspects of peripheral elements. Second, CSR core-extending routines do reinforce existing core elements" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 83).
	 In summary: CSR initiatives are built upon core business routines and benefit from their strength CSR routines are peripheral Changes in peripheral CSR practices do <u>not</u> lead to changes in the core business Changes in the core business do lead to changes in peripheral CSR practices Peripheral CSR routines reinforce core business
(1) Positioning	This pattern involves the creation of new CSR routines as independent peripheral practices which "are not central to the firm's strategy and operations and do not exert much influence on the firm's future development" (Yuan et al., 2011, p. 83). This means that even if firms would not pursue such CSR initiatives, there business core practices hardly would be influenced. In summary: - CSR routines are independent peripheral practices - CSR routines are not central to the business strategy - CSR routines do not exert much influence on core operations and the

	Business core		The role of CSR
	practices		practices
Born CSR oriented			
Patching (creating new CSR core practices)		>	
Thickening (creating peripheral, core- extending CSR practices)		>	0
Positioning (creating peripheral, independent CSR practices)		>	
Legend:	CSR core practices	CSR peripheral practices	Business core practices

Appendix 6: CSR Fit and Industry, Country and CSR Initiatives

	Pearson's	s Chi-Square Test	Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
Positioning*			
Values, transparency & governance	13.484	.000	
Workforce	15.749	.000	
Environment	26.895	.000	
Suppliers	9.394	.002	
Consumers and customers	31.968	.000	
Community	6.214	.015	
Government	.274	.644	
Thickening*			
Values, transparency & governance	5.668	.023	
Workforce	7.751	.006	
Environment	2.503	.121	
Suppliers			.239
Consumers and customers	1.385	.292	
Community	1.238	.292	
Government and society	.088	.769	
Patching*			
Values, transparency & governance	3.045	.108	
Workforce	4.274	.042	
Environment	4.747	.033	
Suppliers			.721
Consumers and customers	7.890	.008	
Community	2.251	.143	
Government	1.374	.250	
Born CSR*			
Values, transparency & governance			1.000
Workforce	.287	.702	
Environment	12.108	.001	
Suppliers			.018
Consumers and customers			.000
Community			.000
Government	1.509	.251	

Table A.4: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for Internal CSR Fit and CSR Initiative

Table A.5: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for Internal CSR Fit and Industry

	Pearson's Chi-Square Test		Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
Positioning*			
Not specified	.012	.918	
Oil & Gas	4.615	.040	

Basic Materials	3.876	.052	
Industrials	2.997	.092	
Consumer Goods	7.424	.007	
Health Care	8.380	.003	
Consumer Services	8.577	.005	
Telecommunications	22.610	.000	
Utilities	4.289	.044	
Financials	9.145	.003	
Technology	1.833	.236	
Thickening*			
Not specified	1.991	.185	
Oil & Gas	.232	.673	
Basic Materials	3.453	.087	
Industrials	.003	1.000	
Consumer Goods	2.798	.128	
Health Care			1.000
Consumer Services	.196	.741	
Telecommunications	5.353	.030	
Utilities	.061	.832	
Financials	1.844	.195	
Technology	4.227	.046	
Patching*			
Not specified	1.230	.299	
Oil & Gas			.444
Basic Materials	.093	.829	
Industrials	2.263	.140	
Consumer Goods	.911	.426	
Health Care			.522
Consumer Services	7.472	.008	
Telecommunications	14.373	.041	
Utilities	5.913	.022	
Financials	3.861	.052	
Technology			1.000
Born CSR*			
Not specified	.953	.364	
Oil & Gas			.154
Basic Materials			1.000
Industrials			.359
Consumer Goods			.411
Health Care			.001
Consumer Services			.578
Telecommunications			.154
Utilities			1.000
Financials	.100	.846	
Technology			1.000

Positioning*	Pearso	n's Chi-	Fisher's	Positioning*	Pearso	n's Chi-	Fisher's
	Square Test		Exact Test		Square Test		Exact Test
	Value	р	р		Value	р	р
India	3.130	.079		Thailand			.408
Nigeria	.704	.447		Other*			.045
Pakistan	3.004	.089		China			.146
Sri Lanka	2.393	.173		Philippines			1.000
Canada	3.279	.079		New Zealand			.271
South Korea	5.078	.027		Scotland			.572
United	9.133	.002		Gambia			.572
States				Ghana			.070
England	2.808	.142		Liberia			.572
Australia	.000	1.000		Jordan			1.000
Qatar	1.517	.302		Uganda			.170
Nepal			.564	Azerbaijan			.514
Bahrain			.564	Oman			.170
Zambia			.033	Zimbabwe			1.000
United Arab			.535	Ireland			.514
Emirates				Palestine			.170
Bangladesh			.331	Russia			1.000
Malaysia			.742	Turkey			.413
Singapore			.318	Ethiopia			1.000
South Africa			.149	Tanzania			1.000
Namibia			.010	Torquay			1.000

Table A.6: Pearson's Chi-So	uare and Fisher's Exact Test f	for Positioning and Country

* Country cannot be specified.

Table A.7: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for Thickening and Country

Thickening*	Pearson's Chi- Square Test		Fisher's	Thickening*	Pearso	Fisher's	
			Exact Test		Square	Test	Exact Test
	Value	р	р		Value	р	р
India	1.535	.227		Thailand			.184
Nigeria	.310	.627		Other*			1.000
Pakistan	.772	.414		China			.592
Sri Lanka	2.664	.147		Philippines			1.000
Canada	.004	1.000		New Zealand			1.000
South Korea	2.180	.160		Scotland			1.000
United	1.389	.335		Gambia			1.000
States				Ghana			.556
England	.040	1.000		Liberia			1.000
Australia	.026	1.000		Jordan			1.000
Qatar			.590	Uganda			1.000
Nepal			.357	Azerbaijan			1.000
Bahrain			1.000	Oman			1.000

Zambia	.116	Zimbabwe	1.000
United Arab	.511	Ireland	.097
Emirates		Palestine	1.000
Bangladesh	.184	Russia	1.000
Malaysia	.146	Turkey	1.000
Singapore	.470	Ethiopia	1.000
South Africa	.002	Tanzania	.312
Namibia	.062	Torquay	1.000

* Country cannot be specified.

Patching*	Pearso	n's Chi-	Fisher's	Patching*	Pearso	n's Chi-	Fisher's
	Square Test Exa	Exact Test	Exact Test	Square	Test	Exact Test	
Value p	р		Value	р	р		
India	.005	1.000		Thailand			.087
Nigeria	.814	.448		Other*			1.000
Pakistan	.593	.560		China			.573
Sri Lanka	.069	.819		Philippines			.573
Canada			.049	New Zealand			.471
South Korea			.402	Scotland			1.000
United			.095	Gambia			1.000
States				Ghana			1.000
England			.359	Liberia			1.000
Australia			.753	Jordan			.471
Qatar			.329	Uganda			1.000
Nepal			.708	Azerbaijan			.346
Bahrain			1.000	Oman			1.000
Zambia			1.000	Zimbabwe			.346
United Arab			1.000	Ireland			1.000
Emirates				Palestine			1.000
Bangladesh			.412	Russia			1.000
Malaysia			1.000	Turkey			1.000
Singapore			.684	Ethiopia			.191
South Africa			.363	Tanzania			1.000
Namibia			.363	Torquay			1.000

Table A.9: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for Born CSR and Country	
Table A.S. I carson s chi square and risher s exact rest for born contain country	

Born CSR*	Pearso	n's Chi-	Fisher's	Born CSR*	Pearsor	n's Chi-	Fisher's
	Square	Test	Exact Test		Square	Test	Exact Test
	Value	р	р		Value	р	р
India	.953	.364		Thailand			.083
Nigeria			.413	Other*			.009
Pakistan			.786	China			.296
Sri Lanka			.726	Philippines			1.000

Canada	.706	New Zealand	.231
South Korea	.706	Scotland	1.000
United	.407	Gambia	1.000
States		Ghana	1.000
England	.185	Liberia	1.000
Australia	.163	Jordan	1.000
Qatar	.384	Uganda	1.000
Nepal	1.000	Azerbaijan	.161
Bahrain	.611	Oman	1.000
Zambia	.611	Zimbabwe	1.000
United Arab	.587	Ireland	1.000
Emirates		Palestine	1.000
Bangladesh	1.000	Russia	.084
Malaysia	1.000	Turkey	1.000
Singapore	.548	Ethiopia	1.000
South Africa	1.000	Tanzania	1.000
Namibia	.506	Torquay	.084

* Country cannot be specified.

Appendix 7: CSR Fit and Tone

Tone	Pearson's (Chi-Square Test	Fisher's Exact Tes
	Value	р	р
Positive			
Positioning	1.599	.222	
Thickening	.057	.830	
Patching	.934	.373	
Born CSR	.227	.720	
Neutral			
Positioning	6.655	.011	
Thickening	.004	1.000	
Patching	5.032	.026	
Born CSR	2.253	.167	
Negative			
Positioning	4.254	.054	
Thickening	.304	.687	
Patching	4.175	.054	
Born CSR			.096

A.10: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Fit and Tone

Appendix 8: CSR Fit and CSR Frames

Holistic CSR Frame	Pearson's C	hi-Square Test	Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
None*			
Positioning	21.777	.000	
Thickening	1.225	.318	
Patching	9.658	.002	
Born CSR	4.133	.061	
Conformist*			
Positioning			.080
Thickening			1.000
Patching			.050
Born CSR			.356
Cynic*			
Positioning	.691	.452	
Thickening			.786
Patching			.522
Born CSR			.143
Realist*			
Positioning	4.568	.038	
Thickening	.338	.624	
Patching	2.863	.111	
Born CSR	.181	.682	
Optimist*			
Positioning	.716	.408	
Thickening	.251	.625	
Patching	.021	.909	
Born CSR	4.551	.034	
Strategic idealist*			
Positioning	12.613	.001	
Thickening	.188	.687	
Patching	1.363	.266	
Born CSR	15.439	.000	

Table A.11: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Fit and CSR Frames

Table A.12: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Initiatives, Industry and Country

Holistic CSR Frame	Pearson's Chi-Square Test		Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
None*			
Values, transparency & governance	6.976	.004	
Workforce	16.448	.000	
Environment	5.275	.025	
Suppliers			.045

Consumers & customers	6.976	.009	
Community	2.292	.147	
Oil & Gas	.392	.644	
Consumer Goods	1.162	.364	
Health Care			.555
Consumer Services	.038	.859	
Telecommunications	.038	1.000	
Utilities	2.717	.111	
Financials	.758	.444	
South Korea	.477	.617	
United States	.336	.620	
Zambia			.310
Namibia			.686
Other			1.000
Realist*			
Values, transparency & governance	.009	1.000	
Workforce	.120	.783	
Environment	.013	1.000	
Suppliers			.004
Consumers & customers	.204	.719	
Community	6.294	.016	
Oil & Gas			.603
Consumer Goods	1.794	.229	
Health Care			.512
Consumer Services	2.865	.113	
Telecommunications	1.036	.446	
Utilities	1.036	.446	
Financials	2.449	.123	
South Korea			.398
United States			1.000
Zambia			.052
Namibia			.645
Other			.308
Optimist*			
Environment	2.871	.109	
Suppliers			.021
Consumers & customers	.245	.668	
Community	.581	.466	
Health Care	.357	.613	
Other			.414
Strategic idealist*			
Values, transparency & governance	11.306	.002	
Workforce	25.294	.000	
Environment	22.138	.000	
Suppliers			.107

Consumers & customers	3.182	.078	
Community	.501	.530	
Oil & Gas			.782
Consumer Goods	8.046	.008	
Health Care			1.000
Consumer Services	.239	.664	
Telecommunications			.783
Utilities			.403
Financials	1.077	.354	
South Korea			.002
United States			.104
Zambia			.230
Namibia			.331
Other			.214

Table A.13: Binary Logistic Regression for CSR Frames Controlling for Underlying Impacts

CSR Frame	Predictor	B(SE)	Wald	Odds ratio
None	Positioning	683**	9.221	.505
	Values, transparency & governance	.475	1.004	1.609
	Workforce	.976**	7.953	2.654
	Environment	.155	.186	1.167
	Suppliers	18.924	.000	165379687.5
	Consumers & customers	.686	2.201	1.986
Realist	Positioning	.384	2.405	1.468
	Suppliers	-1.376*	5.590	.253
	Community	.460	3.849	1.584
Optimist	Born CSR	.701	3.453	2.015
	Suppliers	1.921	3.354	6.829
Strategic idealist	Positioning	.380	1.377	1.463
	Born CSR	-1.172**	9.007	.310
	Values, transparency & governance	408	1.191	.665
	Workforce	-1.006**	11.750	.366
	Environment	701*	4.662	.496
	Consumer Goods	637	2.705	.529
	South Korea	955	3.744	.385

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001
Appendix 9: CSR Fit and CSR Frame Variables

Intention	Pearson's	Chi-Square Test	Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
Intention to follow others*			
Positioning			1.000
Thickening			.315
Patching			.167
Born CSR			1.000
Intention self-interest*			
Positioning	19.153	.000	
Thickening	.022	.898	
Patching	6.526	.014	
Born CSR	19.383	.000	
ntention stakeholder pressure*			
Positioning	21.331	.000	
Thickening			.561
Patching			.019
Born CSR			.004
Intention force for good*			
Positioning	.810	.398	
Thickening	.321	.609	
Patching	.351	.649	
Born CSR	2.906	.128	

Table A.14: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Fit and Intention

Table A.15: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Fit and Change

Change	Pearson's	Chi-Square Test	Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
Not specified*			
Positioning	30.085	.000	
Thickening	.314	.616	
Patching	16.488	.000	
Born CSR	19.464	.000	
Perceptual change*			
Positioning	.010	1.000	
Thickening	.204	.748	
Patching	.044	.855	
Born CSR			.787
Incremental change*			
Positioning	2.767	.136	
Thickening	4.151	.046	
Patching			.792
Born CSR			.711

Substantial change*			
Positioning	43.792	.000	
Thickening			.478
Patching	23.590	.000	
Born CSR	37.352	.000	

Table A.16: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Fit and Cost vs. Investment

Cost vs. investment	Pearson's	Chi-Square Test	Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
Not specified*			
Positioning	5.319	.025	
Thickening	.705	.446	
Patching	5.190	.025	
Born CSR	5.153	.026	
CSR as cost*			
Positioning	5.974	.018	
Thickening	2.180	.206	
Patching			.551
Born CSR			1.000
CSR as cost for improvem	nent*		
Positioning	.074	.807	
Thickening	1.416	.245	
Patching	3.770	.065	
Born CSR	1.560	.278	
CSR as investment*			
Positioning	13.695	.000	
Thickening	.406	.538	
Patching	1.736	.225	
Born CSR	13.261	.000	

Table A.17: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Fit and Engagement

Engagement	Pearson's	Chi-Square Test	Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
Not specified*			
Positioning	26.915	.000	
Thickening	.393	.551	
Patching	14.870	.000	
Born CSR	7.290	.007	
Low*			
Positioning	.152	.833	
Thickening	1.258	.367	
Patching			.192
Born CSR			.442
Active*			

Positioning	23.919	.000
Thickening	1.200	.286
Patching	10.278	.002
Born CSR	5.341	.022

Judgment **Pearson's Chi-Square Test Fisher's Exact Test** Value р р Not specified* Positioning 10.162 .002 Thickening .197 .691 Patching 7.751 .007 Born CSR 6.038 .012 Positive* Positioning 6.694 .011 Thickening 2.322 .133 Patching .332 .636 Born CSR 1.520 .143 Neutral* Positioning 1.641 .226 Thickening 3.438 .072 .803 .448 Patching Born CSR .201 .681 Negative* Positioning 6.222 .019 .362 Thickening Patching .023 Born CSR .018

Table A.18: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Fit and Judgment

Table A.19: Binary Logistic Regression for CSR Fit and CSR Frame Variables

Dependent Variable	Predictor	B(SE)	Wald	Odds ratio
Intention self-interest	Positioning	.819*	5.834	2.269
	Patching	560	3.061	.571
	Born CSR	-1.262**	10.999	.283
Intention stakeholder pressure	Positioning	2.840**	7.365	17.108
	Patching	.543	1.593	1.721
	Born CSR ^a	-1.036*	4.408	.355
Change				
Not specified	Positioning [®]	894***	13.816	.409
	Patching	.646*	6.147	1.908
	Born CSR	1.189**	10.917	3.283
Incremental change	Thickening	N.S.		
Substantial change	Positioning ^a	1.220**	12.006	3.389
	Patching	-1.008**	11.877	.365

	Born CSR	-1.742***	22.233	.175
Cost vs. investment				
Not specified	Positioning	133	.403	.875
	Patching	.532*	4.158	1.702
	Born CSR	.803*	4.928	2.233
CSR as cost	Positioning	-1.167*	5.403	.311
CSR as investment	Positioning ^a	.615**	8.488	1.849
	Born CSR	875**	6.868	.417
Engagement				
Not specified	Positioning ^a	627**	8.346	.534
	Patching	.789*	6.468	2.201
	Born CSR	.935*	4.397	2.547
Active	Positioning	.659**	9.448	1.933
	Patching	507	3.184	.602
	Born CSR	629	2.518	.533
Judgment				
Not specified	Positioning	328	1.455	.720
	Patching	1.090*	5.320	2.975
	Born CSR	2.098*	4.114	8.149
Positive	Positioning ^a	.486*	6.651	1.626
Negative	Positioning	.982	.639	2.671
	Patching	-1.639*	3.930	.194
	Born CSR	-2.092*	5.598	.123
*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001	^a Predictive	effect disap	peared	when including additiona
· · ·		-		ed with the independer
	variable and	d the predicto	r.	

arcon's Chi Squaro and Eisbor's Exact Tost for CSP Framo Variables and CSP Initiatives

Table A.20: Pearson's Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Test for CSR Frame Variables and CSR Initiatives, Industry and Country

	Pearson's Chi-Square Test		Fisher's Exact Test
	Value	р	р
Intention self-interest*			
Values, transparency & governance	1.078	.340	
Workforce	8.672	.004	
Environment	14.734	.000	
Suppliers			.138
Consumers & customers	2.013	.181	
Community	6.756	.011	
Oil & Gas			.406
Consumer Goods	.007	1.000	
Health Care			.154
Consumer Services	.046	.842	
Telecommunications			.285
Utilities			.058

Financials	.004	1.000	
South Korea			.766
United States			.357
Zambia			1.000
Namibia			.620
Other			.007
Intention stakeholder pressure*			
Values, transparency & governance			.007
Workforce	1.483	.274	
Environment	5.188	.029	
Suppliers			.000
Consumers & customers			.082
Community	5.373	.028	
Oil & Gas			1.000
Consumer Goods			.196
Health Care			.614
Consumer Services			.000
Telecommunications			.245
Utilities			1.000
Financials	6.588	.012	
South Korea			.386
United States			.008
Zambia			1.000
Namibia			.330
Other			
Change not specified*			.000
Values, transparency & governance	27.834	.000	
Workforce	32.499	.000	
Environment	31.808	.000	
Suppliers			.000
Consumers & customers	12.363	.001	
Community	4.769	.032	
Oil & Gas	2.827	.136	
Consumer Goods	.660	.512	
Health Care	1.755	.284	
Consumer Services	7.997	.006	
Telecommunications	4.888	.036	
Utilities	.491	.534	
Financials	.263	.646	
South Korea	6.149	.020	
United States	1.667	.241	
Zambia			.120
Namibia			.721
Other			.020
Canada	8.633	.005	

Substantial change*			
Values, transparency & governance	24.962	.000	
Workforce	53.881	.000	
Environment	30.113	.000	
Suppliers	501115	1000	.000
Consumers & customers	18.491	.000	1000
Community	2.713	.120	
Oil & Gas	1.245	.325	
Consumer Goods	2.386	.170	
Health Care	2.300	.170	.106
Consumer Services	13.350	.001	.100
Telecommunications	2.851	.135	
Utilities	.082	.805	
Financials	.709	.418	
South Korea	.705	.410	.005
United States			.159
Zambia			.475
Namibia			1.000
Other			.017
Canada			.001
Cost vs. investment not specified*			.001
Values, transparency & governance	4.365	.050	
Workforce	7.745	.006	
Environment	7.970	.006	
Suppliers	.119	.785	
Consumers & customers	.948	.403	
Community	1.332	.286	
Oil & Gas	1.689	.229	
Consumer Goods	.001	1.000	
Health Care	2.111	.204	
Consumer Services	.343	.647	
Telecommunications	2.184	.167	
Utilities	2.843	.114	
Financials	.008	1.000	
South Korea	1.497	.277	
United States	.020	1.000	
Zambia	2.861	.142	
Namibia	2.001		.286
Other			1.000
Canada	.270	.666	1.000
CSR as cost	.270		
Values, transparency & governance			.711
Workforce			.090
Environment			1.000
Suppliers			1.000
			1.000

Consumers & customers	.439	.640	.464
Community			
Oil & Gas			.250
Consumer Goods			.397
Health Care			.458
Consumer Services			.404
Telecommunications			1.000
Utilities			1.000
Financials			.777
South Korea			1.000
United States			.555
Zambia			.069
Namibia			.032
Other			1.000
CSR as investment			
Values, transparency & governance	15.097	.000	
Workforce	19.352	.000	
Environment	21.973	.000	
Suppliers			.555
Consumers & customers			.371
Community	7.425	.007	
Oil & Gas	.840	.396	
Consumer Goods	1.784	.238	
Health Care			.281
Consumer Services	.300	.625	
Telecommunications	.032	1.000	
Utilities	1.068	.395	
Financials	.004	1.000	
South Korea	2.180	.160	
United States	.047	1.000	
Zambia			.529
Namibia			1.000
Other			.382
Engagement not specified			
Values, transparency & governance	15.213	.000	
Workforce	49.126	.000	
Environment	12.587	.000	
Suppliers			.006
Consumers & customers	9.144	.003	
Community	2.595	.114	
Oil & Gas	.802	.408	
Consumer Goods	2.453	.141	
Health Care	.738	.441	
Consumer Services	1.253	.336	
Telecommunications	1.095	.306	

Utilities	400	.539	
Financials	.001	1.000	
South Korea	1.542	.259	
United States	1.223	.353	
Zambia			1.000
Namibia			.719
Other			.670
Canada	1.542	.259	
Active engagement			
Values, transparency & governance	13.852	.000	
Workforce	56.885	.000	
Environment	10.151	.001	
Suppliers	5.728	.019	
Consumers & customers	8.220	.004	
Community	5.808	.018	
Oil & Gas	.467	.540	
Consumer Goods	3.534	.079	
Health Care	.500	.607	
Consumer Services	1.332	.277	
Telecommunications	.260	.687	
Utilities	.011	1.000	
Financials	.154	.738	
South Korea	2.786	.120	
United States	.026	1.000	
Zambia			1.000
Namibia			.487
Other			.410
Judgment not specified			
Values, transparency & governance	3.501	.078	
Workforce	10.777	.001	
Environment	3.521	.066	
Suppliers			.234
Consumers & customers	1.198	.335	
Community	.757	.390	
Oil & Gas			.782
Consumer Goods	1.526	.279	
Health Care			.283
Consumer Services	.239	.680	
Telecommunications			1.000
Utilities			.783
Financials	.100	.761	
South Korea			1.000
United States			.227
Zambia			1.000
Namibia			1.000

Other			1.000
Canada			.035
Positive judgment			
Values, transparency & governance	12.013	.001	
Workforce	13.348	.000	
Environment	3.421	.076	
Suppliers			.235
Consumers & customers	2.895	.106	
Community	.073	.851	
Oil & Gas	1.767	.205	
Consumer Goods	2.052	.188	
Health Care	.084	.792	
Consumer Services	.917	.420	
Telecommunications	.108	.835	
Utilities	1.793	.215	
Financials	.074	.818	
South Korea	.479	.508	
United States	.299	.647	
Zambia			.067
Namibia			1.000
Other			1.000
Negative judgment			
Values, transparency & governance			1.000
Workforce			.743
Environment			.044
Suppliers			.287
Consumers & customers			.173
Community	.498	.576	
Oil & Gas			1.000
Consumer Goods			1.000
Health Care			1.000
Consumer Services			.107
Telecommunications			1.000
Utilities			.509
Financials			.483
South Korea			1.000
United States			.013
Zambia			1.000
Namibia			1.000
Other			1.000
Canada			.015

Dependent Variable	Predictor	B(SE)	Wald	Odds ratio
Intention self-interest	Positioning	.811*	6.633	2.251
	Born CSR	843*	4.988	.431
	Workforce	621*	4.737	.537
	Environment	567	3.556	.567
	Community	.436	2.716	1.547
	Other	-1.572	2.949	.208
Intention stakeholder pressure	Positioning	2.565*	6.111	13.003
	Born CSR	781	2.469	.458
	Values, transparency &	762	1.870	.467
	governance			
	Environment	071	.022	.932
	Suppliers	-1.117	2.456	.327
	Community	.428	1.031	1.534
	Consumer Services	858	3.178	.424
	Financials	1.849	3.081	6-356
	United States	-1.328*	4.944	.265
Change not specified	Positioning	417	2.448	.659
	Patching	.658*	5.395	1.932
	Born CSR	1.202**	9.057	3.326
	Values, transparency &	.740*	4.497	2.097
	governance			
	Workforce	.860**	11.165	2.363
	Environment	.648*	4.981	1.913
	Suppliers	1.262	1.255	3.531
	Consumers & customers	.307	.904	1.360
	Community	131	.345	.878
	Consumer Services	.189	.258	1.208
	Telecommunications	492	.712	.611
	South Korea	.566	1.325	1.761
	Other	1.458	1.463	4.299
	Canada	.800	2.022	2.225
Substantial change	Positioning	.565	2.098	1.759
	Patching	-1.207***	12.802	.299
	Born CSR	-2.130***	24.242	.119
	Values, transparency &	670	3.043	.512
	governance			
	Workforce	-1.462***	24.308	.232
	Environment	575	3.146	.562
	Suppliers	640	.539	.527
	Consumers & customers	510	2.088	.601
	Consumer services	307	.540	.735
	Canada	916	2.253	.400
	South Korea	665	1.590	.514

Table A.21: Binary Logistic Regression for CSR Frame Variables Controlling for Underlying Impacts

Other1.1021.037.332Cost vs. investment notPatching.516*4.7851.675specifiedBorn CSR801*5.262.228Workforce.521*5.3411.683Environment.4763.3641.609CSR as costPositioning.1052*4.2303349Namibia.1.861*4.555.155CSR as investmentPositioning.3071.8691.359Born CSR.864*5.932.422.201Values, transparency & governance.5192.709.595Workforce.821**11.796.440Emgagement not specifiedPositioning.2661.254.667Patching.709*5.9002.224Born CSR.104**4.9512.842Values, transparency & governance.2427.232Workforce.239***.2427.222Born CSR.104**4.951.2842Values, transparency & governance.2427.232Values, transparency & governance.2427.232Values, transparency & governance.2427.2421Values, transparency & governance.2427.2422Values, transparency & governance.313.8451.368Suppliers.366.1635.504.2421Active engagement.2427.2421.2421Values, transparency & governance.313.8451.368Suppl					
specifiedBorn CR.801*5.2662.228Workforce.521*5.3411.683Environment.476.3641.609CSR as costPositioning.105*4.203Namibia.1681*4.565.155CSR as investmentPositioning.3071.869.329Born CSR.864*.532.422Values, transparency&.864*.5247.537Born CSR.821**1.179.400Environment.626*.5247.537Engagement not specifiedPositioning.2661.254Born CSR.104*.951.2422Values, transparency&.206*.224Born CSR.104*.951.2422Born CSR.104*.951.2422Values, transparency&.104*.951.2422Born CSR.104*.951.2422Born CSR.104*.951.2422Born CSR.104*.951.2422Aules, transparency&.104*.951.2422Suppliers.117*.143.145Autos, transparency&.103*.1461.1402570.1Consumers & customers.415.138.151Autos, transparency&.51**.6974.135Jules, transparency&.136*.154.154Autos, transparency&.209***.146.154Autos, transparency&.209***.146.154Autos, transparency<		Other	-1.102	1.037	.332
 Workforce S21* S.341 I.683 Environment A76 S.364 I.609 Positioning I.052* S.350 I.55 CSR as investment Positioning S07 I.869 I.359 Born CSR Born CSR Regovernance Workforce Ruvironment S.26* S.247 S.247 S.250 Community S88 S.473 I.475 Positioning S.26* S.247 S.247 S.25 Community S.88 S.473 I.475 Positioning Positioning S.26* S.247 S.	Cost vs. investment not	Patching	.516*	4.785	1.675
CSR as costEnvironment.476.3.364.1.609Positioning1.052*4.230.349CSR as investmentPositioning.1.801*4.565.1.55Born CSR.864*5.932.422.2.101Values, transparency &.5192.709.595governance.5192.709.595Emagement not specifiedForironment.626*5.247.535Environment.626*5.247.535.2.66Born CSR.2661.254.767.2.66Patching.799*.5000.2.261.2.66Born CSR.709*.709*.2.62.2.67Patching.709*.709*.2.62.2.67Born CSR.709*.709*.2.62.2.67Patching.708*.7.37.2.61.2.67Born CSR.709*.7.081.2.62.2.67Patching.709*.7.081.2.62.2.67Born CSR.7.09*.7.081.2.69*.3.68Suppliers.7.09*.7.61.7.61.2.67*Active engagement.511*.6.74.1.61*.5.1*Active engagement.511*.6.74.1.75*.6.74Born CSR.5.1**.6.74*.1.61*.5.1*Active engagement.5.5**.6.74*.1.61*.5.1*Active engagement.5.5**.6.74*.1.61*.5.1*Active engagement.5.6**.5.6**.5.1* <t< th=""><th>specified</th><th>Born CSR</th><th>.801*</th><th>5.266</th><th>2.228</th></t<>	specified	Born CSR	.801*	5.266	2.228
CSR as costPositioning1.052*4.230.349Namibia-1.861*4.565.155CSR as investmentPositioning.3071.869.1359Born CSR.864*5.932.422Values, transparency &.864*5.932.422governance		Workforce	.521*	5.341	1.683
CSR as investmentNamiba-1.861*4.565.155Positioning.3071.8691.359Born CSR.864*5.92.422Values, transparency &.509.2709.500governance.821**11.796.440Environment.626*5.247.535Community.388.3473.1475Positioning.266.254.567Patching.799*.5900.224Born CSR1.044*.4951.842Values, transparency &.0001.40402570.1governance		Environment	.476	3.364	1.609
CSR as investmentPositioning.307.1.869.1.359Born CSR864*5.932.422Values, transparency &50593250governance	CSR as cost	Positioning	-1.052*	4.230	.349
Born CS864*5.932.422Values, transparency & governance5192.709.595governance		Namibia	-1.861*	4.565	.155
Faragement not specifiedValues, transparencyân, governance-5.192.000.5.000Faragement not specifiedForvironment-6.26*1.24.4.01Forvironment-6.26*1.24.4.07Positioning-2.661.24.7.01Positioning-2.601.24.2.02Postioning-2.061.24.2.02Postioning0.04*9.010.2.02Postioning0.04*9.010.2.02Povernance1.04*9.010.2.02*Workforce2.03***2.7.39.7.61Positioning0.03***1.03.4.02Suppliers1.31.4.03.1.02*Positioning.51**1.03*.1.03*Positioning.51**.1.03*.1.03*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04*Positioning.1.04*.1.04*.1.04	CSR as investment	Positioning	.307	1.869	1.359
governancegovernanceWorkforce821**11.796.440Environment626*5.247.535Community.3883.4731.475Patching.7061.254.767Born CSR1.044*4.9512.842Values, transparency & governance.7082.427Workforce2.039***2.7397.7681Active engagementEnvironment.313.840.140402570.1Consumers & customers.415.1088.1514Active engagementPositioning.518*.6974.1235Positioning.518*.6974.1235.595governance.518*.6974.1236.595governance.518*.6974.1236.595governance.518*.6974.1236.595governance.518*.6974.1236.595governance.518*.6974.123.694Judgment not specified.608.595.606.694Suppliers.365.105.694.595Judgment not specified.608.327*.518.1242Poriorinment.186*.327*.518.595Judgment not specified.608.229*.595Positioning.314.435.546Judgment not specified.608.229*.314Positioning.126*.316.595Gon CSR.324*.324.324 <tr< th=""><th></th><th>Born CSR</th><th>864*</th><th>5.932</th><th>.422</th></tr<>		Born CSR	864*	5.932	.422
Workforce821***1.1796.440Environment626*5.247.535Community.388.34731.475Patching.7061.254.767Patching.709*.500.2242Born CSR1.044.451.2842Values, transparency &.7082.427.2029governance.7082.427.2029Environment.313.845.1648Consumers & customers.415.1688.1514Active engagementPositioning.518.6974.1355Active engagementPositioning.518.6974.1232Values, transparency &.518.168.514.514Active engagementPositioning.518.6974.1232Values, transparency &.518.169.591.691Suppliers.365.105.591.691.1232Active engagementYorkforce.396.124.532Values, transparency &.316.592.694.595Active engagement.502.504.593.514Active engagement.505.505.504.593Active engagement.505.505.504.504Active engagement.505.505.505.505Active engagement.505.505.505.505Active engagement.501.501.505.505Active engagement.502.501<		Values, transparency &	519	2.709	.595
Engagement not specifiedEnvironment626*5.247.535Fogitioning2661.254.767Patching.799*5.900.224Patching.104*3.90.224Born CSR1.044*.2012.202governance		governance			
Engagement not specifiedCommunity.388.3473.1475Positioning2641.254.767Patching.799*5.00.224Born CSR1.044*4.951.2842Values, transparency &.709*7.81governance		Workforce	821**	11.796	.440
Engagement not specifiedPositioning2661.254.767Patching.799*5.9002.224Born CSR1.044*4.9512.842Values, transparency &.7082.4272.029governance.7082.4272.029Born CSR.7082.4272.029governance.7182.139***7.681Environment.313.8451.368Suppliers.4151.0881.514Consumers & customers.4151.0881.514Positioning.518*6.9741.735governance.518*1.656.595governance.518*1.656.595governance.518*1.654.514*Positioning.518*1.614.514*Suppliers.365.105.694Suppliers.365.105.694Suppliers.365.105.694Suppliers.344*4.451.1543Suppliers.343*.124.543Judgment not specifiedPatching.177**6.973Born CSR.232*.518.1054Positioning.1269**.136.556Positioning.144*.459.1544Positioning.1269**.136.556Positioning.1269**.136.556Positioning.1269**.136.556Positioning.1269**.136.551**Positioning		Environment	626*	5.247	.535
Patching.799*5.9002.224Born CSR1.044*4.9512.842Values, transparency &.7082.4272.029governance.7082.4272.029governance.7082.73977.681Environment.313.8451.368Suppliers18.760.000140402570.1Consumers & customers.4151.0881.514Positioning.551**6.9741.735Values, transparency &.5181.0741.735governance.5183.4728.123governance.518.369.123Environment.186.349.830Suppliers.365.105.694Suppliers.365.105.694Suppliers.365.105.694Community.434*.435.1543Judgment not specifiedPatching.1177**6.973Positioning.314.435.1543Judgment not specifiedPatching.1269**8.168Positioning.314.597.368Values, transparency &.1269**.365.136Positioning.314.597.368Values, transparency &.728**.735.483Positioning.314.597.368Values, transparency &.728**.735.483Positioning.1685*.5011.185Positioning.1685*.5011.185<		Community	.388	3.473	1.475
Born CSR1.044*4.9512.842Values, transparency &.7082.4272.029governance	Engagement not specified	Positioning	266	1.254	.767
Values, transparency &.7082.4272.029governance		Patching	.799*	5.900	2.224
governancegovernanceWorkforce2.039***27.3977.681Environment.313.8451.368Suppliers18.760.000140402570.1Consumers & customers.4151.0881.514Positioning.551**6.9741.735Values, transparency & governance.5181.656.595Workforce-2.099***34.728.123Environment.186.349.830Suppliers.365.105.694Consumers & customers.366.105.694Consumers & customers.366.105.694Lomminity.434*.435.1543Judgment not specifiedPatching1.177**6.973.244Positioning.1269**.3.16.356Conada19.04.000195992614.4Positioning.314.2.597.368Values, transparency & vorkforce.1024*.435.356Positioning.314.5.59.359Negative judgmentPositioning.314.2.597.363Negative judgmentPositioning.1024*.435.433Negative judgmentPatching.1024*.435.356Negative judgmentPatching.1024*.435.356Negative judgmentPatching.1024*.435.433Negative judgment.1025.5011.185Negative judgment.1025.518.1		Born CSR	1.044*	4.951	2.842
Workforce2.039***27.397.681Environment.313.8451.368Suppliers18.760.00014040257.1Consumers & customers.415.10881.514Positioning.51**6.9741.735Values, transparency &.5181.656.595governance.000.4150.4150.591Workforce-2.099**34.728.123Environment.186.349.830Suppliers.365.105.694Consumers & customers.396.1202.673Community.434*.4435.1543Morkforce1.207.5180.1244Born CSR.2327*.5180.356Positioning.314.2597.368Values, transparency &.1224*.359Positioning.314.2597.369Positioning.314.2597.359Rom CSR.1224*.359.356Values, transparency &.1224*.359Positioning.314.2597.359Rom CSR.2287**.7435.483Porting.1685*.5111.185Porting.1685*.5111.185Born CSR.2287**.734.102Porting.1628**.2287**.102Porting.1628**.2287**.102Porting.2287**.734.102Porting.2040**.5011.151<		Values, transparency &	.708	2.427	2.029
Active engagementEnvironment.313.845.1.688Active engagementConsumers & customers.415.1.088.1.514Positioning.51**.6.974.1.735.3.68Positioning.518*.6.974.1.735.3.68Values, transparency &.5.18*.1.656.5.95governance.2.099***.3.4.728.1.23Bornf Cromment.1.86.3.49.3.63.3.61Suppliers.3.65.1.05.6.94.3.63Community.3.36*.3.63.3.64.3.63Judgment not specifiedPatching.1.17***.6.973.3.244Born CSR.3.24*.3.63.3.56.3.56Positive judgmentPositioning.3.14*.3.63.3.56Positive judgmentPositioning.3.14*.3.59.3.59Positive judgmentPositioning.1.02**.3.59.3.59Positive judgmentPositioning.1.02**.3.59.3.59Positive judgmentPositioning.1.02**.3.59.3.59Positive judgmentPositioning.1.02**.3.59.3.59Positioning.1.02**.3.51.3.59.3.59Positive judgmentPositioning.1.22**.3.59.3.59Positioning.1.23**.1.23**.3.59.3.59Positive judgmentPositioning.1.24**.4.35.3.59Positive judgmentPositioning.1.28**.3.51*.3.59<		governance			
Active engagementSuppliers18.760.00014040257.1Active engagementConsumers & customers.4151.0881.514Positioning.51**6.94.1735Values, transparency &.51**1.62.50**governance2.099**34.728.123Invironment.186.349.123Suppliers.365.105.694Suppliers.365.102.673Judgment not specifiedPatching.177**.124Positioning.126**.128*.124Positioning.126**.138*.124Positioning.126**.138*.124Positioning.126**.138*.124Positioning.126**.138*.135Positioning.126**.138*.136*Positioning.124*.138*.136*Positioning.124*.138*.136*Positioning.124*.138*.138*Positioning.124*.138*.138*Positioning.124*.138*.138*Positioning.128**.148*.148*Positioning.128**.143*.148*Positioning.128**.141*.148*Positioning.128**.141*.148*Positioning.128**.141*.141*Positioning.128**.141*.141*Positioning.128**.141*.141*Positioning.128** <th></th> <th>Workforce</th> <th>2.039***</th> <th>27.397</th> <th>7.681</th>		Workforce	2.039***	27.397	7.681
Active engagementActive engagementAc		Environment	.313	.845	1.368
Active engagementPositioning.551**6.9741.735Values, transparency &.5181.650.590governance.509***34.728.123Workforce-2.099***34.728.123Environment.186.349.830Suppliers.365.105.694Community.3644.435.1543Judgment not specifiedPatching1.177**6.973.244Born CSR.1269**8.168.3556Workforce1.202.518.0249Positive judgment90sitioning.314.597.368Positioning.314.2.97.368Values, transparency &.122*.359.369Positioning.102*.3.91.359Negative judgmentPositioning.124*.359Positioning.314.2.97.368Positioning.314.5.97.368Positioning.102*.4.35.359Positioning.122*.7.35.483Positioning.128*.7.43.483Positioning.128*.7.43.185Positioning.1685*.5.01.185Positioning.1685*.5.01.185Positioning.1685*.5.01.185Positioning.128*.7.43*.102Positioning.128*.7.43*.102Positioning.128*.7.43*.102Positioning.128* <th></th> <th>Suppliers</th> <th>18.760</th> <th>.000</th> <th>140402570.1</th>		Suppliers	18.760	.000	140402570.1
Values, transparency & governance5181.656.595governance2.099***34.728.123Workforce-2.099***34.728.123Environment186.349.830Suppliers365.105.694Consumers & customers.3961.202.673Community.434*4.4351.543Judgment not specifiedPatching1.177**6.973.244Born CSR2.327*5.1801.249Workforce1.269**8.168.3556Canada19.094.00019592614.4Positioning.3142.5971.368Values, transparency & governance.1024*.6475.359Workforce.1024*.6475.359Morkforce.728**.4435.185Positioning.1685*.5011.185Morkforce.2027*.734.102Born CSR.2287**.734.102Morkforce.2287**.5011.185Born CSR.2.287**.734.102Born CSR.2.287**.5011.185Born CSR.2.287**.5011.102Born CSR.2.287**.5011.102Born CSR.2.287**.5011.102Born CSR.2.287**.5011.102Born CSR.2.287**.5010.102Born CSR.2.200**.502.610Born CSR.2.200**.502.610 </th <th></th> <th>Consumers & customers</th> <th>.415</th> <th>1.088</th> <th>1.514</th>		Consumers & customers	.415	1.088	1.514
governance 2.099*** 34.728 .123 Workforce 186 .349 .830 Suppliers 365 .105 .694 Consumers & customers 396 1.202 .673 Judgment not specified Patching .177** 6.973 .244 Born CSR 2.327* 5.180 1.249 Workforce 1.269** 8.168 3.556 Canada 19.094 .000 195992614.4 Positive judgment Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Values, transparency & .1024* .5180 .15992614.4 Positive judgment Positioning .314 2.597 .359 Igovernance .000 .1024* .359 governance .000 .1036 .1024 Morkforce .728** 7.435 .483 Negative judgment Patching .1.685* .010 .102 Morkforce .2287** .7.34 .102 .102	Active engagement	Positioning	.551**	6.974	1.735
Workforce -2.099*** 34.728 .123 Environment 186 .349 .830 Suppliers 365 .105 .694 Consumers & customers .396 1.202 .673 Judgment not specified Patching .177** 6.973 .244 Born CSR 2.327* 5.180 1.249 Workforce 1.269** 8.168 .3556 Canada 19.094 .000 195992614.4 Positive judgment Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Vorkforce .1024* 6.475 .359 Workforce .1024* 6.475 .359 Volues, transparency & .1024* .435 .433 Vorkforce .728** 7.435 .483 Negative judgment Patching .1685* .102 .102 Born CSR .2287** 7.344 .102 .102 Born CSR .2040** .100 .102		Values, transparency &	518	1.656	.595
Environment186.349.830Suppliers365.105.694Consumers & customers3961.202.673Judgment not specifiedPatching.1177**4.435.1543Born CSR2.327*5.18010.249Workforce1.269**8.168.3556Canada19.094.000195992614.4Positioning.3142.5971.368Values, transparency &.1024*6.475.359governanceWorkforceNegative judgmentPatchingPositioningBorn CSRNegative judgmentPatchingMorkforceBorn CSRBorn CSRMorkforceBorn CSRBorn CSRBorn CSRBorn CSRImage: Born CSRBorn CSRBorn CSR		governance			
Suppliers365.105.694Consumers & customers3961.202.673Judgment not specifiedPatching.177**6.9733.244Born CSR2.327*5.18010.249Workforce1.269**8.1683.556Canada19.094.00019592614.4Positioning.3142.5971.368Values, transparency &.1024*6.475.359Workforce.728**7.435.483Negative judgmentPatching.1.685*5.011.185Born CSR.2.287**7.734.102Invironment.502.610.605.101		Workforce	-2.099***	34.728	.123
Number Substrain S		Environment	186	.349	.830
Judgment not specified Community .434* 4.435 1.543 Patching 1.177** 6.973 3.244 Born CSR 2.327** 5.180 10.249 Workforce 1.269*** 8.168 3.556 Canada 19.094 .000 195992614.4 Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Values, transparency & .1024** 6.475 3.59 governance		Suppliers	365	.105	.694
Judgment not specified Patching 1.177** 6.973 3.244 Born CSR 2.327* 5.180 10.249 Workforce 1.269** 8.168 3.556 Canada 19.094 .000 195992614.4 Positive judgment Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Values, transparency & -1.024* 6.475 .359 governance		Consumers & customers	396	1.202	.673
Born CSR 2.327* 5.180 10.249 Workforce 1.269** 8.168 3.556 Canada 19.094 .000 195992614.4 Positive judgment Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Values, transparency & -1.024* 6.475 .359 governance Workforce 728** 7.435 .483 Negative judgment Patching -1.685* 5.011 .185 Born CSR -2.287** 7.734 .102 Linvironment 502 .610 .605 United States -2.040** 7.067 .130		Community	.434*	4.435	1.543
Workforce 1.269** 8.168 3.556 Canada 19.094 .000 195992614.4 Positive judgment Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Values, transparency & -1.024* 6.475 .359 governance	Judgment not specified	Patching	1.177**	6.973	3.244
Positive judgment Canada 19.094 .000 19592614.4 Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Values, transparency & -1.024* 6.475 .359 governance		Born CSR	2.327*	5.180	10.249
Positive judgment Positioning .314 2.597 1.368 Values, transparency & -1.024* 6.475 .359 governance - - - - Workforce 728** 7.435 .483 Negative judgment Patching -1.685* 5.011 .185 Born CSR -2.287** 7.734 .102 Environment 502 .610 .605 United States -2.040** 7.067 .130		Workforce	1.269**	8.168	3.556
Values, transparency & -1.024* 6.475 .359 governance		Canada	19.094	.000	195992614.4
governance .728** 7.435 .483 Negative judgment Patching -1.685* 5.011 .185 Born CSR -2.287** 7.734 .102 Environment -502 .610 .605 United States -2.040** 7.067 .130	Positive judgment	Positioning	.314	2.597	1.368
Workforce 728** 7.435 .483 Negative judgment Patching -1.685* 5.011 .185 Born CSR -2.287** 7.734 .102 Environment 502 .610 .605 United States -2.040** 7.067 .130		Values, transparency &	-1.024*	6.475	.359
Negative judgment Patching -1.685* 5.011 .185 Born CSR -2.287** 7.734 .102 Environment -502 .610 .605 United States -2.040** 7.067 .130		governance			
Born CSR -2.287** 7.734 .102 Environment 502 .610 .605 United States -2.040** 7.067 .130		Workforce	728**	7.435	.483
Environment502.610.605United States-2.040**7.067.130	Negative judgment	Patching	-1.685*	5.011	.185
United States -2.040** 7.067 .130		Born CSR	-2.287**	7.734	.102
		Environment	502	.610	.605
Canada -2.030* 6.720 .131		United States	-2.040**	7.067	.130
		Canada	-2.030*	6.720	.131

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001

Appendix 10: Strategic Idealist Frame and CSR Frame Variables

		Intention force for good			
			No	Yes	Total
Strategic	No	Count	82	356	438
Idealist		Expected Count	71.7	366.3	438.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	18.7%	81.3%	100.0%
		% within Intention force for good	97.6%	83.0%	85.4%
		% of Total	16.0%	69.4%	85.4%
	Yes	Count	2	73	75
		Expected Count	12.3	62.7	75.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%
		% within Intention force for good	2.4%	17.0%	14.6%
		% of Total	0.4%	14.2%	14.6%
Total		Count	84	429	513
		Expected Count	84.0	429.0	513.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	16.4%	83.6%	100.0%
		% within Intention force for good	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	16.4%	83.6%	100.0%

Table A. 22: Crosstabulation for Strategic Idealist Frame and Intention Force for Good

Odds ration = (73/2) / (356/82) =8.407

Table A. 23: Crosstabulation for Strategic Idealist Frame and Substantial Change

			Substa	ntial change	
			No	Yes	Tota
Strategic	No	Count	381	57	438
Idealist		Expected Count	350.1	87.9	438.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	92.9%	55.3%	85.4%
		% of Total	74.3%	11.1%	85.4%
	Yes	Count	29	46	75
		Expected Count	59.9	15.1	75.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	7.1%	44.7%	14.6%
		% of Total	5.7%	9.0%	14.6%
Total		Count	410	103	513
		Expected Count	410.0	103.0	513.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	79.9%	20.1%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	79.9%	20.1%	100.0%

Odds ration = (46/29) / (57/381) = 10.603

Table A. 24: Crosstabulation for Strategic Idealist Frame and CSR as Investment

			CSR as Investment		
			No	Yes	Total
Strategic	No	Count	336	102	438
Idealist		Expected Count	301.4	136.6	438.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	95.2%	63.8%	85.4%

		% of Total	65.5%	19.9%	85.4%
	Yes	Count	17	58	75
		Expected Count	51.6	23.4	75.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	22.7%	77.3%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	4.8%	36.3%	14.6%
		% of Total	3.3%	11.3%	14.6%
Total		Count	353	160	513
		Expected Count	353.0	160.0	513.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	68.8%	31.2%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	68.8%	31.2%	100.0%
ندمير مامام	a.a. / [· · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Odds ration = (58/17) / (102/336) = 11.239

Table A. 25: Crosstabulation for Strategic Idealist Frame and Active Engagement

			Active Engagement		
			No	Yes	Total
Strategic	No	Count	202	236	438
Idealist		Expected Count	174.2	263.8	438.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	46.1%	53.9%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	99.0%	76.4%	85.4%
		% of Total	39.4%	46.0%	85.4%
	Yes	Count	2	73	75
		Expected Count	29.8	45.2	75.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	1.0%	23.6%	14.6%
		% of Total	0.4%	14.2%	14.6%
Total		Count	204	309	513
		Expected Count	204.0	309.0	513.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%

Odds ration = (73/2) / (236/202) = 31.242

Table A. 26: Crosstabulation for Strategic Idealist Frame and Positive Judgment

			Positive Judgment		
			No	Yes	Total
Strategic	No	Count	174	264	438
Idealist		Expected Count	149.4	238.6	438.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	99.4%	78.1%	85.4%
		% of Total	33.9%	51.5%	85.4%
	Yes	Count	1	74	75
		Expected Count	25.6	49.4	75.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	1.3%	98.7%	100.0%
		% within Substantial change	0.6%	21.9%	14.6%
		% of Total	0.2%	14.4%	14.6%
Total		Count	175	338	513
		Expected Count	175.0	338.0	513.0
		% within Strategic Idealist	34.1%	65.9%	100.0%

% within Substantial change	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% of Total	34.1%	65.9%	100.0%

Odds ration = (74/1) / (264/174) = 48.773