



# RAM

● ROBOTICS  
AND  
MECHATRONICS

## CREATING A MR SAFE ROBOTIC PLATFORM

R.S.M. (Riccardo) Sneep

MSC ASSIGNMENT

**Committee:**

prof. dr. ir. G.J.M. Krijnen  
V. Groenhuis, MSc  
dr. F.J. Siepel, MSc  
dr. ir. F.F.J. Simonis

December, 2019

058RaM2019  
Robotics and Mechatronics  
EEMathCS  
University of Twente  
P.O. Box 217  
7500 AE Enschede  
The Netherlands

UNIVERSITY  
OF TWENTE.

TECHMED  
CENTRE

UNIVERSITY  
OF TWENTE.

DIGITAL SOCIETY  
INSTITUTE

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Abstract</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Clinical context . . . . .	7
2.2	Problem statement . . . . .	8
2.3	Goal . . . . .	8
2.4	Structure of report . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Systems . . . . .	9
3.2	Robotics and MRI systems . . . . .	10
3.3	Human anatomy terminology . . . . .	12
3.4	Same side approach . . . . .	12
3.5	Material possibilities and some properties . . . . .	13
3.6	Working principle of rotary pneumatic stepper motor by Groenhuis et al. . . . .	14
3.7	Fabrication methods and techniques . . . . .	15
3.7.1	Available 3D-printers methods, their capabilities and limitations . . . . .	15
3.7.2	Laser-cutting capabilities and limitations . . . . .	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Robot</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	Design . . . . .	17
4.1.1	Analysis . . . . .	17
4.1.1.1	Use cases . . . . .	17
4.1.1.2	Limitations . . . . .	17
4.1.2	Requirements . . . . .	18
4.1.3	Proposed Design . . . . .	19
4.1.4	Required joint specifications . . . . .	20
4.2	Realisation . . . . .	21
4.2.1	Final shape and motor emplacement . . . . .	22
4.2.2	Kinematics . . . . .	23
4.2.3	General segment connectors . . . . .	24
4.2.4	Visual assistance . . . . .	25
4.2.5	Pneumatic controller . . . . .	26
4.2.6	Software and interfacing . . . . .	26
4.3	Test setups . . . . .	27
4.3.1	Joint backlash test . . . . .	27
4.3.2	End-effector accuracy test . . . . .	28
4.3.3	End-effector force test . . . . .	29
4.3.4	MRI visibility test . . . . .	32
4.4	Results . . . . .	33
4.4.1	Backlash . . . . .	33
4.4.2	End-effector resolution and precision . . . . .	33
4.4.3	End-effector loading and motion . . . . .	34
4.4.4	MRI visibility . . . . .	35
4.5	Discussion . . . . .	36
4.5.1	Backlash . . . . .	36
4.5.2	End-effector resolution and precision . . . . .	36
4.5.3	End-effector loading and motion . . . . .	36
4.5.4	MRI visibility . . . . .	37
4.5.5	General remarks . . . . .	37
4.6	Conclusion & Recommendations . . . . .	38

<b>5</b>	<b>Motor</b>	<b>39</b>
5.1	Design . . . . .	39
5.1.1	Analysis . . . . .	39
5.1.1.1	Method of power transfer . . . . .	39
5.1.1.2	Pneumatic actuator options . . . . .	40
5.1.1.3	Chosen mechanism . . . . .	40
5.1.2	Requirements . . . . .	41
5.1.3	Proposed Design . . . . .	41
5.1.3.1	Final design . . . . .	41
5.1.3.2	Overall design principles . . . . .	43
5.1.3.3	Piston configuration . . . . .	43
5.1.3.4	Piston and tooth size . . . . .	45
5.1.3.5	Planetary gears and optimisations . . . . .	48
5.1.3.6	Motor seals . . . . .	49
5.1.3.7	Universal output connector . . . . .	49
5.2	Realisation . . . . .	50
5.3	Test setups . . . . .	51
5.3.1	Stall torque test . . . . .	52
5.3.2	Holding torque test . . . . .	53
5.3.3	MRI visibility test . . . . .	53
5.4	Results . . . . .	54
5.4.1	Output torque . . . . .	54
5.4.2	MRI visibility . . . . .	56
5.4.3	Ease of manufacture . . . . .	57
5.4.4	Ease of assembly and replacement . . . . .	57
5.4.5	Overall weight and size . . . . .	57
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	58
5.5.1	Torque tests . . . . .	58
5.5.2	MRI visibility . . . . .	58
5.5.3	Ease of assembly and replacement . . . . .	59
5.5.4	Overall weight and size . . . . .	59
5.5.5	Additional remarks . . . . .	59
5.6	Conclusion & Recommendations . . . . .	60
<b>6</b>	<b>General Discussion</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion &amp; Recommendations</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Future work</b>	<b>63</b>

<b>Appendices</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>A Raw measurement data from motor torque output tests</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>B Raw measurement data from robot resolution tests</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>C Homogeneous transformation matrices of the joints</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>D An overview of some previous motor designs</b>	<b>73</b>
D.1 Rotary Pneumatic Motor (RPM) - test version . . . . .	73
D.2 RPM v1-1 . . . . .	74
D.3 RPM v3 . . . . .	76
<b>E Planetary Gearboxes and optimisations</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>F Quick early test-build to find scaling issues</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>G Pressure Seals</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>H Configuration Optimisation</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>I The Arduino interface and possible commands</b>	<b>83</b>

# 1 Abstract

MRI scanners are very common and widely used medical imaging systems. At the moment, the imaging and treatment stages are separated meaning that the patient is repeatedly moved into and out of the MRI scanner. Large steps into the direction of automated localisation and positioning have been taken for breast biopsies with e.g. the Stormram and Sunram systems. However, there seems to be a larger trend toward more such systems for various purposes in and around the MRI scanners. At the moment, each separate line of research generally starts with designing a suitable and specialised MR Safe or MR Conditional robot.

It would be vastly advantageous to the development of new MRI procedures and methods if there is a solution available that enables 'plug-and-play' functionality on at least the robotic positioning part of the issue. Therefore, this research focuses on creating a universal 6 DOF, MR Safe robotic platform which can be used with different tool-tips in order to enable further development in all manner of MRI procedures such as e.g. cryo-ablation, which are candidate for being performed inside MRI scanners.

A universal 6 DOF, MR Safe robotic platform has been created which uses compressed air to actuate MR safe, pneumatic motors and gearboxes located in each joint. These actuators have demonstrated a torque as high as 17.7 N m at 5.0 bar of pressure. The overall robot arm is capable of exerting a force of 8.3 N. When the exerted force is kept below 2.5 N the deviation of the end-effector can be <2 mm.

Combined with the easily interchangeable end-effector and the use of only MR Safe materials it can be concluded that the result is a usable, universal 6 DOF MR Safe robotic platform which is able to enable further development of MRI procedures and equipment.