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1 Introduction

In this work two papers will be presented. The first paper is about modeling a transfemoral prosthesis to create more insight in the energetic behaviour of the prosthesis. The model is obtained in previous work and improved to be able to create this insight. The topic will be further introduced in the paper itself.

The second paper describes the design and realisation of a new prototype of the transfemoral prosthesis which is capable to adapt to different walking speeds. An actuator with control system is designed to achieve this adaptive behaviour and first test show the system is working.
Modeling of a Fully-Passive Transfemoral Prosthesis Prototype

F. Klijnstra, B. Burkink, R. Unal, S. Stramigioli, H.F.J.M. Koopman and R. Carloni

Abstract—In this paper we present the modeling of a fully-passive transfemoral prosthesis prototype, which has been designed and realized for normal walking. The model has been implemented in a simulation environment so to analyze the behavior of the prosthetic leg in different walking conditions and so to enhance the mechanics of the system. The accuracy of the model has been validated in experimental tests, supported by the help of an amputee.

I. INTRODUCTION

A transfemoral prosthesis is an assistive device, which artificially replaces the lower limb after an amputation due to a trauma or a disease. The challenging part in designing and realizing such a device is in reducing the use of metabolic energy consumption while restoring the gait pattern of the amputee with a light-weighted and intuitive system.

In our previous work, we designed and realized a fully-passive transfemoral prosthesis prototype for normal walking, which provides 76% of the required energy for the ankle push-off generation [1], [2]. The conceptual design is based on the analysis of the energetics of walking of the natural human gait with the final goal of having an energy efficient device [3], [4]. This is done by including three elastic elements, which realize an energetic coupling between the knee and the ankle joints. More precisely, three elastic elements are engaged in the different phases of the stride and they mimic the muscles synergies found in the healthy human gait. The overall system is fully-passive and the only supplied energy comes from the hip joint of the transfemoral user.

At the current stage of our research, a detailed dynamic model is necessary to investigate how the transfemoral prosthesis prototype is performing in different walking conditions and with different amputees biomechanical characteristics. The model will be used for the analysis of the transfemoral prototype to look for further improvements and eventually realisations. For this reason, we realized a port-based model in a simulation environment, which founds its basis on screw theory, and we validated it through experimental tests realized with the help of an amputee.

The creation of a dynamic model to generate gait patterns poses challenges such as the rate of complexity and the adaptability to design changes. In [5] a model of human body dynamics is shown using a mechanical multi body systems approach. A downside of this approach is the long derivation of the dynamic equations, which can be error prone and changes in the design cause long implementation time in the model. The same strategy of mathematical modeling has been used in [6] and [7]. The models built are all made for the purpose of obtaining gait patterns.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the working principle of the transfemoral prosthesis prototype. The complete model of the system is presented in Section III and validated in Section IV through experimental tests. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. WORKING PRINCIPLE OF THE TRANSFEMORAL PROSTHESIS

The transfemoral prototype is shown in Fig. 1, in which both a picture of the realized system and a detailed CAD drawing are reported. The transfemoral prosthesis is a fully-passive system, which has been designed and realized to mimic the human gait energetics [2].

Fig. 2 shows the power flow at the knee (top) and ankle (bottom) joints in a healthy human during a normal gait [8]. In the figure, it is possible to identify three instants, i.e. heel strike, push-off and toe-off, and three main phases:

- Stance: the knee absorbs a certain amount of energy during its flexion and generates as much as the same amount of energy for its extension. In the meantime, the ankle joint absorbs energy due to the weight bearing, represented by $A_3$.
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• The coupling elastic element (i.e., a telescopic spring) $C_2$ couples the upper and lower leg. It is responsible for the absorption and transfer of $A_2$ and for a part of the absorption $A_3$ during stance phase.

• The ankle elastic element $C_3$ connects the foot and lower leg and is responsible for the main part of the absorption $A_3$.

• The linkage mechanism $C_L$ couples the knee and ankle joints kinematically and is responsible for the transfer of a part of $A_1$ to the ankle push-off generation ($G$).

III. MODEL

In this Section we present the model of the transfemoral prosthesis. First, we give a short overview of the notations and of the mathematical framework and second, each part of the device is discussed in details.

A. Bond graphs and Screw Theory

The dynamic model of the system is developed using

dowel theory and the port-based approach that makes use

of bond-graphs as a graphical representation. The port-

based approach and the bond-graphs are suitable for multi-

domain dynamic modeling and screw theory provides the

mathematics that are needed to describe the kinematics and

dynamics of the system. The combination of these methods

provides a good tool to model a complex dynamic system

such as the transfemoral prosthetic prototype. The bond-

graph representation is used to implement the Newton-Euler equations of rigid bodies in a principal frame $\Psi_0$ without the need of writing the complete dynamic equation of motion. The construction of the dynamic model has been realized in the simulation environment 20-Sim [9]. More information on the mathematical framework can be found in [10].

B. Overall Kinematics

A complete overview of all the joints and bodies of the

transfemoral prosthesis are shown in Fig. 4.

Every joint is described in its coordinate frame. The joints

0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 8 are rotational joints and can rotate freely around their local $y$ direction. The joints 4 and 7 are translational joints and can translate freely along their local $z$ direction, so to realize the telescopic and ankle springs. The joint 5 can both translate along its local $z$ direction and can rotate around its local $y$ direction, so to realize the slider action. All joints are summarized in Table I.

TABLE I: Joint representation and degrees of freedom (DOFs) with respect to local reference frames.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint in the physical System</th>
<th>Joint number</th>
<th>Local DOFs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X, Z and Ry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Attachment Point</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescopic Spring</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slider</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ry and Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel Attachment Point</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle spring</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe Attachment Point</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Telescopic and Ankle Springs

The location of the telescopic spring is joint 4. The telescopic spring has a progressive behaviour [1]. In particular, the force exerted by the telescopic spring depends on the spring state $x$ and on the elastic constants of the three springs that are progressively engaged, i.e.,

$$ F_{\text{telescopic}} = \begin{cases} 
    k_1 (x - x_0) & 0 < x < s_1 \\
    (k_1 + k_2) (x - x_0) & s_1 < x < s_2 \\
    (k_1 + k_2 + k_3) (x - x_0) & x > s_2 \\
    k_0 (x - x_0) & x < 0 \end{cases} $$

where $x_0$ is the zero length of the spring and $k_i$ the elastic constants.

The ankle spring is a linear spring with $k_{\text{foot}}$ as elastic constant. The force exerted by this spring is given by

$$ F_{\text{ankle}} = k_{\text{foot}} \cdot (x - x_0) \quad x > 0 $$

where $x_0$ is the zero length of the spring.

D. Linkage

The linkage is located between the knee and ankle joints, as shown in Fig. 4. This element can be modeled as a conditional spring since it is not continuously active. If the spring is active it will be acting between the ankle and knee, otherwise there will be no physical connection.

The connection is activated just after stance, at a certain ankle angle, until there is no force on it anymore. Then the connection is disengaged and the ankle and knee are not coupled any more.

E. Slider

The slider can move freely along the local $z$ direction of the joint 5, as shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 5b, the mass represents the telescopic cylinder in Fig. 5a.

The following relations hold for the inner and outer bounds for the front and back position of the slider.

$$ \text{front} = \begin{cases} 
    \text{outer bound} & \phi_{\text{ankle}} < \phi_{\text{release,ankle}} \\
    \text{inner bound} & \phi_{\text{ankle}} < \phi_{\text{release,ankle}} \end{cases} $$

$$ \text{back} = \begin{cases} 
    \text{outer bound} & \phi_{\text{release,ankle}} = 6^\circ \\
    \text{inner bound} & \phi_{\text{ankle}} < 0 \end{cases} $$

where $\phi_{\text{release,ankle}} = 6^\circ$. The outer bounds resemble the front and back end position of the slider since it can not move any further in the physical system [1]. The inner bound on the back of the slider models the notch at the back of the slider.

F. Ground Reaction Forces

The implementation of the ground reaction forces is based on the Hunt-Crossley model for ground interaction described in [11]. The Hunt-Crossley contact model is used to calculate the normal force, which is exerted by the ground, and the friction force, which acts parallel to the ground.

In the dynamic simulation of the model, the contact point is calculated as the closed contact from a circle (e.g., the heel profile) with respect to another point (e.g., the ground), to create the necessary roll-over, as explained in [12].

G. Model’s Inputs

The inputs of the model are only the forces applied by the amputee on the prosthesis. Therefore, in order to validate the dynamics model, the angle of the hip measured during the experimental tests are used. Furthermore, the force of the sound leg is put in the model by applying the ground reaction forces on the Hunt-Crossley model for ground interaction described in [11]. The inputs of the model are only the forces applied by the amputee on the prosthesis.

H. Model Overview

The overall model is shown in Fig. 6. The input block contains to data input files, i.e. the ground reaction forces of the sound leg and the hip angle data.
controlled by a PD-controller which controls the torque on the hip joint.

Fig. 7 shows an example of gait pattern for normal walking in a simulation of the dynamic model.

IV. VALIDATION OF THE MODEL

In this Section, we validate the dynamic model by using real inputs as taken from experimental tests done with the help of an amputee.

A. Experimental Test Set-up

The experimental test set-up consists of a treadmill with speed control. The transfemoral prosthesis is equipped with markers for tracking the movements with a motion tracking system. Force plates are placed under the track of the treadmill to measure ground reaction forces. If these forces are applied to the model then the resulting power and torque of the joints can be generated. For the simulation it is necessary to measure the hip angle and ground reaction forces from the sound leg as these are used as an input on the model.

Figure 8 shows the transfemoral amputee with his own socket and the transfemoral prosthesis prototype. A full gait pattern for normal walking is shown in Fig. 9.

B. Validation of the Model

In Fig. 10 the angles of the hip, knee and ankle are shown as derived from the simulation of the model (continuous line), measured data from the amputee (dashed line) and the data from a healthy subject as presented in [8] (dashed-dotted line). From the plots, it can be seen that the angles of the model simulation are nicely following the angles of the measured data from the functional tests.

The difference of the knee angle during stance is due to the fact that the prosthesis does not flex during stance but is constrained in hyperextension.

The ankle angle in the model simulation deviates from the measured angle just after push-off. This is due to the fact that, after push-off, the slider slides to the front position. However, if the telescope spring starts pushing before the slider is in front position, the ankle angle in forced to move...
in positive direction. Then when the slider is in the front position and it is still pushing, the ankle angle is forced to move in negative direction. If the knee angle then decreases, the telescope starts pulling and the ankle is forced again to move in positive direction. This process is sensitive to gait timing and, due to small timing differences between the simulation and the real test, the model simulation and the experimental tests differ.

C. Discussion

In this section the model will be compared with the data of a healthy human to analyse the working of the prosthesis which was one of the goals of the model. The joint torques of the knee and ankle are shown in Fig. 11. In this plots, only the data from the model simulation are shown because the joint torques are not directly measured in the experiments with the amputee.

The positive knee torque during stance is due to the hyperextension. Around 60% of stride, a positive knee torque is present and it is due to the telescope spring that is pushing and, therefore, causing a higher hip angle input. In the ankle torque, the roll-over torque builds up later in the gait because the ankle springs only start loading from 0°.

The power of the knee and ankle are shown in Fig. 12. In this plots, the difference between the simulated model and Winter’s data are shown. The power flow at the knee joint is different during stance because, since the knee is in hyperextension, no power is exchanged. During push-off there is a small positive power, which bends the knee, after push-off the knee is slowed down by the telescope which gives negative power. This power is then used to propel the knee forward again. This probably causes the next difference, the absorption phase occurs early and the knee reaches hyperextension early. This is due to the fact that the amputee wants to be sure that the prosthesis reaches hyperextension before heel strike and, therefore, realized a bigger hip angle. This might become less when an amputee is walking on the prosthesis for a longer period and becomes more confident with it. In the ankle, it is visible that the roll-
over energy is stored in a smaller range. The early toe-off, visible in the plot, is due to the fact that the energy is released in a smaller time range then in an healthy human.

The dynamic model of the transfemoral prototype is overall working correctly and it has been validated through experimental tests. The model can be used to produce the torque and power plots, which can show the performance of the prosthesis and therefore can be used to improve the mechanical design of the prototype. Measuring these values directly on the prototype is very difficult so a model to obtain the values is the best solution.

The results of the experimental tests show that the prototype is working as expected. The hip angle input is larger in comparison with the reference data of Winter discussed in sec. II, the hip provides $15^\circ$ more in the stance phase. This is most likely the result of the fact that the amputee wants to be sure that the knee goes in hyperextension. This can be solved if the prosthesis is able to store different energy amounts in the telescope spring. In this way it can ensured the knee will go in hyperextension and the amputee can reduce the extra hip input.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work it is shown that the modeling of the prosthesis can be done as described with screw theory and bond graph representation. The complex model obtained shows the prosthesis is working as expected. The torque and power plots are used to create more insight in the energetic behaviour of the prosthesis. These torque and power plots show similarities with the natural torque and power profiles which was one of the goals of the prosthesis. The insight obtained by the model will be used to improve the prosthesis in future prototypes.
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Control and implementation of an energy efficient transfemoral prosthesis for walking at different speeds

F. Klijnstra, R. Unal, S.M. Behrens, S. Stramigioli, H.F.J.M. Koopman and R. Carloni

Abstract—In this work the control and implementation of energy efficient prosthesis for walking at different speeds will be shown. The prototype is based on previous work and makes use of energy flow during seen in healthy human gait. An actuator is designed to change the configuration of the prosthesis to adapt for different speeds. First test show that the prototype is working but more tests have to be performed to show the energy efficiency of the prosthesis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The use of transfemoral conventional passive prostheses for walking requires 65% more metabolic energy then healthy people, due to the absence of muscles and tendons [1], [2], [3]. When walking on varying speeds this energy consumption will be even higher, due to the invariable dynamics of these prostheses [4], [5].

Currently three categories of prostheses can be classified: passive, controlled and powered. Passive prostheses are designed to exploit the dynamics of walking to create the gait cycle of the prosthesis. These type of prostheses are mechanically efficient but the absence of ankle push-off and the invariable dynamics reduce the efficiency of walking drastically [6]. Controlled prostheses can change their dynamics with actuators to adapt for different walking conditions but do not inject power in the gait cycle. It is shown that these type of prosthesis reduce the oxygen consumption during walking at varying speeds [4], [5]. Powered prostheses are able to inject energy in the gait cycle with their actuators to reduce the need of extra metabolic energy but require a external power source to get the energy from [7].

To reduce the use of metabolic energy a prosthesis should be energy efficient. This can be done by studying power flow in healthy human gait as has been done in [8]. In this study the work of Winter [9] is used to see how the energy is used in healthy subjects and try to mimic this energy flow. Energy is stored during certain parts of the gait cycle and released during other parts, especially the push off. With the use of this study a new concept of a prosthesis is come up with which uses springs to store energy and different mechanisms to distribute it [10]. This prosthesis should be able to perform this task also at varying walking speeds to reduce the extra metabolic energy consumption during this changing conditions.

In this work a new prototype will be presented which is able to change the configuration to store different amounts of energy that are imposed by the varying walking speeds. The actuator used to change the configuration will use minimal actuation to reduce the energy consumption as much as possible.

II. HUMAN GAIT

In this section the basic concepts of the prosthesis will be briefly explained. The prosthesis is based on the power flow of normal human gait[8]. In fig. 1 the power flow of normal human gait is visible. In this plot the power flow during the three phases of human gait can be seen:

- Stance: During first part of stance the knee absorbs the impact of heel strike by knee flexion and gives this energy back by extension. The ankle absorbs the rollover energy $A_3$.
- Preswing: The ankle generates around 80% of the total energy generation during push-off. The knee starts absorbing energy $A_1$.
- Swing: The knee starts absorbing energy $A_2$ during late swing while the power flow from the ankle is negligible.

In fig. 1 the difference between walking at normal speed (4.8km/h), slow (3.6km/h) and fast (6.3km/h) can also be seen. The shape of the graph remains roughly the same but the amplitude is related to the speed. For slow walking the energy levels are lower and for fast walking the energy levels are higher then those of normal walking. The difference in absorption is particularly visible in the knee. In table I
Table 1: Energy levels of human gait with different speeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>$A_1$</th>
<th>$A_2$</th>
<th>$A_3$</th>
<th>$G_1$</th>
<th>ratio $A/G$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be able to walk dynamically with different speeds a system should be added to the prosthesis that can change this setting while the patient is walking.

The development of this system will be explained in the next sections.

A. Controller

In fig. 3 a schematic overview of the controller is shown. The different blocks of the controller will be explained hereafter. First the feedforward part of the controller, shown in the gray and white striped part, will be explained and after that the learning part of the controller, in the gray shaded part, will be shown. The mechanical part of the prosthesis is indicated as Walk MECH in the diagram with on the top the hip input of the human, on the bottom the measured knee angle and on the left side the input of the actuator.

The first block in the feed forward part in the diagram, denoted with $T_{str}$, uses the knee angle to find the stride time. This gives a measure for the walking speed and can therefor be used to know how much energy has to be stored in the springs. In fig. 4 it is shown how the stride is calculated from the knee angle. By looking at a certain knee angle and take the time between the next occurrence of this angle, with the same angular velocity, the stride time can be found.

![Fig. 2: Conceptual design of the prosthesis, the three elastic elements, $C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_3$, and the slider are visible](image)

This design process gives an energy efficient prosthesis but still lacks flexibility. This is because the amounts of energy that can be stored are fixed by the configuration and the elastic constants of the spring elements. As already shown in section II the energies are changing for different walking speeds. To be able to walk with different speeds the prosthesis should change the configuration in such a way that it stores the amount of energy according to the walking speed.

By changing the zero-length of the $C_2$ spring, shown as $x_0$ in fig. 2, the amount of energy stored during swing can be changed. In the previous prototype changing the zero-length of $C_2$ can be done manually but therefore not during walking.
Fig. 5: Relation between the stride time and energy $A_1 + A_2$, the arrows indicate the action of the learning controller

The algorithm with the modifications as described before will look like eq. 4, where $i$ is the current step, $n$ is the point to be changed (can be slow, normal or fast), $v_{0^\circ}$ is the velocity of the knee at $0^\circ$ and $v_{d,0^\circ}$ is the desired velocity at $0^\circ$. This example is for an energy point with a stride time less than the current stride time.

$$E_{i,n} = E_{i-1,n} + \left( \frac{t_{\text{str},n} - t_{\text{str}}}{t_{\text{str},n+1} - t_{\text{str},n}} \right) \cdot K \cdot (v_{0^\circ} - v_{d,0^\circ})$$  (4)

From the new energy points the interpolated linear fit will be recalculated to get the new map from stride time to energy.

IV. Realisation

The mechanical design and realisation of the new prototype is based on the design of the previous prototype shown in fig. 6. More details of the design and realisation of this prototype can be found in [13]. An actuator has been proposed in this subsection. This process is also shown in the diagram in fig. 3 as the gray shaded part.

The learning controller changes the model between the stridetime and energy according to an error. Ideally the knee will have zero angular velocity when it reaches hyperextension because then all the kinetic energy of the lower leg will be stored. This might not be desirable for the amputee because it imposes a risk that the knee will not reach hyperextension so a small velocity will be needed. If the amputee gives a bigger input this will result in a larger speed at hyperextension and this can be defined as an error when the desired speed is subtracted. This error will be used to change the mapping as described hereafter.

The algorithm with the modifications as described before.
A. Actuator design

The design of the actuator is made with the idea of minimal actuation in mind to keep the prosthesis as energy efficient as possible. Therefore the actuator will be very light, compact and equipped with only a small motor to reduce the power consumption. The forces on the actuator on the other hand will be large because it will be in series with spring $C_2$ and will therefore be subjected by high forces coming from the human input. To keep the power consumption and the requirements of the motor low the zero-length will only changed during a small part of the gait cycle when the spring is unloaded. To keep the zero-length from changing during the loaded part of the gait, a non-backdrivable transmission is chosen. A DC-Gearmotor (Faulhaber, Germany), which is fitted with a 1:8 gearbox and an optical encoder, is chosen in combination with a trapezoidal spindle. The motor is coupled with the spindle via an oldham coupling to be sure there are no alignment problems and only the torque of the motor is transmitted to the spindle. A bush bearing provides the concentric alignment and handle the radial forces and bending moment. Slide bearings are used to handle the axial load and are chosen for their compactness and ability to handle the high axial forces applied on the actuator. In fig. 7 a section view of the actuator is shown with the different parts indicated.

B. Electrical system

To implement the controller as described in the conceptual design section, a system based on an arduino microprocessor is used. The optical encoder on the motor is used to measure the position of the actuator. To measure the knee angle, necessary for the controller, a magnetic encoder is used. These encoders provide contactless and high resolution (12bit) angle measurement and are light and compact. A second encoder is used to measure the ankle angle to compare the angles with those of a healthy human and the previous prototype. The Arduino Nano board is placed on a custom PCB to house the connectors and also a H-bridge to control the input to the motor. The data recorded on by the arduino can be sent to a computer via a serial port, this can either be done via an usb cable or wireless with a bluetooth or Xbee (Digi International, USA) module.
C. Controller

The controller is programmed on the arduino according to the diagram shown in fig. 3 found in sec. III. The different blocks explained in that section are written in different functions which can be found in the appendices of this work.

V. Results

In this section the results of the first trials will be shown. First the test setup is shown and some of its limitations mentioned.

A. Test setup

The prosthesis is first tested with healthy subjects to test whether the principle is working. This is done with healthy subjects to know for sure that when an amputee is willing to test the prosthesis it works perfectly. In fig. 9 the test setup with healthy subject is shown. The healthy subject is wearing a socket in which his knee is placed and on which the prosthesis is mounted.

![Test setup with healthy subject and the finished prosthesis](image)

B. Test results

First the calculation of the stride time is checked. The healthy subject walked on an increasing and decreasing speed to show the working for different speeds. The results are shown in fig. 10. In the plot it is visible that the stride time is first decreased every step when the speed is increasing and the stride time is increased again with the decreasing speed. During the shown test the actuator was not changing the zero length.

In the next plot the setpoint of $x_0$ and the real value of $x_0$ and shown in fig. 11. It is shown that the setpoint is changing due to changes in the stride time and the real $x_0$ is following the setpoint. It is visible in the plot that the actuator can only change the zero length during a small part of the gait when spring $C_2$ is not loaded. The plot also shows that the actuator can change a limited amount in the small time frame that it is able to change. The setpoint is changing too fast for the actuator to follow. This changes in the setpoint are most likely due to problems with the socket which makes it really difficult to walk at a very constant pace. In the part of the plot where the setpoint is changing a little ($< 2\text{mm}$ per stride), which would be the case when a person is walking at a constant speed or changes their speed only slowly, the actuator follows the setpoint closely.

![Stride time, setpoint and real $x_0$ for an increasing walking speed](image)

C. Discussion

The proof that the concept is working is shown in the test results. To show that the prosthesis is energy efficient more tests have to be performed and the joint torques need to be calculated. For these calculations more measurements need
to be done, the hip rotation and ground reaction forces also need to be known.

The working of the learning controller can be shown when the subject is walking for a longer period of time on the same walking speed. The alignment of the prosthesis is not very good because the prosthesis has a big lateral offset due to the presence of the healthy knee. The socket is uncomfortable and makes it hard to walk with the prosthesis for longer amounts of time. The learning controller will therefore be tested with tests with an amputee.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work the control and implementation of an energy efficient prosthesis for walking at different speeds is shown. A new actuator is designed to change the zero length of the spring that stores energy during swing. The first results of tests with a healthy subject show that the principle of the controller and the setup is working. It is shown that the prototype is adapting for different speeds. More tests have to be performed to show the energy efficiency of the prosthesis.
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4 Conclusion

The model presented in the first paper has given insight in the dynamic and energetic behaviour of the prosthesis. The torque and power plots shown confirm the working of the prosthesis and show the similarity with normal human gait.

The work presented in the second paper show that the presented prosthesis is able to adapt to different walking speeds with added actuator and control system. The first tests are promising but more tests and measurements have to be performed to confirm the energy efficiency.
### A Controller code

Listing 1: Function to calculate the stride time from the knee angle

```c
int calculateStridetime() {
    if (kneeAnglespeed>0) {
        if ((kneeAngle>200) and (kneeAngle<250) and ... {
            stridetime = millis() - sttime1;
            sttime1 = millis();
        }
    }
    if (stridetime<200) {
        stridetime=200;
    } else if (stridetime>1500) {
        stridetime=1500;
    }
    if ((millis()-sttime1)>3000) {
        stridetime=1500;
    }
    return stridetime;
}
```

Listing 2: Function to calculate the to be stored amount of energy from the stride time

```c
float calculateEnergy(int stridetime) {
    slope1 = (float)((energy[1]-energy[0])*1000/(stridetime - w[1]));
    slope2 = (float)((energy[2]-energy[1])*1000/(stridetime - w[2]));
    if (stridetime<stridetime_w[1]) {
        k4_energy = (float)(slope1*stridetime/1000 + ... (-slope1*stridetime_w[1]/1000+energy[1]));
    } else if (stridetime>stridetime_w[1]) {
        k4_energy = (float)(slope2*stridetime/1000 + ... (-slope2*stridetime_w[1]/1000+energy[1]));
    }
    return k4_energy;
}
```
Listing 3: Function to that calculates the new energy points to create the new
mapping from stride time to energy

```c
void calculateNewMap() {
    if (kneeAngleSpeed < 0) {
        if ((kneeAngle >= -5) and (kneeAngle <= 5) and ... ((millis() - sttime2) > 100)) {
            sttime2 = millis();
            lockspeedError = -kneeAngleSpeed - kneeAngleSpeedDes;
            if (stridetime < stridetime_w[0]) {
                //energy[1] = energy[1];
            }
            else if (stridetime < stridetime_w[1]) {
                energy[0] = float(energy[0] + K * ((stridetime - stridetime_w[0]) / (stridetime_w[1] - stridetime_w[0])) * lockspeedError);
            }
            else if (stridetime < stridetime_w[2]) {
            }
            else if (stridetime < stridetime_w[3]) {
                //energy[3] = energy[2];
            }
        }
    }
}
```
B  Electrical diagrams

Figure 1: Diagram of the system PCB with arduino, connectors to sensors, h-bridge, motor connector, wireless connector and battery connector
Figure 2: Diagram of the sensor PCB with the sensor chip, two capacitors and the connector