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Abstract
Due to the increased production of silver nanoparticles in the last decade, the aqueous environment is getting increasingly polluted with these small contaminants. Membranes are seen as a promising technique to remove the silver nanoparticles from water. This research focuses on optimal reaction conditions and reaction mixture composition for manufacturing of silver nanoparticles, leading to monodisperse colloidal suspensions with high stability. During this research, silver nanoparticles were synthesized by applying the wet synthesis technique and more specifically, the reduction method. By using three different reducing agents, the influence of 6 parameters (reducing agent used, reaction mixture composition, reaction temperature, stirring period, addition of stabilizing agent and the variation of the pH-value) on the mean particle size, sample stability and the degree of dispersion were investigated. The quality of the synthesized samples was characterized by UV-Vis, DLS and zeta-potential measurements.
1. Introduction

1.1 Metallic nanoparticles
There is increased scientific interest in metallic nanoparticles. This is caused by the fact that metallic nanoparticles are of wide potential use. These particles are seen more and more in the electronic, optical and biomedical industry [1-4].

The US Environmental Protection Agency has categorized particles regarding to their diameter size [5]. Nanoparticles are categorized as ultrafine particles and have a diameter between 1-100 nanometer. It was not until the first profound fundamental studies that the term “nanoparticles” was used. Molecules are also in the size range mentioned above, but they are not referred to as nanoparticles.

Metallic nanoparticles are very interesting, because of the fact that these particles show size-dependent characteristics of the material. Especially in the nanometer scale, these size-dependent properties are observable. A bulk material that contains many molecules will have constant optical, thermal, chemical and physical properties, regardless of its size. This is not the case for very small particles [6]. Because of their small size, the ratio of surface-to-bulk is high. In other words, nanoparticles have relatively much more surface than larger particles. Besides the larger surface-to-bulk ratio, the surface-to-mean free path ratio of an electron in metal is also significantly larger than in bulk material [7]. These two characteristics make nanoparticles much more reactive. The reason that surface adds to reactivity can be explained by looking at the surface atoms. The surface atoms have less surrounding neighbouring atoms and are therefore highly energetic. Other atoms outside of the material are able to bind to these surface atoms very easily.

In the last decade, the amount of produced nanoparticles has expanded immensely, especially the amount of silver nanoparticles. There is a discussion going on about the impact of nanoparticles on living organisms and their possible toxicity. This toxicity can become hazardous for living organisms, as the produced nanoparticles will accumulate in the aqueous environment after usage. This creates an urgency to obtain deeper knowledge and fundamental understanding of the behaviour of nanoparticles in water. Membranes are seen as a potential solution to remove the contaminating nano-sized particles from the aqueous environment. Therefore, nanoparticle suspensions are needed for filtration purposes. From these suspensions, defined size of nanoparticles is needed. The goal of this work is to research the influence of main parameters found in literature on the size and surface properties of manufactured silver nanoparticles, as silver nanoparticles are the most commonly produced of all the nanoparticles. This research will thus focus on development of a method to manufacture a monodisperse, stable solution of silver nanoparticles. The manufacturing method used will be the reduction of silver salt in the liquid phase. Three different reducing agents will be used. The manufactured silver nanoparticles will be characterized by measurements of Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS), UV-Vis spectroscopy, and zeta potential measurements.
This paper is structured as follows: The remainder of this chapter will proceed with the manufacturing methods of metallic nanoparticles and the underlying mechanisms. The chapter will conclude with silver nanoparticles. Chapter 2 outlines the experimental part, followed by results and discussion of three different reducing agents in chapter 3. In chapter 4, the conclusions will be presented. Finally, chapter 5 will provide recommendations on future research.

1.2 Manufacturing of metallic nanoparticles

There are two different approaches for the production of metallic nanoparticles; the break-down approach (this approach is also called the top-down approach) and the build-up approach (this approach is also called the bottom-up approach) [8]. Figure 1.1 shows the schematic representation of the two approaches [9].

![Figure 1.1: Schematic representation of the top-down and bottom-up approaches for the manufacturing of metal nanoparticles (MNPs), adopted from [9].](image)

In the top-down approach, the particles are reduced from macroscopic scale to a nanoparticle scale. In the bottom-up approach, atoms are added to form larger particles. For this research, the bottom-up approach is more suitable, because this approach provides the possibility to create more uniform particles than is possible with the top-down approach [10].

The above-mentioned manufacturing approaches can be further subdivided into three categories (manufacturing methods); the solid phase, gas phase, and liquid phase method [8,11]. There is a large amount of manufacturing methods. Below, some of the frequently used manufacturing methods will be outlined for each of the three categories.

1.2.1 Solid phase: Milling

For the solid phase manufacturing method, the most common manufacturing method is milling (or attrition) [10], which can be classified as a top-down approach. The most commonly applied milling for the synthesis of nanoparticles is high-energy milling [12].

![Figure 1.2: Schematic view of the high-energy ball mill (adopted from [13]).](image)

In this process, a ball mill is used. The ball mill has an outer milling bowl and an inner supporting disc.
Inside the ball mill, a powder mixture is placed. This is depicted in Figure 1.2. During the milling process, the powder inside the ball mill is subjected to high-energetic impact of the milling balls. The movement of the outer bowl is opposite to the movement of the inner supporting disc. This leads to the alternate synchronization of the centrifugal forces. The milling balls and the powder then alternately roll on one side of the inner wall and impact the opposite side, thereby achieving a high-energetic impact, which is up to 40 times higher than that of gravitational acceleration [13].

De Castro and Mitchell [12] state that the particle size is highly dependent on the milling duration. For the milling of Fe-Co powders, they obtain the graph, which is presented in Figure 1.3. After 30 hours of milling, the graph becomes steady and the smallest possible particle size is reached. Furthermore, De Castro and Mitchell mention the disadvantages of ball milling; to obtain small particles, the milling time should be quite long. However, at long milling times, the particles tend to agglomerate due to attractive forces. The second disadvantage is the contamination from the milling balls. Finally, the obtained particles have a wide size distribution [10].

1.2.2 Gas phase: Spray Pyrolysis
Spray pyrolysis is a gas phase manufacturing method for the preparation of nanoparticles. This manufacturing method can be categorized as a bottom-up approach. Figure 1.4 presents a schematic view of how this manufacturing process works [14]. First, the starting solution is nebulized in an atomizer. This creates droplets of the input solution. Subsequently, a carrier gas moves these droplets into the heat source, to dry the droplets; the temperature in the furnace is larger than the vaporization temperature of the solvent. After drying of the droplets, the nanoparticles are collected in a precipitator. Iskandar [14] reports nanoparticle sizes of 11 nm to 50 nm obtained by using spray pyrolysis. Compared to the solid phase, top-down milling manufacturing method, spray pyrolysis offers better control for synthesized nanoparticle characteristics. Furthermore, this manufacturing method can be used to prepare nanocomposite particles.

Spray pyrolysis is a manufacturing method suited for continuous production of nanoparticles, making it an ideal method for industrial applications.

1.2.3 Liquid phase: Reduction
The reduction of a silver salt is a wet manufacturing method for the preparation of nanoparticles and is categorized as a bottom-up approach. A metallic salt is diluted in an aqueous solution (precursor) and subsequently reacted through a chemical reduction process, please refer to Figure 1.5 for a schematic
representation of the reduction manufacturing method [15]. For this process various reducing agents can be used. In general, the following chemical reaction takes place [16]:

\[ mMe^{n+} + nRed \rightarrow mMe^0 + nOx \]  

During the reaction, the metal ions (Me\(^{n+}\)) are chemically reduced to a non-valent form of the metal (Me\(^0\)). The crystallization process and the reduction process take place at the same time. The crystallization process exists out of two events; nucleation and growth.

**Homogeneous nucleation**

The above-mentioned non-valent form of the metal will be insoluble and will aggregate slowly into embryos through the reaction process [16]:

\[ xMe^0 \leftrightarrow (Me_x^0)_{em} \]  

The embryos will either dissociate or grow until the embryos contain the amount of atoms equal the “magic numbers” (2, 10, 18, 36, 54, 86) [17]. This will ensure stability of the embryos. The driving force for nucleation (and growth, discussed in Growth-section below) is the reduction of the overall Gibbs free energy. The chemical reduction process of the metal ions in solution will create a solution with an amount of non-valent metal atoms, which exceeds the solubility. This is called supersaturation. When a solution is supersaturated, it will have high Gibbs free energy. Segregation of the metal atoms in solution will reduce the overall Gibbs free energy. This driving force can be expressed as \( \Delta G_v \), which is the difference in Gibbs free energy per unit volume. The concentration of the metal atoms in solution dictates the driving force, as can be seen from equation (1.3) below [18]:

\[
\Delta G_v = -kT \frac{\Omega}{\ln \left( \frac{C}{C_0} \right)} = \frac{-kT}{\Omega \ln(1 + \sigma)}
\]

where \( C \) represents the concentration of the metal atoms in solution, \( C_0 \) represents the equilibrium concentration or the solubility, \( \Omega \) represents the atomic volume and \( \sigma \) represents the supersaturation, which can be expressed as \((C - C_0)/C_0\). From equation (1.3) it can be seen that if there is no supersaturation, the nucleation process will not occur (if \( \sigma \) equals zero, \( \Delta G_v \) will be zero). Thus supersaturation is a precondition for spontaneous nucleation.
For a supersaturated solution, the Gibbs free energy of formation of spherical crystals with radius $r$ can be expressed with the following equation:

$$\Delta G = 4\pi r^2 \gamma + \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \Delta G_v$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

where $\gamma$ is the surface free energy per unit area. When segregation occurs, the volume energy is decreased ($4/3 \pi r^3 \Delta G_v$) and this decrease is counterbalanced with the increase of surface energy ($4 \pi r^2 \gamma$) of the new phase. Since $\gamma$ is positive and $\Delta G_v$ is negative (as long as the solution is supersaturated) [19], there will be a maximum $\Delta G$ at a certain radius. The radius corresponding to this maximum $\Delta G$ (when $d(\Delta G)/dr = 0$) is the critical radius ($r_c$). When this critical size is reached, the embryos will separate from the aqueous solution and form nuclei $(Me_n^0)_{nuc}$. The critical radius $r_c$ is the smallest radius possible for a stable nucleus. If the radius is smaller than the critical radius, the nuclei will dissolve into the solution and if the radius is larger than the critical radius, the nuclei will grow.

**Growth**

When more metal atoms are added, the nuclei will grow to form primary particles of nano-sized scale. The following reaction will take place:

$$(Me_n^0)_{nuc} + mMe^0 \rightarrow (Me_{n+m}^0)_{pp}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

Now, there are two mechanisms for the primary particles to form the final metal particles; either through further diffusion of non-valent atoms onto primary particles (diffusion mechanism):

$$(Me^0)_{pp} + nMe^0 \rightarrow (Me^0)_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)

or through the aggregation of primary particles (aggregation mechanism):

$$m(Me^0)_{pp} \rightarrow (Me^0)_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.7)

These two mechanisms take place at the same time within a system. The density of the particles and their size depends on which of the two mechanisms will prevail (diffusion mechanism or aggregation mechanism) [16].

**Surfactant**

As Figure 1.5 shows, a stabilizing agent can be added. The stabilizing agent will prevent aggregation of the nanoparticles by lowering the surface tension between the solid particles and the solvent. This is achieved through adsorption of stabilizer-ions onto the nanoparticles in solution. The stabilizing agent ensures formation of metal-surfactant complexes in the reaction solution. This will reduce the reactivity of the precursor and reduce the rate of the reduction process. As reduction and crystallization take place at the
same time, the nucleation process will be favoured because of enough accumulation of reactants in solution to preserve supersaturation. Furthermore, the adsorbed stabilizer-ions will create electrostatic repulsion between the coated nanoparticles, thereby increasing stability. This is essential for a narrow size distribution of the particles [19].

It is also possible to use surfactant to manipulate the shape during the reduction process. This is also known as capping [20]. The surfactant has a preference for binding to certain planes of the crystal system, allowing the ‘unprotected’ planes to be reduced.

1.3 Silver Nanoparticles
In the last decade, the usage of silver nanoparticles has expanded significantly. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are commercially used, among others, for electronics, textiles, cosmetics, spray cleaning agents, fever reducing substances, plastics and paints [21,22]. Due to their increased commercial use, AgNPs have become the most commonly utilized nanoparticles. It is believed that AgNPs are toxic [23]. This toxicity poses a threat for the environment, as the manufactured AgNPs will accumulate in the aqueous environment after their usage. It is essential to understand the behaviour of silver nanoparticles in water. To be able to study silver nanoparticles and get deeper knowledge about their behaviour, silver nanoparticles will be produced for this research.

1.3.1 Plasmonic properties
An interesting thing about some metallic nanoparticles and especially silver and gold nanoparticles, is that these particles show strong plasmonic properties. When light photons interact with the surface of AgNPs, the outer free electrons of the particles form localized plasmons [24]. Plasmons are density waves of the free outer electrons. Specific wavelengths of light cause the outer electrons to oscillate. This phenomenon is called the surface plasmon resonance (SPR). When these resonances occur, the intensities of absorption and scattering are much higher than those of the same particles without plasmonic properties. SPRs are highly dependent on particle characteristics [25]. Figure 1.6 shows localized surface plasmon resonance for a particle smaller than the wavelength of the incident light.

![Figure 1.6. Schematic view of localized surface plasmon resonance for particles smaller than the wavelength of the incident light; the lightvector \( \mathbf{k} \) creates an electric field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \). Due to \( \mathbf{E}_0 \), the free electrons in the AgNPs get displaced and start to oscillate collectively in resonance with the incident light, adopted from [25].]
1.3.2 UV-Vis spectroscopy
UV-Vis spectroscopy is very appropriate for the detection of localized SPRs. With this technique absorption intensity can be set out against the different wavelengths of light. Wavelengths of ultraviolet as well as visible light can be interacted with the surface electrons of the AgNPs. Figure 1.7 shows a typical UV-Vis plot for AgNPs. The maximum of the peak and the full width at half the maximum (FWHM) are highly dependent on the surface plasmon modes of the AgNPs. UV-Vis spectroscopy thus allows high quality characterization of the AgNPs.

1.3.3 DLS
Another method for high quality characterization of AgNPs is Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS). With DLS particle size can be determined. The technique is based on Brownian motion of particles. Brownian motion is a phenomenon based on the random movement of particles in a solution [26]. The random movement exists because of the collision of the AgNPs with the molecules of the solvent surrounding the AgNPs. The DLS-technique allows the Brownian motion to be measured. Brownian motion depends on the size of the particles, the temperature and the viscosity of the sample. At the same temperature and sample viscosity, smaller AgNPs will exhibit faster Brownian motion. Figure 1.8 shows a schematic view of the DLS characterization output. The difference in particle size is clearly visible in the two different output graphs. As discussed above, due to the surface plasmon characteristics of AgNPs, scattering intensities will be much higher than those of the same particles without plasmonic characteristics, providing the possibility for high quality characterization of AgNPs by using the DLS-technique.
1.3.4 Zeta-potential and DLVO-theory

The final characterization technique that will be discussed is the zeta-potential measurement. To be able to do that, characteristics of colloids need to be discussed. When looking at a colloid, as presented in Figure 1.9, different layers around the colloid can be identified. The figure presents two ways to look at the situation: the left half shows the change in charge density, surrounding the colloid and the right half of the figure shows the distribution of the positively and negatively charged ions, surrounding the colloid. Because of the surface potential of the colloid (the difference in potential between the colloid and the bulk of the surrounding solvent), counter-ions (ions of opposite charge to that of the colloid) in solution are attracted and form a firmly attached layer around the colloid surface. This layer is called the Stern layer. Further away from the surface of the colloid, counter-ions are still being attracted, but now these counter-ions also experience a repulsive force from the Stern layer and from each other. Also, co-ions (ions with the same charge as the colloid) can get closer to the colloid surface now as they are attracted by higher concentration of counter-ions than in the bulk of the solvent. Moving away further from the surface of the colloid, the density of the counter-ions becomes less. This equilibrium situation is called the diffuse layer. This layer is not as firmly attached around the colloid surface as the Stern layer. The Stern layer and the diffuse layer are also known as the double layer. When moving away even further from the colloid surface, another equilibrium situation is observable; the ions in equilibrium with the solution.

By applying a voltage field, a charged particle will move with a fixed velocity. This movement will cause resistance, which will affect the not so firmly attached diffuse layer by slightly shifting the layer with reference to the firmly attached Stern layer. This small offset between the Stern layer and the diffuse layer will generate the zeta-potential. The zeta-potential is linearly related to the surface potential, as can be seen in Figure 1.10. This is how the zeta-potential measurement technique works; by applying a voltage field, the AgNPs in solution will move, allowing indirect measurement of the surface potential.

The zeta-potential will give information about the stability of AgNP solution and can be related to the repulsive interaction.
between particle double layers through the Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek-theory (DLVO-theory). The DLVO-theory describes the balance between repulsive interactions (arising from the double layers) on surrounding neighbour particles and the attractive van-der-Waals interactions present between the molecules in those particles [30,31]. The potential energy arising from the repulsive interactions between the particle double layers, can be expressed as [32]:

$$V_{\text{repulsion}} = \frac{Aa^2\zeta^2}{R} e^{-h/\kappa}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.8)

where A is a constant, a is the particle radius, $\zeta$ is the zeta-potential, R is the distance between the particle centres, h is the distance between the surfaces of the two particles and $\kappa$ is the thickness of the double layer (Debye length). Equation (1.8) is valid for particles, which have a thick double layer ($a<<\kappa$) [32]. For the research described in this paper, it will be assumed that this is the case. The thickness of the double layer can be expressed as [32]:

$$\kappa = \left(\frac{\varepsilon RT}{2\rho F^2 I b^o}\right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.9)

where $\varepsilon$ is the permittivity, T is the temperature, $\rho$ is the mass density of the solution, F is Faraday’s constant, I is the ionic strength of the solution and $b^o = 1 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ [32]. The potential energy corresponding to the attractive van-der-Waals interactions can be expressed as [32]:

$$V_{\text{attraction}} = -\frac{B}{h^n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.10)

where B is the Born constant and n is the Born exponent. The value of n depends on the electronic configuration of the closed shell ions. Figure 1.11 shows the graphs of the potential energy for the

![Figure 1.11](image-url)  

Figure 1.11. The graphs for the potential energy of repulsion interactions between particles ($V_{\text{repulsion}}$), the attraction interactions ($V_{\text{attraction}}$) and the total potential energy ($V_{\text{total}}$). Adopted from [33].
repulsive interactions (V-repulsion), the attractive interactions (V-attraction) and the total potential energy (V-total). When the distance h between the particles is very small, the van-der-Waals forces will dominate and aggregation between the particles will occur (during the interval between the primary minimum and the primary maximum of the V_T-graph). This aggregation will be irreversible and is known as coagulation [32]. At large distance s between the particles and at high ionic strength, a secondary minimum exists in the V_T-graph, forming a shallow well. This well corresponds to reversible aggregation of the particles and is known as flocculation. Because the well is shallow, the flocculated particles can be redispersed again by agitation [32].

1.3.5 Parameters influencing AgNP properties
In literature, parameters were found, which will influence the characteristics of the manufactured AgNPs. These are the commonly acknowledged parameters of influence:
- type of reducing agent used during synthesis,
- reaction mixture composition,
- temperature of the reaction,
- stirring time,
- stabilization with a stabilizing agent,
- pH-value.

During this research the above-mentioned parameters will be controlled to find a reliable way for manufacturing AgNPs with certain characteristics.

1.3.6 Synthesis of silver nanoparticles
There are several manufacturing methods for AgNPs, but the mostly used method is the wet synthesis method [34]. This method was outlined under section 1.2.3. When looking at Figure 1.5, it can be noted that some substances are needed to be able to produce silver nanoparticles. First, a silver precursor is needed. The precursor material is silver salt (AgNO_3) [35]. Further, a reducing agent and a stabilizing agent are needed. The reducing agent will reduce the silver ions to their non-valent form as described under 1.2.3. The stabilizing agent functions as a surfactant, which will allow control of surface properties of the nanoparticles (described under the Surfactant-section in 1.2.3). From literature, many possible combinations of reducing agents and stabilizing agents can be found for this manufacturing method. For this Bachelor research, three reducing agents were chosen: Trisodium Citrate (Na_3C_6H_5O_7), Ascorbic Acid (C_6H_8O_6), and Sodium Borohydride (NaBH_4).

Trisodium Citrate
When trisodium citrate is used as a reducing agent to reduce the silver salt, the following chemical reaction takes place [36]:

\[ 4Ag^+ + Na_3C_6H_5O_7 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 4Ag^0 + C_6H_8O_7^2- + 3Na^+ + H^+ + O_2 \]  (1.11)
The use of trisodium citrate for the manufacturing of silver colloids was already used back in 1951 [37]. In the Turkevich method, trisodium citrate serves as a reducing agent as well as a stabilizing agent. It is quick method for manufacturing silver nanoparticles and it does not require excessive laboratory skills.

**Ascorbic Acid**
Chemically reducing the silver salt with ascorbic acid will yield the following chemical reaction [38]:

\[
2Ag^+ + C_6H_6O_6 \leftrightarrow 2Ag^0 + C_6H_6O_6 + 2H^+ 
\]  
(1.12)

From literature, some stabilizing agents were suggested in combination with ascorbic acid as reducing agent and silver nitrate as silver salt [39,40,41]. For this research, trisodium citrate is chosen as the stabilizing agent. From the Turkevich method, trisodium citrate was seen to function as a reducing agent as well as a stabilizing agent. Thus the reaction conditions have to be such, that trisodium citrate is only functioning as a stabilizer. From the Turkevich method, this is the case when the reaction temperature is not elevated. At room temperature, for example, trisodium citrate will not reduce the silver salt.

**Sodium Borohydride**
The chemical reduction of silver nitrate with sodium borohydride proceeds according to the following chemical reaction [42]:

\[
AgNO_3 + NaBH_4 \rightarrow Ag^0 + \frac{1}{2}H_2 + \frac{1}{2}B_2H_6 + NaNO_3
\]  
(1.13)

Stabilizing agents in combination with sodium borohydride as a reductant were found in literature [43-45]. For this research, trisodium citrate will be used as the stabilizing agent with sodium borohydride as a reductant.
2. Experimental

2.1 Materials
Three different reducing agents were used for the experiments. Sodium Citrate Tribasic Dihydrate, L-Ascorbic Acid and Sodium Borohydride were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich™. AgNO₃, also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich™, was used as the silver salt. Solutions were prepared by using deionized water (Milli-Q, Millipore™). The solutions were stirred with a magnetic stir bar. The heaters and magnetic stirrers, as well as the thermometers were from IKA™. 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks from Schott Duran™ were used for the experimental solutions. For the reducing agent stock solutions, 2.0 L were used. All labware and glassware were thoroughly cleaned before use with Aqua Regia, followed by a thorough rinse with deionized water. For characterization, an UV-Vis spectrophotometer from Varian Inc. and the Zetasizer from Malvern Instruments™ were used. Measurements of the pH-value of the solutions were conducted by using the 713 pH Meter from Metrohm AG™. Additionally, ice was used to chill the sodium borohydride during experiments.

2.2 Synthesis of silver nanoparticles
First series – temperature and concentration
The first series of experiments were performed by changing the concentration of the reducing agent. This led to different ratios of reducing agent to silver salt in the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture concentration of the silver salt was kept constant at 1 mM throughout all experiments. The silver salt used was silver nitrate (AgNO₃). Experiments with all reducing agent concentrations were performed at a different temperature. These series of experiments were performed for each of the three reducing agents. Tables 2.1-2.3 below, show different reaction mixture ratios for the three different reducing agents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Final molar ratios of trisodium citrate to AgNO₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>20:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1. Final molar ratios of trisodium citrate to AgNO₃ in the reaction mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Final molar ratios of ascorbic acid to AgNO₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>10:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2. Final molar ratios of ascorbic acid to AgNO₃ in the reaction mixture.
Table 2.3. Final molar ratios of sodium borohydride to AgNO$_3$ in the reaction mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Final molar ratios of sodium borohydride to AgNO$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.1 is a schematic representation of the experiment sequence in this first series of experiments. First, 195 mL of the reducing agent stock solution was poured into 250 mL Erlenmeyer flaks (Step 1 in Figure 2.1; every temperature experiment at each concentration ratio was performed twice to ensure validity). Under vigorous stirring at 700 rpm, the solution in the Erlenmeyer flasks was brought to reaction temperature (Step 2). When the reaction temperature was reached, 5 mL of the silver salt stock solution was injected into the reaction mixture (Step 3). After the injection, the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred for another 30 minutes (15 minutes for sodium borohydride experiments) at 700 rpm (Step 4). After the stirring, 50 mL of the reaction mixture was sampled into small plastic containers (Step 5). Right after sampling the reaction mixture, the pH-value was measured. Finally, the sample was characterized by using UV-Vis, DLS, and Zeta-potential measurement techniques (Step 6).

Second series - stirring time
The second series of experiments consisted out of the variation of stirring times. For this series of experiments, the reducing agent concentration ratio at the temperature that gave the best results (most distinct and most narrow UV-Vis peak) from the first series were performed again. The same experiment sequence was performed as the sequence presented in Figure 2.1. This time, the stirring time was extended (Step 4 from Figure 2.1 was altered). Table 2.4 below shows the summary of this third series of experiments.

Table 2.4. The third series of experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reducing agent</th>
<th>Best result from the first series of experiments</th>
<th>Extended stirring times</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trisodium citrate</td>
<td>5:1 ratio at 70°C (the stirring time was 30 min.)</td>
<td>45 min. and 60 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ascorbic acid 1:2 ratio at 20°C (the stirring time was 30 min.) 45 min. and 60 min.
Sodium borohydride 2:1 ratio at 0°C (the stirring time was 15 min.) 30 min. and 45 min.

### Third series - pH

Again, the experiments with the best reducing agent concentration ratio and temperature obtained from the first series of experiments were performed. The same experiment steps were performed as the steps shown in Figure 2.1. The only difference was Step 2 in Figure 2.1; the pH-value was altered by adding highly concentrated HNO₃ or NaOH solution before the 195 mL of reducing agent stock solution was brought to reaction temperature. Table 2.5 below shows the different pH-values that were experimented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reducing agent</th>
<th>Best result from the first series of experiments</th>
<th>Experimented pH-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trisodium citrate</td>
<td>5:1 ratio at 70°C (the pH-value was 9)</td>
<td>pH = 5,6,7,8,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>1:2 ratio at 20°C (the pH-value was 3.5)</td>
<td>pH = 5,6,7,8,9,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium borohydride</td>
<td>2:1 ratio at 0°C (the pH-value was 8)</td>
<td>pH = 5,6,7,9,10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fourth series – stabilizing agent present in the reaction mixture

For the fourth series, the experiments with the best results from the first series of experiments were performed again. Now, trisodium citrate was added as a stabilizing agent. Figure 2.2 shows the experiment sequence for the fourth series. First, the reducing agent stock solution was prepared. Table 2.6 below summarizes the stock solutions for the two different reducing agents ascorbic acid and sodium borohydride.

![Figure 2.2. Experiment sequence for the fourth series of experiments](image-url)
For Step 1 in Figure 2.2, 190 mL of reducing agent stock solution was poured into 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks. This solution was brought to reaction temperature (20°C for the ascorbic acid experiments and 0°C for the sodium borohydride experiments, Step 2A in Figure 2.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reducing agent</th>
<th>Final molar ratios of reducing agent to AgNO₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium borohydride</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simultaneously with Step 2A, 5 mL of trisodium citrate solution and 5mL of silver salt stock solution were put in a 50 mL beaker (Step 2B and 2C). Table 2.7 below shows the composition of the trisodium citrate solution. The silver salt solution was prepared as in the previous experiment series. The silver salt solution, containing the stabilizing agent was then injected into the reaction mixture, which has reached the reaction temperature (Step 3). The experiment sequence was completed as in the first experiment series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reducing agent</th>
<th>Stabilizing agent (concentration in the final reaction mixture)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>0.25 mM, 0.5 mM, 0.75 mM, 1.0 mM trisodium citrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium borohydride</td>
<td>0.5 mM, 0.75 mM, 1.0 mM trisodium citrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fifth series – stabilizing agent added after particle formation**

The fifth series of experiments was performed like the fourth series, except for the order of addition for the stabilizing agent. In this experiment series, the stabilizing agent was added after particle formation (Step 5 in Figure 2.3). Figure 2.3 is a schematic representation of the fifth series of experiments.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Trisodium citrate

3.1.1 Effect of temperature and reducing agent concentration
During the experiments, colour changes in the solutions were noticeable. At lower concentrations of the reductant (1:1 and 2:1 ratio) and low reaction temperatures (20°C and 40°C), the solutions were colourless. However, after a week the solutions turned very dark with a reddish glow, indicating an extremely slow formation of nanoparticles. Right after the experiment, there was mainly unreacted AgNO₃ in solution. As was mentioned before, trisodium citrate has a dual role here; it functions as a reducing agent as well as a stabilizing agent. Aggregation (very dark colour after a week) is occurring, because the amount of trisodium citrate is too low to effectively function as a stabilizer. Furthermore, at low concentrations of trisodium citrate, Ag⁺-ions tend to form complexes with trisodium citrate, (Ag⁺⁻citrate), which leads to formation of larger clusters of silver [46]. When the same ratios (1:1 and 2:1 ratio) were experimented, but at higher temperatures (70°C and 100°C), the samples showed the same behaviour as described above, only the colour change was seen after a shorter amount of time (after less than three days), indicating faster particle formation due to faster reaction kinetics.

At higher concentrations of trisodium citrate (5:1 ratio), the colour was yellowish. This is presented in Figure 3.1-A. At low temperatures (20°C and 40°C) the colour change was slow and the eventual colour was pale. The 70°C-experiments showed a fast colour change, with a final bright yellow colour. The UV-Vis characterization showed that the absorbance increased with higher temperature and shifted somewhat to shorter wavelengths. A single SPR became more distinctive. Figure 3.1-B. shows this result. At 100°C, silver traces on the Erlenmeyer flasks and on the thermometers were visible. This indicates that the silver particles aggregate very quickly at this temperature. The final colour of the 100°C experiments is dark greyish yellow. It can be expected that a higher temperature will lead to smaller AgNPs in solution. When
equation (1.4) is differentiated with respect to \( r \) and then set equal to zero, the critical radius (mentioned in the Homogeneous nucleation-section, under 1.2.3) can be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_c &= \frac{-2\gamma}{\Delta G_v} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

From equation (1.3) it can be seen that a higher temperature will lead to a more negative \( \Delta G_v \). When looking at equation (3.1) it can be expected that this will lead to a smaller \( r_c \), which is the smallest possible size of the AgNPs in solution. Paradoxically, very high temperatures will not lead to very small particles as the temperature may become too high, leading to extremely fast aggregation. The reason for this is that high temperature will lead to too high reaction kinetics. The fast reaction kinetics make it impossible to control the growth stage of the crystallization process, leading to uncontrolled and fast aggregation. Even though there are small AgNPs present, this is for an extremely short period of time. The final solution will be dominated by large particles. Also, the high reaction kinetics will lead to a larger diversity of particle sizes than is the case with the samples from the 70°C-experiments. This leads to a broader SPR peak and the darker colour of the sample.

![Figure 3.2. UV-Vis graphs of the 70°C sample, characterized at different points in time (A) and the DLS graphs of the same sample. The black graph shows the characterization after 1 hour. The red graph shows the characterization after 5 days (B).](image)

When the ratio was higher than 5:1, the particles aggregated quickly. The explanation for this occurrence is the fact that a high concentration of reducing agent will lead to a large amount of primary particles (see Growth-section under 1.2.3). The very high amount of primary particles will show a tendency to aggregate with each other to form large secondary particles as outlined in equation (1.7). This result is in line with research from Zhang et al. [47]. The best result was thus obtained at the 5:1 ratio and at 70°C. Figure 3.2 shows the UV-Vis and the DLS characterization of the 5:1 ratio sample, at 70°C for two different points in time. It can be seen from Figure 3.2-A that the graph of the sample has a wider SPR peak after 5 days. Also, another small SPR peak shows at 720 nm indicating that some of the particles have aggregated. This is supported by Figure 3.2-B, which indicates a somewhat wider particle size distribution for the 70°C sample after 5 days (red graph). Also, the mean particle size of the solution has increased slightly; the 70°C
sample contains AgNPs with mean size of 36 nm, within 1 hour after the completion of the experiment (black graph). The red graph indicates that the mean particle size has increased after 5 days; the mean particle size is 41 nm now. Furthermore, Figure 3.2-B shows that after 5 days the aggregate has increased (the second, small bump in the graph around 100 nm).

To check further for stability of the 70°C sample, the zeta-potential was measured. Figure 3.3 shows the zeta-potential graph, which was measured within 1 hour after completion of the experiment. The mean zeta potential value of the 70°C sample is −26 mV. This can be categorized as good stability [48].

3.1.2 Effect of stirring time
For the 5:1 ratio, when the stirring was extended beyond the 30 minutes, the solution started to turn darker and when extended further, flakes of silver were visible in the solution. Prolonged stirring increases the probability of collision between particles, leading to aggregation. The UV-Vis graphs in Figure 3.4 show that the SPR peak became wider and started to shift towards longer wavelengths when stirring was extended. Also, some peaks started to form at wavelengths above 600 nm, indicating aggregation. An explanation for the broadening of the first SPR peak is that longer stirring time allows nucleation to occur over an extended period. Even with stirring it seems that the reactants in solution are depleted unevenly. The subsequent growth processes are occurring at different rate, showing much variation for nuclei formed at different reaction times.

Figure 3.4. UV-Vis graphs for the 5:1 ratio of trisodium citrate : Ag⁺, at 70°C when stirring was extended from 30 min. to 45 min. and 60 min.
The zeta potential was seen to increase slightly when the stirring time was extended. This is because stirring will increase homogeneity within the solution; even though longer stirring periods led to aggregation, the non-aggregated AgNPs in solution show a slightly higher stability than the samples obtained with shorter stirring times. The zeta potential characterization is presented in Figure 3.5 below. It can be seen that the influence of extending stirring time is negligible on the zeta potential value; the graphs overlap.

![Zeta Potential Distribution](image)

**Figure 3.5.** Zeta potential distribution for the 70°C sample, 5:1 ratio. The three graphs for a stirring time of 30 min. (black), 45 min. (red) and 60 min. (blue) are hardly distinguishable, indicating the negligible effect of extended stirring time on stability of the AgNPs.

### 3.1.3 Effect of pH

All of the experiment samples had an unadjusted pH-value of 9. With lower pH-values, the solutions were lighter and pale-yellow and as the pH-value was lower than 6, the solutions were colourless, indicating that the reducing process could not be completed. Conform LeChâtelier’s Principle [32] a change in reaction conditions will lead to a change of equilibrium conditions. In this case the more acidic the solution becomes, the less the reduction process will be favoured. This can be explained by looking at the products in equation (1.11). The mentioned reaction process equation shows that H⁺ is a product. Thus, by increasing the concentration of H⁺ (lowering the pH-value), the oxidation half-reaction will be favoured and the reduction process will be negatively influenced. This is visible in the UV-Vis graph in Figure 3.6 at lower pH values. A higher pH value (pH = 10) made the graph of the UV-VIS chart somewhat wider and shifted the peak towards longer wavelengths. In the higher wavelength regions another peak was starting to form (at 600 nm), indicating a higher degree of aggregation. The explanation for this is that the increase of the pH-value to pH 10 leads to the solution having such a high ionic strength because of the high amount of hydroxide ions that aggregation of the AgNPs occurs.
When the zeta potential was measured (Figure 3.7), it showed that the stability of the AgNPs in solution decreased with more acidic pH-values. The stability increases with higher pH, because a higher pH-value leads to a higher concentration of OH-ions in solution. This leads to a higher electrostatic repulsion between particles through the increased thickness of the double layers (section 1.3.4) and thus to higher stability of the solution. However, the stability of the sample with pH 10 was lower than the stability of the pH 9 sample. These results were expected from the solution colours and the UV-Vis characterization.

Figure 3.6. UV-Vis graphs for the 5:1 ratio of trisodium citrate : Ag⁺, at 70°C and different pH values

Figure 3.7. Zeta potential distribution for the 70°C sample, 5:1 ratio at different pH values.

Figure Appendix 1, Appendix 2 and Appendix 3 show an overview of the influence of different parameters on the mean particle diameter, zeta potential (indicating stability) and FWHM (mentioned under 1.3.2) of the UV-Vis characterization (indicating the degree of dispersion) for the 5:1 ratio. The stirring time and the pH influences presented, are for the 5:1 ratio at 70°C.
3.2 Ascorbic acid

3.2.1 Effect of temperature and reducing agent concentration
For the first series of experiments, the best results were obtained from the 1:2 ratio experiments, at 20°C. Higher temperatures made the solution gradually more colourless, indicating that the reduction process was negatively influenced with higher temperatures. An explanation for this is that ascorbic acid becomes slightly unstable at temperatures higher than refrigeration temperature (4°C-5°C) [49] and even starts to degrade at temperatures above 60°C [50], leading to a poor reduction process. Figure 3.8-A shows the 1:2 ratio at the different experiment temperatures. It can be seen that the reduction process is negatively influenced at higher temperatures. The lower temperatures (20°C and 40°C) show a turbid solution, indicating that the reduction process has taken place, but the stability of the solution is low, leading to aggregation of the AgNPs.

Figure 3.8. 1:2 ratio of ascorbic acid to Ag⁺, reaction temperatures from left to right: 20°C, 40°C, 70°C and 100°C (A) and the UV-Vis characterization of the 1:2 ratio at the same reaction temperatures (B).

Figure 3.8-B shows the UV-Vis characterization of the 1:2 ratio. The most distinctive absorbance peak is at 500 nm. The peaks are very broad, much broader than the peaks in Figure 3.1-B, obtained from the trisodium citrate experiments. Furthermore, a second SPR peak is visible. This indicates that the AgNPs are not predominantly spherical. According to Mie’s theory, a single SPR peak will indicate spherical particles, whereas multiple SPR peaks will indicate anisotropic particles [51]. There are more shapes present in the sample.

Higher concentration of ascorbic acid led to fast aggregation, because of the formation of high amounts of primary particles (as explained under 3.1.1). The peaks of the 20°C experiment of the 1:2 ratio are the most distinctive, thus these reaction conditions give the best results from the first series of experiments.
Figure 3.9 shows the particle size distribution for the 1:2 ratio at 20°C. The DLS measurements were performed 1 hour after completion of the experiments (black graph) and 5 days after completion of the experiments (red graph).

The mean particle size within 1 hour is 60 nm and after 5 days the particles have grown in size to a mean size of about 90 nm. Also, the aggregate has increased (the second bump in the red curve), indicating a non-stable solution. Figure 3.10 supports this result; the mean zeta-potential is -9 mV, which can be categorized as low stability.

3.2.2 Effect of stirring time
The stirring time was extended from 30 min. to 45 min. and 60 min. The results of extended stirring were found to be the same as the results obtained in section 3.1.2 for the trisodium citrate experiments. Extended stirring led to increased mean particle diameter and increased polydispersion. The effect on the stability of the solution was negligible. The same reasoning for these observations applies as is provided in section 3.1.2.

3.2.3 Effect of pH
The pH value of the 1:2 ratio sample was pH 3.5, indicating that the sample is very acidic. To improve the results obtained so far, the pH-value was controlled between pH 5 and pH 10. Figure 3.11 shows the UV-Vis characterization of the 1:2 ratio for every adjusted pH-value, at 20°C. The initial sample had a pH-
value of 3.5. It can be seen from the figure that the increase in pH-value leads to a shift in the surface plasmon towards shorter wavelengths of the first SPR peak. The decrease in full width at half maximum (FWHM) indicates a smaller particle size distribution. The reason for better results with increased pH can be seen from equation (1.12). H+ is a product, thus increasing the pH will positively influence the reduction process (also see section 3.1.3). The second peak in Figure 3.11 is slowly disappearing with increased pH, indicating that pH is also influencing the shape of the AgNPs present in the solution; at high pH-values, the AgNPs have the tendency to become spherical. This observation is very much in line with the results obtained by Qin et al. [39]. The reason for the influence of pH on shape can be found in the improved functioning of the reducing agent at higher pH values. The most distinct peak is seen with pH 10. When looking at the influence of pH on the AgNP solution in section 3.1.3, it was seen that pH 10 led to aggregation of the AgNPs, because of a high ionic strength of the solution. In this case, the pH 10 value does not lead to aggregation of the particles; it shows to be beneficial. An explanation for this could be that the improved reducing process leads to a higher amount of Ag+ ions being reduced, than was the case with trisodium citrate as reducing agent at the pH 10 value. This leads to an overall smaller amount of ions in solution. So, the ionic strength at which aggregation would occur is not yet reached with a value of pH 10.

![Figure 3.11. UV-Vis characterization for different pH-values.](image)

Figure 3.12 shows the size distribution graph for the 1:2 ratio at 20°C with pH 10. Comparing Figure 3.12 with Figure 3.10, it can be seen that the size distribution shows a much sharper peak. Also, the mean particle diameter is 30 nm with the increased pH-value, instead of 60 nm when the pH is not adjusted. The average size of the particles has decreased with higher pH, because the reduction process is favoured, leading to smaller nuclei. Also, the overall speed of the crystallization process is increased with higher pH [52]. This leads to a more monodisperse solution, thereby explaining the decreased width of the size distribution graph with higher pH. A slow crystallization process will lead to uneven depletion of reactants and will ensure polydispersion.
3.2.4 Effect of stabilizer

The UV-Vis characterization of the third series of experiments with trisodium citrate as stabilizer is shown in Figure 3.13-A. The stabilizer was added to the AgNO₃ solution, before this solution was injected to the ascorbic acid (please refer to section 2.2 for a more detailed description of these experiment series). Figure 3.13-A shows that the addition of stabilizer shifts the plasmon resonance towards shorter wavelengths. Furthermore, the trisodium citrate seems to influence the composition of the sample; the AgNPs are becoming more spherical. The explanation for this occurrence is the fact that the trisodium citrate is capping the particles during formation (more information on capping in the Surfactant-section under 1.2.3). Also, the peak becomes narrower, indicating a more monodisperse solution. This is due to capping, which allows for more controlled growth. Figure 3.13-B shows the UV-Vis characterization of the 1:2 ratio at 20°C with the stabilizer added after formation of particles in the reaction mixture. It can be seen from the figure that the effect of the stabilizer addition is slightly less than is the case when the stabilizer is already present in the reaction mixture before the reaction takes place (Figure 3.13-A). This is due to the fact that in this case, the trisodium citrate is not capping the particles; growth has already (partly) taken place.
Figure 3.14 shows the particle size distribution of the 1:2 ratio at 20°C for the sample with 1.0 mM of stabilizer present in the reaction mixture (green curve) and the sample with 1.0 mM of stabilizer when added after particle formation (red curve). The mean particle sizes are 51 nm and 52 nm, respectively.

For the first series of experiments of 1:2 at 20°C, without the controlled pH and added stabilizer, the plastic sample container was covered with a mirror layer at the interior after one day, indicating fast aggregation and low stability. When the pH was adjusted to pH 10, the silver mirror layer did not show, even after a week. This increased stability is supported by the zeta-potential characterization and displayed in Figure 3.15. The black curve shows the zeta-potential distribution for the sample without the controlled pH and added stabilizer, the mean zeta-potential is -9 mV. This is categorized as a low stability. The red curve corresponds to the sample with 1.0 mM of stabilizer, when added after particle formation; the mean zeta-potential is -20 mV, which is categorized as moderate stability. The orange curve represents the zeta-potential for the sample with 1.0 mM stabilizer, already present in the reaction mixture. The stability has slightly increased; the mean zeta-potential is -22 mV. This can be seen as good stability. The largest stability improvement is seen when the pH-value was adjusted to pH 10 (blue curve); the mean zeta-potential is -24 mV and this sample is in the category of good stability.
From the results presented in Figure 3.14 it shows that the order stabilizer addition very slightly influences the final size of the AgNPs and from Figure 3.15 the same can be concluded for the stability of the solution. As the reaction rate of the crystallization process is larger than the rate of the reduction process (ascorbic acid is considered a weak reducing agent), the stabilizer should be present in the reaction mixture for better results. As the stabilizer is added after particle formation, much of the particles have already grown in size, leading to a slightly less effect of the stabilizer. Furthermore, trisodium citrate is a reducing agent as well as a stabilizing agent, but as was seen from the results in the previous section, trisodium citrate will not be able to reduce Ag⁺-ions at this temperature (20°C). This leaves trisodium citrate to function solely as a stabilizer.

Figure Appendix 4, Appendix 5 and Appendix 6 show an overview of the influence of different parameters on the mean particle diameter, zeta potential (indicating stability) and FWHM of the UV-Vis characterization (indicating particle dispersion), respectively for the 1:2 ratio. The stirring time, pH and stabilizer influences presented, are for the 1:2 ratio at 20°C.

3.3 Sodium borohydride

3.3.1 Effect of temperature and reducing agent concentration

When the first series of experiments was performed, colour changes were noticeable right after injection of the AgNO₃ solutions. At 0°C, the 1:1 ratio did not show a colour change at first, but slowly after the experiment was completed, the solution changed to a very light pale yellow, indicating that the reduction process with this ratio is slow. The 2:1 ratio showed a quick colour change to yellow after the AgNO₃ solution was injected at 0°C. The 5:1 ratio became very quickly dark and turbid after injection of AgNO₃ at 0°C, indicating a violent reaction from the sodium borohydride. The explanation for this occurrence is the fact that sodium borohydride is a very strong reducing agent [53].

At higher temperatures for every ratio the solution became almost instantaneously very dark coloured and turbid, indicating aggregation. The combination of reducing strength of sodium borohydride and high reaction temperatures leads to a very violent reaction, causing the reducing agent to be less selective and possibly reacting with the nitrate as well (please refer to equation (1.13)). The ratio 2:1 at 0°C gave the best results. The colour of the solution was bright yellow. Figure 3.16-A shows the 2:1 ratio at the 0°C, 20°C and 50°C reaction temperatures, 1 hour after completion of the experiments. Figure 3.16-B shows the corresponding UV-Vis characterization. It can be seen that the 0°C experiments give the most distinct peak with the smallest FWHM. The plasmon resonance peak is around 420 nm. Higher reaction temperatures lead to higher FWHM, which indicates higher particle size distributions and thus high degrees of polydispersion. The 50°C sample shows high aggregation.
Figure 3.17 shows the DLS characterization of the 2:1 ratio at 0°C, 1 hour after completion of the experiments (blue curve) and 5 days after completion of the experiments (red curve). The particle size distribution has slightly altered 5 days later, indicating a quite stable solution. The mean particle size of the solution at 1 hour after completion of the experiments is 21 nm, whereas the mean particle size of the solution is 23 nm after 5 days.

3.3.2 Effect of stirring time
The effect of extended stirring time is that the mean particle diameter and polydispersion increases (this is the same as with the other two reducing agents, please refer to Figure Appendix 7-9), but the stability decreases. The decrease in stability is shown in Figure 3.18. The blue graph shows the zeta-potential for the sample, which was stirred for 15 minutes. The red graph in Figure 3.18 corresponds to the 30 minutes stirred sample and the black graph with the 45 minutes stirred sample. The reason for the decreased stability with extended stirring time is because sodium borohydride is a much stronger reducing agent than the other two reducing agents. Longer reaction time will allow sodium borohydride with its strong reducing abilities to react with the products (equation (1.13)). This will lead to many unwanted by-products, which will negatively affect the stability of the solution.
3.3.3 Effect of pH

Figure 3.19 shows the UV-Vis characterization plot for the 2:1 ratio at 0°C for the different adjusted pH-values. The pH-value of the unadjusted sample was pH 8. From the plot it can be seen that the plasmon resonance shifts to the longer wavelengths when the pH is decreased. When the pH-value is increased, initially the plasmon resonance shifts very slightly to the shorter wavelengths and has higher absorbance (pH 9) and then moves back to the longer wavelengths (pH 10). Generally, sodium borohydride functions better as a reducing agent at higher pH values. At neutral or acidic pH values, sodium borohydride will decompose [54]. However, it seems that the increase to pH 10 leads to such a high ionic strength that the particles start to aggregate and this leads to the pH 10 curve moving back to the longer wavelengths.

3.3.4 Effect of stabilizer

The third series of experiments involved adding stabilizer. The stabilizer was present in the reaction mixture. Figure 3.20 shows the UV-Vis characterization plot for the 2:1 ratio at 0°C when stabilizer was present in the reaction mixture (Figure 3.20-A) and when stabilizer was added after particle formation (Figure 3.20-B). Sodium borohydride is a reductant as well as a stabilizer [42]. The stabilizing mechanism is schematically depicted in Figure 3.21. Besides the reduction of Ag⁺-ions, the borohydride-ions will adsorb to the surface of the AgNPs, causing the solution to stabilize through repulsive forces. Because of
its reducing as well as stabilizing strength, the difference between the sample with added trisodium citrate stabilizer and the self-stabilizing sample is negligible.

Figure 3.20. UV-Vis characterization of the 1:2 ratio of sodium borohydride to Ag⁺, at 0°C for different stabilizer concentrations – stabilizer was present in the reaction mixture (A) and the UV-Vis characterization for the situation when the stabilizer was added after particle formation (B).

Figure 3.21. Stabilizing mechanism of sodium borohydride [42].

Figure 3.22 shows the particle size distribution as characterized by DLS of the 2:1 ratio at 0°C for the sample with pH 9 (blue curve), the sample with 1.0 mM of stabilizer, added after particle formation (black curve) and the sample with 1.0 mM of stabilizer present in the reaction mixture (red curve). The mean particle size is 18 nm in all the cases.

Figure 3.22. DLS graph of the particle size distribution of the 2:1 ratio at 0°C, for pH9 (blue curve), 1.0 mM of stabilizer, added after particle formation (black) and 1.0 mM stabilizer present in the reaction mixture (red curve).
The zeta-potential of the samples was also characterized and Figure 3.23 shows the case when the pH was adjusted to pH 9 (black curve); -26 mV. The red curve shows the case when 1.0 mM stabilizer present in the reaction mixture. The zeta potential of this sample is −25 mV. The blue curve shows the sample with 1.0 mM of stabilizer, added after particle formation; -23 mV. When stabilizer was present in the reaction mixture, a slightly more stable solution was obtained. The most stable sample was with the adjusted pH-value.

![Zeta Potential Distribution](image)

Figure 3.23. Zeta-potential distribution of the 2:1 ratio at 0°C for the sample without controlled pH and without added stabilizer (blue curve), the sample without stabilizer and pH9 (black curve) and the sample with 5mg stabilizer added after particle formation (red curve).

Figure Appendix 7, Appendix 8 and Appendix 9 show an overview of the influence of different parameters on the mean particle size, zeta potential (indicating stability) and FWHM of the UV-Vis characterization (indicating particle dispersion), respectively for the 2:1 ratio. The stirring time, pH and stabilizer influences presented, are for the 2:1 ratio at 0°C.
4. Conclusions

In this research, the influence of 6 parameters on the size, stability and dispersion of AgNPs was investigated. During the synthesis of AgNPs, it was seen that the type of reducing agent strongly dictates the duration of the reduction process. Weak reducing agents, like trisodium citrate and ascorbic acid required longer periods of stirring, as the reducing process was slower. For sodium borohydride (a strong reducing agent), the reduction process was shorter. Also, without any adjustment, sodium borohydride produced samples with smaller particles (mean size of 21 nm), a higher stability and the samples were more monodisperse than the samples created with the other reducing agents. Without any adjustments, ascorbic acid reduced samples had the highest mean particle sizes of all the three reducing agents. This was due to the nature of trisodium citrate and sodium borohydride (being a reducing agent as well as a stabilizing agent). Also, the initial stability of the ascorbic acid reduced samples was much less, when compared to the samples reduced with the other two reducing agents, due to their dual role.

The influence of the concentration of reducing agent was also very influential. When the concentration was too low, the reduction process would not proceed or very slowly, negatively influencing the mean particle size, stability of the sample and the degree of dispersion. When the concentration was too high, the solutions were seen to aggregate quickly. The samples reduced with sodium borohydride were seen to show the quickest aggregation rate at too high concentrations of reducing agent, because of the high amount of formed primary particles, which will have a tendency to aggregate to form large secondary particles.

The required reaction temperature was seen to be highly dependent on the reducing agent. Trisodium citrate required a higher reaction temperature to effectively reduce the silver salt, whereas ascorbic acid and sodium borohydride required lower reaction temperatures. Deviation from the required reaction temperature directly resulted in higher mean particle size, less stable samples and higher polydispersion. The temperature has a very steep slope in nearly all of the graphs shown in the Appendix, indicating its large influence.

The influence of the stirring time was seen to be largest on the mean particle size and the degree of dispersion. Overall, the influence of the stirring time on the stability was not so large.

A very influential parameter is the pH-value, allowing for control over particle size, particle shape, stability and degree of dispersion. When looking at the graphs in the Figures Appendix 1-9, the pH-value curves show very steep slopes in almost all of the graphs. For example, by adjusting the pH-value to pH 10, the mean particle size of the AgNPs produced with ascorbic acid dropped from 60 nm to 30 nm. Higher pH-values led to less aggregation than was the case at acidic pH-values. This, in turn led to a higher stability and more monodisperse solutions. However, too high pH-values can lead to a very high overall ionic strength of the sample leading to aggregation, as is the case with sodium borohydride, at pH 10. Overall, when the pH was adjusted, the most stable and monodisperse solutions were obtained. This is essential
for membrane testing and development, as the most stable AgNPs in solution will be the hardest to extract from the aqueous environment. When the pH was not adjusted, the sodium borohydride-reduced samples showed the highest stability and monodispersion.

Consistently, the best results were obtained when the stabilizing agent was present in the reaction mixture, instead of added after particle formation. It was seen that the added stabilizer, as well as the pH-value can change the shape of the AgNPs present in the solutions. The influence of the pH-value in this was larger than the influence of the stabilizer. The best example was the ascorbic acid-reduced sample; it showed clearly how the AgNPs in solution started to become more spherical. This was also (but less profoundly) seen with added stabilizer.
5. Future research
This research is not without its limitations. The influence of the 6 different parameters on the mean particle size, the stability of the produced samples and the degree of dispersion was investigated separately for each parameter. Future work should also focus on possible synergies between all of the different parameters from this research.

Also, the reaction mixture composition was investigated by keeping the concentration of the silver salt constant and changing the concentration of the reducing agent. The investigation on the influence of the reaction mixture composition could be further expanded by keeping the same ratios between the silver salt and the reducing agent, but changing the amount of solvent.

Furthermore, research on the influence of the addition of the reactants could be expanded further by considering all the possible orders of addition. For example, this research has considered two orders: addition of stabilizer to the silver salt solution and then injecting this new solution to the reducing agent solution and the second order was to inject the silver salt solution to the reducing agent solution and then adding stabilizing solution after particle formation was witnessed. A consideration could be made for the addition of stabilizer to the reducing agent solution and then injecting the silver salt solution or an order where the reducing agent is injected to a silver salt solution with the stabilizer present, etc..

This research should also be explored further by investigating many different stabilizers. The stabilizer used was citrate. But this could be expanded with stabilizers like Polyvinyl Pyrrolidone (PVP) or Polyvinyl Alcohol (PVA). All of the considerations outlined here should add to a complete, profound understanding of the influence of parameters on the synthesis of AgNPs.

The influences on the mean particle size, the stability of the sample and the degree of dispersion were investigated in this research. Due to the unavailability of the Atomic Force Microscope, Scanning Electron Microscope or Transmission Electron Microscope during the period of this research, it was not possible to do research on the influence of the different parameters on the actual shape of the synthesized AgNPs. In this paper, the shape was hypothesised by looking at the UV-Vis graphs and the SPR peaks. This research could be completed with AFM microscopy results.
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Appendix

Figure Appendix 1. Influence of pH-value, temperature and stirring time on the mean particle size for the experiments with trisodium citrate as reducing agent, at ratio 5:1. The pH and stirring time influences are for the same ratio, but at 70°C.

Figure Appendix 2. Influence of pH-value, temperature and stirring time on the zeta potential for the experiments with trisodium citrate as reducing agent, at ratio 5:1. The pH and stirring time influences are for the same ratio, but at 70°C.

Figure Appendix 3. Influence of pH-value, temperature and stirring time on the FWHM of the UV-Vis characterization for the experiments with trisodium citrate as reducing agent, at ratio 5:1. The pH and stirring time influences are for the same ratio, but at 70°C.
Figure Appendix 4. Influence of pH-value, temperature, stirring time and addition of stabilizer on the mean particle size for the experiments with ascorbic acid as reducing agent, at ratio 1:2. The pH, stirring time and stabilizer influences are for the same ratio, but at 20°C.

Figure Appendix 5. Influence of pH-value, temperature, stirring time and addition of stabilizer on the zeta potential for the experiments with ascorbic as reducing agent, at ratio 1:2. The pH, stirring time and stabilizer influences are for the same ratio, but at 20°C.

Figure Appendix 6. Influence of pH-value, temperature, stirring time and addition of stabilizer on the FWHM of the UV-Vis characterization for the experiments with ascorbic acid as reducing agent, at ratio 1:2. The pH, stirring time and stabilizer influences are for the same ratio, but at 20°C.
Figure Appendix 7. Influence of pH-value, temperature, stirring time and addition of stabilizer on the mean particle size for the experiments with sodium borohydride as reducing agent, at ratio 2:1. The pH, stirring time and stabilizer influences are for the same ratio, but at 0°C.

Figure Appendix 8. Influence of pH-value, temperature, stirring time and addition of stabilizer on the zeta potential for the experiments with sodium borohydride as reducing agent, at ratio 2:1. The pH, stirring time and stabilizer influences are for the same ratio, but at 0°C.

Figure Appendix 9. Influence of pH-value, temperature, stirring time and addition of stabilizer on the FWHM of the UV-Vis characterization for the experiments with sodium borohydride as reducing agent, at ratio 2:1. The pH, stirring time and stabilizer influences are for the same ratio, but at 0°C.