Buying green in public procurement: Cross-country learning

Author: Kimberly Nijboer
University of Twente
P.O. Box 217, 7500AE Enschede
The Netherlands

ABSTRACT
Public procurement is a powerful tool to further other public policy objectives such as sustainability. When countries introduce a policy on sustainability through public procurement, they may learn from past successes and failures in other countries. This exploratory study aims to investigate the concept of cross-country learning on Sustainable Public Procurement (SPP). A threefold methodology was used that consisted of (1) an extensive review of scientific literature complemented by (2) a thorough examination of policy documents and (3) interviews with some leading public procurement experts from 10 countries including both developing and developed countries. The main findings indicate that there is no hard evidence for cross-country learning and that the lessons learned remain largely implicit. This indicates that countries either do not learn a lot from each other or it is not considered appropriate or worthwhile to mention it.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The role that public procurement plays in governmental policy is important, as it is commonly seen as a way to achieve policy goals. According to a report released by the International Research Study of Public Procurement (Knight, Caldwell, Harland & Telgen, 2005), public procurement is no longer solely related to a means of saving money since it is increasingly associated with being a lever of social reform. Moreover, the treaty of Lisbon has addressed the need of incorporating the social and environmental aspects of public procurement (European Parliament, 2010). An example of such a policy goal is sustainability, which may lead to value creation for society. Nowadays, sustainability seems to be a well-established and a widely accepted policy goal in public procurement.

If policy goals for sustainability have been implemented in public procurement, the ways of implementing these policy goals vary per country. Furthermore, not all countries implemented their variant at the same time. This means that there is ample opportunity for cross-country learning: a country can learn from the experiences of other countries (both good and bad experiences). Here, this concept of cross-country learning is investigated by means of two research questions:

- To what extent does cross-country learning exist?
- What are the lessons learned from one country to another?

A research framework was set up in cooperation with a fellow student to address the above-mentioned research questions from two angles in parallel: sustainability and innovation. This study is restricted to public procurement policies on sustainability and to cross-country learning.

Theory and practice were combined in this study, which consists of a threefold methodology: (1) an extensive review of scientific literature complemented by (2) a thorough examination of policy documents and (3) interviews with some leading public procurement experts.

This study is structured as follows. First, sustainability is analysed in the context of public procurement. Next, the threefold methodology of the study is discussed in depth. Then, we present the results of each of the three parts of the study. We conclude with a discussion on the outcomes of the study for the two research questions.

2. PUBLIC PROCUREMENT POLICIES ON SUSTAINABILITY: WHY DO THEY MATTER?
This section will outline the relevance of public procurement policies on sustainability.

2.1 Sustainability in public procurement: A conceptualisation
For the purpose of this study, it is important to define the key concept of sustainability in public procurement. There seems to be a lack of clarity about the definition and scope of this concept. In general, public procurement can be seen as a form of public spending for works, goods, and services. Sustainable Public Procurement (SPP), in its broadest sense, can be seen as a form of public spending that takes into account the economic, social, and environmental aspects of procurement (ICLEI, 2007; Sustainable Procurement Task Force, 2006; United Nations, 2008). Special attention in this study is given to the environmental aspect under the umbrella of SPP. It seems that this environmental aspect deserves the most attention in public procurement policies on sustainability, especially in Europe, where most member states either have a Green Public Procurement (GPP) or SPP National Action Plan (NAP) in place (European Commission, 2011).

2.2 The wider impact of SPP
The magnitude of the annual budgets spent by governments on public procurement is one of the most cited reasons why it is important to engage in SPP (Sustainable Procurement Task Force, 2006; ICLEI, 2007; United Nations, 2008; European Commission, 2011; UNEP, 2013). In Europe, it was estimated that almost €2 trillion is spent on public procurement on an annual basis (European Commission, 2011). On a national level, one could look at the Dutch government, which spends around €60 billion per year on the procurement of goods, services, and works.¹ This market power can be used to achieve financial, social, and environmental outcomes directly by the national government, but also to stimulate the entire marketplace to consume and produce more sustainably (ICLEI, 2007).

2.3 A way forward: Benefits of SPP
Public procurement policies on sustainability can be beneficial for multiple parties, namely for the national government itself as well as for its suppliers and for the society in a broader sense. However, the focus of this study is on national governments. SPP can result in cost effectiveness, especially when the whole-life span costs are taken into account (ICLEI, 2007; United Nations, 2008). Next to these economic advantages in the form of cost savings, SPP can result in a contribution towards environmental and social goals (Sustainable Procurement Task Force, 2006; ICLEI, 2007; United Nations, 2008). SPP may also stimulate innovation (ICLEI, 2007; European Commission, 2011), and it may help national governments to improve their public images (ICLEI, 2007). These benefits could trigger national governments to ‘lead by example’.

2.4 Barriers: Without struggle, there is no progress
Nevertheless, national governments should also consider a number of barriers when implementing public procurement policies on sustainability. Some of these barriers seem to be unique, whereas others are more universal. Risk aversion, limited knowledge, and capabilities all play a role (Sustainable Procurement Task Force, 2006; UNEP, 2013). Besides, SPP is still perceived to be more costly. However, it was mentioned in a report by the Sustainable Procurement Task Force (2006) that SPP does not necessarily need to cost more, since it may improve the procurement process by cutting out waste and through innovative solutions. Lastly, the lack of political commitment and technical capacity of governments to implement public procurement policies on sustainability is seen as another barrier (United Nations, 2008).

2.5 Drivers: How to jump hurdles
In order to overcome these barriers, the following drivers have been identified. First of all, national governments need to set clear priorities on sustainability. Awareness should be raised at an early stage, which requires leadership and commitment (United Nations, 2008). The ‘purchasing price only’ mindset needs to be changed and national governments should focus on the full life-span cost, rather than focusing only on the purchasing price, when they design public procurement policies on sustainability (ICLEI, 2007). Moreover, information and training on SPP should be provided. Networking and monitoring activities are both important as well to ensure that national

¹ See https://www.pianoo.nl/about-pianoo
governments reach their SPP policy goals (European Commission, 2011). Having outlined the relevance of public procurement policies on sustainability, the next section will focus on the threefold methodology of this study.

3. METHODOLOGY
The purpose of this study is to analyse to what extent cross-country learning exists and to indicate whether there are lessons learned from one country to another. The methodology of this study is threefold and consists of: (1) an extensive review of scientific literature complemented by (2) a thorough examination of policy documents and (3) interviews with some leading public procurement experts. According to Grix (2004), the triangulation of three different methods helps scholars to minimise bias in the findings and to shed more light on the topic from different angles. Therefore, a threefold methodology was chosen, as scientific literature alone does not provide all information that can be found in policy documents and vice versa. Besides, information that cannot be found in policy documents could be gathered by conducting interviews. Thus, interviews with some leading procurement experts are another way to gain complementary insights in order to combine theory and practice. The threefold methodology will be explained in this section.

3.1 Scientific literature
Our first part of the methodology consists of an extensive review of the scientific literature. The subject of this literature review is public procurement policies on sustainability. In order to initiate an effective search strategy, we will use the 12-step framework of Kable, Pich, and Maslin-Prothero (2012). This framework provides us with a structured approach for searching peer-reviewed articles, because it is described in twelve clear steps which make this method convenient to use. The twelve steps are the following:

1. Provide a purpose statement
2. Document the databases or search engines used in your search strategy
3. Specify the limits applied
4. List the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria
5. List the search terms used
6. Document the search process
7. Assess retrieved articles for relevance
8. Document a summary table of included articles
9. Provide a statement specifying the number of retrieved articles
10. Conduct quality appraisal of retrieved literature
11. Critically review the literature
12. Check the reference list for accuracy

3.1.1 Provide a purpose statement
The purpose of this study was formulated in cooperation with our first supervisor Prof. Dr. Jan Telgen. His research mainly focuses on how public procurement methods can be used efficiently to achieve policy goals effectively. Therefore, the topic of cross-country learning in public procurement policies on sustainability suits his research agenda. The purpose was formulated to find out to what extent cross-country learning exists and to indicate whether there are lessons learned from one country to another.

3.1.2 Document the databases or search engines used in your search strategy
Scopus will be used to identify currently existing scientific literature. We chose for Scopus, because it is the largest database of peer-reviewed literature. Moreover, Scopus has twice as many titles and over 30% more publishers listed than any other database, such as ScienceDirect.

3.1.3 Specify the limits applied
Our search strategy focuses on peer-reviewed articles about public procurement on sustainability that are published in journals. Peer-reviewed articles serve as a sound indicator of quality since feedback is provided by a selected group of professionals in order to improve the article (Solomon, 2007). Additionally, we only selected peer-reviewed articles that were available in English. Besides, the focus is on peer-reviewed articles that were published since the 1990s, because this is when sustainability has become a relevant public procurement policy issue in most countries (UNEP, 2013). Finally, there are four broad subject clusters in Scopus from which we selected the cluster Social Science & Humanities, which includes studies on public administration, sustainability, and public procurement.

3.1.4 List the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria
The inclusion criteria of this study are peer-reviewed articles that focus on public procurement policies on sustainability, which are published in journals. Next to this, both single- and multi-country studies are included. On the one hand, multi-country studies refer to those articles that compare two or more countries. These are especially valuable for this study, because of the multi-country perspective that is taken. On the other hand, single-country studies can also be valuable, as those studies can be used to illustrate what countries could learn from each other. In both cases, it is important to take into account the country differences in procurement systems, which can lead to unique circumstances under which public policies on sustainability are implemented. The exclusion criteria of this study are peer-reviewed articles that are not available in English and/or do not focus on public procurement policies on sustainability at the country level. For example, peer-reviewed articles published that investigate the concept of sustainable procurement in the private sector or at the local government level will be excluded. Another example is e-procurement which is a policy theme that is outside the scope of this study and will, therefore, not be considered.

3.1.5 List the search terms used
It was observed that a wide variety of search terms is used in the field of public procurement on sustainability. Therefore, combinations of search terms will be used to cover a broader range of peer-reviewed articles. In particular, 4 categories can be distinguished, which are shown in Table 1. The categories show that the focus is on SPP policies, whereby government is used as a variant of public, and sustainable as an adjective of sustainability. Besides that, this study focuses on the environmental or green aspect of sustainability.

2 See http://www.utwente.nl/bms/tms/staff/telgen/
3 See http://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus
4 See http://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus/content
Table 1. Overview of the different categories of search terms

| Public Procurement Policy Sustainability |
|---|---|---|---|
| - Government | - Sustainable | - Environmental | - Green |

3.1.6 Document the search process

On the 19th of June 2015, we initiated our search process in Scopus, which is documented in Appendix 1A. The first step describes the process of searching for peer-reviewed articles on public procurement policy based on the Article Title, Abstract, and Keywords which were published in the field of Social Science & Humanities since 1990. Although a disadvantage of keyword searching is the increased likelihood of getting more irrelevant articles, we did not want to take the risk of omitting potentially relevant peer-reviewed articles. Therefore, we decided not to exclude any major or minor subject areas. This step resulted in 804 results, which were then narrowed down in the second step. We searched within the results for peer-reviewed articles directed at public procurement policy on sustainability. In total, 230 results were found. However, not all peer-reviewed articles were published in journals or were available in English. After applying these filters, there were 220 peer-reviewed articles left for sustainability, which were used to assess the relevance.

3.1.7 Assess retrieved articles for relevance

First of all, we assessed the peer-reviewed articles independently from each other by reading the title and abstract using the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria. In case of any doubt, both of us skimmed independently through the peer-reviewed articles to decide whether the peer-reviewed article was relevant or not. The process of judging the peer-reviewed articles independently from each other increases the validity of our research. As a second step, we compared the short lists of relevant peer-reviewed articles that were made independently. In case there were any differences, we discussed this in order to come to an agreement on the initial selection of peer-reviewed articles. Afterwards, the notion of back referencing was also taken into account to discover more potentially relevant peer-reviewed articles. In addition, an author search was carried out for the authors who appeared more than once in our overview of peer-reviewed articles. We looked at the publications of these authors in ResearchGate. Only peer-reviewed articles were taken into account and the same inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria applied for both back referencing and the author search. We went through the same approach of making a short list independently from each other and we compared these short lists.

3.1.8 Document a summary table of included articles

Appendix 1B gives an overview of the peer-reviewed articles that were found, which met the inclusion criteria. Articles that were found by means of back referencing are indicated with a "*".

3.1.9 Provide a statement specifying the number of retrieved articles

In total, six peer-reviewed articles were found in Scopus. In addition, three articles were found by means of back referencing. This high number of peer-reviewed articles that were found by means of back referencing can be explained by the fact that two articles are published in a journal that is indexed as environmental science, which belongs to the subject cluster of Physical Sciences in Scopus. The article of Walker and Brammer (2009) could not be found during the initial search process, because the query requires the inclusion of the word ‘policy’. However, this article does focus on SPP in the UK public sector and might, therefore, be relevant for our study. Moreover, the author search in ResearchGate did not result in a discovery of more peer-reviewed articles, because the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria were not met. The authors who appeared more than once in our overview of peer-reviewed articles are Walker and Brammer. They seem to be active researchers in the field of public procurement on sustainability. However, the majority of these studies do not focus on the public sector at the country level, except for the articles that were already included.

3.1.10 Conduct quality appraisal of retrieved literature

Only peer-reviewed articles published in journals were taken into account. Therefore, there was no quality appraisal conducted.

3.1.11 Critically review the literature

All selected peer-reviewed articles were examined thoroughly and special attention was paid to analyse to what extent cross-country learning exists and to indicate whether there are lessons learned from one country to another. If there were any indicators in these peer-reviewed articles that cross-country learning exists, we expected those indicators to be explicitly or implicitly stated in the peer-reviewed articles.

3.1.12 Check the reference list for accuracy

The reference list is up-to-date and critically appraised.

3.2 Policy documents

The second part of the methodology consists of a thorough examination of policy documents from a variety of countries. These countries were selected based on the sample composition for the interviews, which is explained in section 3.3. We thoroughly examined the policy documents in parallel to conducting the interviews. Through this, knowledge acquired on public procurement policies on sustainability from the interviewees could be used as a supplement for our policy document search and vice versa. Appendix 2A gives an overview of the countries whose public procurement policies on sustainability were analysed. We looked at national government websites, as national governments are the responsible regulators for public procurement. Only national government websites that are available in English were examined. We made a distinction between public procurement rules and regulations, which are mandatory, and policy initiatives such as programs and guidelines, which are voluntary. National procurement associations are expected to provide information, to introduce or to discuss these official policy documents. Therefore, as a double-check, the websites of these associations were also analysed. When a specific public procurement policy on sustainability was identified, we searched for information on the formation of this policy with regard to cross-country learning. The search terms that were used during the search process in Scopus were also applied to find policy documents. We started the policy document search at the end of May 2015 and this search process lasted till mid-June 2015. This process was executed by each other independently in order to reduce the chance of overlooking relevant policy documents. The policy document results that were found are listed in Appendix 2B. Within these policy documents, we searched on words such as learn, inspire, copy, and adopt. In case no policy document results for a country were found, or if these policy documents

---

5 See http://library.sage.edu/instruction/dbasesearch.pdf
were not accessible due to language difficulties, it was indicated with an ‘X’.

### 3.2.1 Policy analysis method

Public procurement is a relatively new field of research and the study of public procurement policy is still in its infancy. Nevertheless, the “open systems” model can be used to analyse public procurement policies (Snider & Rendon, 2008). Our policy analysis method was inspired by this model, but some adaptations were made to make the model more suitable for the concept of cross-country learning in public procurement. Our model consists of the following components: national policy influences, inputs, policies developed & applied, effects of the policy, and diffusion. All these elements are subject to changes in the environment. The metaphor of the Iceberg was used to make a distinction between elements that are ‘above the surface’ and elements that are ‘below the surface’, which is illustrated in Figure 1. The elements ‘national policy influences’, ‘inputs’, ‘policies developed & applied’, and ‘effects of the policy’ were seen as explicit in this model and were, therefore, indicated as ‘above the surface’. Moreover, the element ‘diffusion’ was viewed as implicit and was, therefore, marked as ‘below the surface’. We perceive cross-country learning to take place at the ‘diffusion’ stage. The process starts with the ‘inputs’ that may evolve from ‘national policy influences’, such as national government objectives, vision, and political views. Besides, there may also be some input from the ‘diffusion’ stage. Other countries could already have implemented policies and gained experiences. However, it is not clear how, and if, these lessons learned were transferred from one country to another. The inputs could be converted into new policies that can be developed and applied, which may lead to certain effects of these policies. In turn, these effects can be used as learning opportunities. Nevertheless, it remains unclear how this process unfolds due to the implicitness of cross-country learning. Therefore, the policy documents were examined in order to trace back if the policy documents were formulated in response to national policy influences and/or cross-country learning, which is the main focus of this study.

![Figure 1. Policy analysis method](image)

### 3.3 Interviews

The third part of the methodology consists of interviews with public procurement experts from different countries. According to Grix (2004), interviewing is a popular method, but it should not be used in isolation. Therefore, we ran the process of conducting interviews in parallel to examining the policy documents in order to complement our overall findings. We chose for semi-structured interviews. An advantage of semi-structured interviews is that they allow for a certain degree of freedom (Grix, 2004). Both predefined questions and questions that we formulated ad hoc were posed. This made it possible for us to ask for further explanations, if needed, to gain more in-depth information. All interviews were conducted via Skype, due to the geographic spread of the interviewees.

#### 3.3.1 Pre-interview

We formulated a set of questions that started broad and gradually narrowed down in order to prevent the interviewees from feeling lost during the interview and to avoid putting words into the interviewees’ mouths. The interview transcript that we used can be found in Appendix 3A. After our first supervisor Prof. Dr. Jan Telgen approved our set of questions, we purposefully selected our sample. The method that was used for sampling is called convenience sampling, which is a form of non-probability sampling that is useful for exploratory research (Adler & Clark; 2015). They state that a convenience sample can be seen as a group of people that are readily accessible. In this study, a list of confidential contact information from some public procurement experts was provided to us by our first supervisor Prof. Dr. Jan Telgen. Nevertheless, we took into account that it is important to overcome bias towards a certain subgroup of interviewees within the sample as stated by Ghauri and Grønhaug (2010). Therefore, public procurement experts from both developing and developed countries were included. Furthermore, the requirement of sufficient knowledge of either English or Dutch was another sampling condition.

An e-mail was sent to a number of public procurement experts, which stated the purpose of our study, the estimated duration of the interview, and that we wanted to get in touch with them as soon as possible. In total, we contacted 25 public procurement experts of whom ten were willing to take part in the interview. Table 2 provides more information about the sample composition with regard to each interviewee’s function and the country in which each interviewee is situated. The information is ordered randomly. We decided not to mention any names, because of confidentiality issues. After arranging a day and time, we prepared for the interview by making an interview planning which is important since time should be scheduled properly (Grix, 2004; Ghauri & Grønhaug, 2010). Therefore, we made sure that enough time was scheduled for the interviews. The interviews were all conducted in the first two weeks of June 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>Regional director at Office of Small and Medium Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>President of Public Procurement Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Country coordinator for CIPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Head of Research at Central Purchasing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Lecturer on Public Procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Professor of Materials Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Director of Public Procurement Expertise Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Former Dean at the Faculty of Computing and Management Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Senior Procurement Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The United States (US)</td>
<td>Chief Procurement Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2 The interview
Before asking the questions, we first introduced ourselves. We shortly introduced the content of our research and we promised to keep the answers anonymously and confidentially. Besides, we asked our interviewees if they agreed upon recording the interview. In case of agreement, the Skype interview was recorded by means of Camtasia, which is a computer programme that enables the recording of a screen. All interviews were conducted in English, except for one interview that was conducted in Dutch. During the interview, we kept track of the time and we made notes as a backup in case the recording technology would break down. Keeping track of time is important, because it must be ensured that all questions are answered within a specified time period (Ghauri & Grønhaug, 2010). If necessary, we asked the interviewees to give some further explanations about a certain matter in order to gain more in-depth information. At the end of the interview, we asked the interviewees if they had some questions and/or remarks for us with regard to issues that we might have overlooked. Finally, we thanked our interviewees for their willingness and time to cooperate in this interview.

3.3.3 Post-interview
After the interview was conducted, we listened to the recordings and wrote the interview transcript immediately when the interviews were still fresh in our minds. This is also a recommendation from Grix (2004). The interviewee transcripts are available upon request. After a few interviews, we figured out that it would be wise to ask our interviewees if there were any public procurement policies on sustainability in their country and whether these policies were published on their national government website. This way, we could complement our own policy document search with the information gathered through the interviews.

When analysing the interviews, the focus was on analysing to what extent cross-country learning exists and to indicate whether there are lessons learned from one country to another. The analysis will be executed independently from each other in order to enhance the validity of the research.

All in all, this threefold methodology aims to get more insight into the concept of cross-country learning in order to answer the research questions of this study. The next session will discuss our findings and results before we discuss the results and draw a conclusion.

4. FINDINGS & RESULTS
The findings and results of this study are categorised into the following three parts: (1) scientific literature, (2) policy documents and (3) interviews.

4.1 Scientific literature
After an extensive review of the scientific literature in public procurement on sustainability, only nine peer-reviewed articles were found (Bolton, 2008; Geng & Doberstein, 2008; Nissinen, Parikka-Alhola & Rita, 2009; Walker & Brammer, 2009; Brammer & Walker, 2011; Fet, Michelsen & de Boer, 2011; Steurer, Marinuzzi & Margula, 2012; Akenroye, Oyegoke & Eyo, 2013; Amann, Roehrich, Eïdïg & Harland, 2014). This relatively scarce amount of scientific literature was also recognised by Brammer and Walker (2011). They state that their paper is the first overview of how government bodies around the world are implementing SPP. The nine peer-reviewed articles include both single-country studies and multi-country studies, which focus on both developed and less developed countries. Nevertheless, the multi-country studies were more comparative in nature and none of the articles could explicitly indicate that cross-country learning in public procurement on sustainability takes place.

4.1.1 The European Union
In Europe, Amann et al. (2014) studied the current state of SPP across the member states of the European Union and it was found that the majority of Europe has developed a national action plan for incorporating sustainability into public procurement. The ‘Green-7’ countries (which are Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, the Netherlands, Sweden, and the UK) can be considered as frontrunners with respect to SPP (Amann et al., 2014). For instance, the UK openly expressed its goal to be amongst the leaders on SPP (Walker & Brammer, 2009). Moreover, Steurer et al. (2012) state that the UK has one of the most comprehensive strategic frameworks on SPP in place. These countries could ‘lead by example’ and share best practices to stimulate the uptake of SPP policies around the world. Besides these frontrunners, national governments in Western Europe, especially in Anglo-Saxon and Scandinavian countries, are believed to be more active in supporting SPP than countries in Eastern Europe (Steurer et al., 2012). This is illustrated by the fact that there are three studies (Nissinen et al., 2009; Walker & Brammer, 2009; Fet et al., 2011) out of the retrieved articles that focus on an Anglo-Saxon (UK) or a Nordic country (Denmark, Norway, Sweden, and Finland). Nevertheless, none of these studies explicitly mention anything about learning from one another. Thus, the lessons learned remain at the least implicit. For instance, Nissinen et al. (2009) researched the uptake of environmental criteria in Denmark, Finland, and Sweden. Denmark was already considered to be quite advanced in using environmental criteria, but Finland and Sweden were lagging behind until the inclusion of environmental criteria increased in 2005. The progress of Finland and Sweden could be influenced by the fact that Finland and Sweden learned from Denmark or other advanced countries, but this is only an assumption and it remains rather implicit what has really caused this progress. Besides, further progress is not guaranteed. Fet et al. (2011) argue that the original goals in Norway have not been achieved and that there is still a gap between the requirements that their GPP policy prescribes and actual practice.

4.1.2 The spread of GPP and SPP on a global scale
Despite the growing number of countries that have adopted GPP policies, GPP in Africa is still in its infancy (Akenroye et al., 2013). According to Akenroye et al. (2013), African countries do not only lack the institutional and legal framework to implement GPP policies, but also the political commitment. The study focuses on Nigeria and states that Nigeria can learn from the experiences of other countries with regard to implementing GPP (Akenroye et al., 2013). Unfortunately, the article does not state from which countries can be learned and it does not state what can be learned either. Another country that is still in the early stages of promoting GPP policies is China. This can be attributed to the lack of guidelines and lower environmental awareness (Geng & Doberstein, 2008). Nevertheless, Geng and Doberstein (2008) argue that an expansion of GPP is expected over time due to combined efforts of the state, industry, and scholars. Bundling strengths could be an important tool for cross-country learning opportunities, because it brings together both practitioners and academics. In conclusion, it seems that the current scientific literature does not explicitly state that cross-country learning takes place. Despite the awareness for SPP and GPP, there was no hard evidence for cross-country learning. Therefore, the lessons learned remain rather implicit. Nevertheless, this does not mean that cross-country learning does not occur at all since the scientific literature does not state that it does not happen either.
It merely illustrates that it might be questionable whether cross-country learning in public procurement on sustainability is really a research topic that is in the interest of academics or whether it is more of a policy-theme. Hence, we aim to complement this literature review by examining policy documents and by interviewing some public procurement experts from a variety of countries.

4.2 Policy documents

Our policy analysis method was used to examine policy documents in order to trace back if these policies were formulated as a response to national policy influences and/or cross-country learning, which is the main focus of our study.

4.2.1 Public procurement legislation and policy initiatives on sustainability

None of the developing countries have policies for public procurement on sustainability at the moment. However, their public procurement laws do not rule out the possibility to take environmental and/or sustainable aspects into account during the procurement process. It may also be the case that national governments in these developing countries will put sustainability higher on the public procurement policy-making agenda in the future. For instance, Bhutan is already working on a project that is called GPP Bhutan. This project was launched in 2014, but we could not find any indications that they learn from other countries in the field of GPP and SPP. In Europe, there is more room for policy initiatives and the EU seems to become increasingly active in coordinating countries’ public procurement policies on sustainability. Most of these sustainability initiatives and programs are voluntary in nature. For example, the Netherlands has set up an expertise centre called PIANOo. The minimum environmental requirements that a supplier should meet can be found on the website of PIANOo. Although there are more policy initiatives observable that set environmental criteria per product category, it is not clear whether countries have learned from or were inspired by each other. These initiatives are often part of a broader GPP or SPP plan. Sweden and Canada, for instance, also have an online toolkit, which not only includes the environmental requirements but also some guidelines on how to implement environmental and/or sustainable practices into public procurement. In the US, the Federal Acquisition Rules (FARs) stipulate that federal agencies are expected to purchase environmental products and services, whereas Europe tends to make more use of ‘soft’ policy instruments than ‘hard’ policy instruments in promoting public procurement on sustainability. All in all, we did not find any evidence of cross-country learning at all. Hence, it is either not used at all or it is not considered worthwhile or appropriate to mention. This does not mean that cross-country learning does not exist at the policy-making level, but it could illustrate that the lessons learned from one country to another remain at the least implicit. Nevertheless, it might be the case that not all policy documents were found on public procurement on sustainability due to the fact that only national government websites that were in English were examined. For example, the German policy initiative on SPP (‘Nachhaltige Beschaffung’) could not be examined because of the fact that the website was not available in English. In order to gain more complementary insights, some interviews were conducted with public procurement experts to find out whether they think that cross-country learning exists and to ask if they could provide hard evidence of lessons learned.

4.3 Interviews

After conducting interviews with ten public procurement experts from different countries including both developing and developed countries, it appeared that the findings could be classified into three categories of countries.

4.3.1 Familiarity with cross-country learning in public procurement

This first section investigates to what extent countries are involved in cross-country learning in the field of public procurement and to investigate whether there are lessons learned from one country to another. The three categories of countries that we distinguished are presented in Figure 2. The first category consists of countries that think that cross-country learning exists and were able to give specific examples of lessons learned from one country to another. The second category of countries thinks that cross-country learning exists, but they were not able to give such examples. The third category is comprised of countries that think that cross-country learning does not take place.

The first category consists of only developing countries, which is quite remarkable. These countries indicated during the interviews that the World Bank is seen as the cornerstone for establishing procurement rules and regulations. For other initiatives, such as e-procurement, they look at both developed and developing countries. This could be explained by the fact that one interviewee indicated that developing countries are active in designing policies, but not in implementing these policies. Therefore, they might be more eager to learn from other countries.

The second category consists of mainly European countries with the exception of Canada. This is not really surprising, because the interviewee from Canada mentioned that its political system is quite similar to that of European countries. It was stated that the European Commission (EC) finances many cross-country initiatives. Besides, countries within Europe have their own national procurement legislation under the umbrella of the European Directives. The policy initiatives may, therefore, not always suit their national contexts which could constrain cross-country learning to some extent.

The third category consists of Germany and the US. The interviewees from these countries mentioned that there are many units of governments, which may limit cross-coordination. Therefore, cross-country learning is to some extent restricted at both the national and the international level.

The other way around, a similar pattern could be observed for the question whether countries were familiar with countries that learned from them. There are only two exceptions. The first exception is Bhutan, which does not think that countries learned from them since their public procurement system is relatively new. The other exceptions are Portugal and Italy, which do have
evidence for countries that learned from them. For instance, Portugal already made the use of e-procurement mandatory a couple of years ago, which will now gradually become mandatory in the EU.

4.3.2 Familiarity with cross-country learning on sustainability

All developing countries noticeably indicated that they are likely to learn from others when designing SPP policies. These countries and the US mainly learn from the EU about GPP. All other developed countries, however, do not think that they learn from each other or do not have evidence to prove that. This might be due to the fact that they have their own programs or initiatives tailored to their own country’s requirements.

The other way around, it was quite surprising that all countries did not know or could not really confirm whether other countries learned from them, except for Uganda. For instance, it was mentioned by Bhutan that they do not think that others learn from them since they are still working on a plan to introduce GPP. Uganda, however, does think that other countries learn from them, because they were one of the first countries to introduce preference and reservation schemes in which Rwanda, Tanzania and Malawi were also interested.

4.3.3 Cross-country learning opportunities

All countries indicated that they were open to learn more from each other. The majority stated that network activities such as congresses, conferences, and workshops are important in order to exchange experiences and knowledge. Moreover, one of the interviewees stated that sharing practices might be even more useful than policies, because policies are effectuated at the practice level. Therefore, it is important to look at cross-country learning from different angles. The US stated that it is important to look from policy maker to policy maker as well as from practitioner to practitioner.

In conclusion, cross-country learning seems to take place more in developing countries than in developed countries. This may be explained by the fact that they are willing to learn since they want to catch up on good public procurement practices with the rest of the world. Developed countries, however, could not really indicate whether they learned from others when designing public procurement policies and vice versa. On the ‘learning’ side, countries often perceived cross-country learning to take place, but they could not provide hard evidence. In other words, they could not give specific examples of what and from whom they learned. Therefore, it may be the case that cross-country learning takes more the form of learning in an incidental manner, if it occurs at all. It could also indicate that the interviewees believe cross-country learning to exist, because it seems logical, but it does not take place in practice. As a consequence, a lot of knowledge may stay rather implicit. As additional evidence on the teaching side, we did not find any hard evidence of other countries that have learned from them.

5. DISCUSSION

The goal of this exploratory study was to investigate to what extent cross-country learning exists and to examine if there are lessons learned on public procurement on sustainability from one country to another. A threefold methodology was used that consisted of (1) an extensive review of scientific literature complemented by (2) thorough examination of policy documents, and (3) interviews with some leading public procurement experts.

First of all, no hard evidence on cross-country learning in public procurement on sustainability could be found in the scarce scientific literature, which could serve as a first indicator of little cross-country learning. Our review of scientific literature was complemented with a policy document search and interviews with ten public procurement experts from both developing and developed countries. Again, we did not find hard evidence for cross-country learning during our policy document search. The implicitness of cross-country learning was also discussed by means of our policy analysis method, which was inspired by the “open systems”. In our model, only the elements ‘above the surface’ are visible. Hence, policy documents were examined in order to trace back if the policy documents were formulated in response to national policy influences and/or cross-country learning, which is the main focus of this study. Nevertheless, the fact that there was no hard evidence found for cross-country learning at the policy-making level does not mean that cross-country learning does not exist, but it could illustrate that the lessons learned from one country to another remain at the least implicit.

A striking result from the interviews was that developing countries indicated that they learn from other countries and that they could also provide evidence for that. Conversely, most developed countries pointed out that they think that cross-country learning takes place, but this could not be confirmed with specific examples. The fact that these countries were not able to provide evidence could indicate that cross-country learning takes place in a more incidental manner that remains largely implicit. It could also imply that they assume cross-country learning to take place, because it seems straightforward, but it does not happen in reality. Besides, most countries could not really tell whether they think that other countries have copied their policies. This might illustrate that countries do not know the difference between ‘learning’ and ‘teaching’ in terms of transferring lessons from one country to another. Coming back to our proposed model, countries could take into account these lessons learned as an input to their policy-making in such a way that there is no need to reinvent the wheel.

6. CONCLUSION

All in all, in this study the concept of cross-country learning was investigated by means of two research questions:

- To what extent does cross-country learning exist?
- What are the lessons learned from one country to another?

Our analysis shows that no hard evidence was found for cross-country learning in scientific literature, policy documents, or interviews. The lessons learned remain largely implicit and this shows that countries either do not learn a lot from each other or it is not considered appropriate or worthwhile to mention.

This exploratory study has a number of limitations. The first one is that this study only focused on the environmental aspect of SPP policy. Hence, it would be recommended that future research on SPP policies also investigates cross-country learning from a social aspect. Despite the fact that our sample composition was quite international, we do not have evidence from all continents and not all continents are as well represented as, for instance, Europe in this sample. Our sample distribution is skewed further, because we only examined policy documents that were available in English and conducted interviews with public procurement experts who could speak English. Moreover, the public procurement experts voluntarily engaged in our interviews which might imply that they already have some kind of interest or are more engaged in cross-country learning than others. Lastly, this study focuses on the country level, but it could also be interesting to analyse the concept of cross-learning among lower governments and among different public sectors. Despite the number of limitations, the analysis of cross-learning among different government levels could be a relevant contribution to the understanding of what kinds of cross-learning opportunities exist in the public sector.
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**Appendix 1A: Search process in Scopus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Query</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td>19-06-2015</td>
<td>TITLE-ABS-KEY (public OR government AND procurement AND policy) AND DOCTYPE (ar) AND SUBJAREA (mult OR arts OR busi OR deci OR econ OR psyc OR soci) AND PUBYEAR &gt; 1989</td>
<td>804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:</td>
<td>19-06-2015</td>
<td>(TITLE-ABS-KEY (public OR government AND procurement AND policy) AND DOCTYPE (ar) AND SUBJAREA (mult OR arts OR busi OR deci OR econ OR psyc OR soci) AND PUBYEAR &gt; 1989) AND (sustainability OR sustainable OR green OR environmental)</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:</td>
<td>19-06-2015</td>
<td>(TITLE-ABS-KEY (public OR government AND procurement AND policy) AND DOCTYPE (ar) AND SUBJAREA (mult OR arts OR busi OR deci OR econ OR psyc OR soci) AND PUBYEAR &gt; 1989) AND (sustainability OR sustainable OR green OR environmental) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, &quot;English&quot;)) AND (LIMIT-TO (SRCTYPE, &quot;j&quot;))</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>19-06-2015</td>
<td>• An overview of these articles can be found in Appendix 1B</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Search for articles on public procurement in title, abstract and keywords published in the field of Social Sciences & Humanities since the 1990s

2. Search within for articles directed at sustainability

3. Limit to articles in journals available in English

4. Select articles on title, abstract (and global overview in case of doubt) based on inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria
## Appendix 1B: Overview of articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Journal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development of a framework for the implementation of green public procurement in Nigeria</td>
<td>Akenroye, Oyegoke &amp; Eyo</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>International Journal of Procurement Management 6 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving sustainable supply chain management in the public sector: The importance of public procurement in the European Union</td>
<td>Amann, Roehrich, Ellig &amp; Harland</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Supply Chain Management, 19 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting the environment through public procurement: The case of South Africa*</td>
<td>Bolton</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Natural Resources Forum, 32 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green public procurement in practice - The case of Norway</td>
<td>Fet, Michelsen &amp; de Boer</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Society and Economy, 33 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greening government procurement in developing countries: Building capacity in China*</td>
<td>Geng &amp; Doberstein</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Journal of Environmental Management, 88 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental criteria in the public purchases above the EU threshold values by three Nordic countries: 2003 and 2005</td>
<td>Nissinen, Parikka-Alhola &amp; Rita</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Ecological Economics, 68 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public policies on CSR in Europe: Themes, Instruments and Regional Differences</td>
<td>Steurer, Martinuzzi &amp; Margula</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Corporate Social Responsibility &amp; Environmental Management, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable procurement in the United Kingdom public sector*</td>
<td>Walker &amp; Brammer</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Supply Chain Management, 14 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Found via back referencing
### Appendix 2A: Overview of government websites and procurement association

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Government website</th>
<th>Procurement association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td><a href="http://www.pppd.gov.bt/">http://www.pppd.gov.bt/</a></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td><a href="http://www.consig.it/en/">http://www.consig.it/en/</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.adaci.it/">http://www.adaci.it/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands, the</td>
<td><a href="http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/inkopen-door-het-rijk/">http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/inkopen-door-het-rijk/</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.nevi.nl">http://www.nevi.nl</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ppda.go.ug">http://www.ppda.go.ug</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.ippu.or.ug">http://www.ippu.or.ug</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States, the</td>
<td><a href="http://www.gsa.gov">http://www.gsa.gov</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.nigp.org/eweb">http://www.nigp.org/eweb</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not accessible due to language difficulties*
Appendix 2B: Overview of public procurement legislation and policy initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Public procurement legislation</th>
<th>Policy initiatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>Procurement Rules and Regulations, 2009</td>
<td>GPP Bhutan, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Key Policies and Directives</td>
<td>Green procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Public Procurement Directive, 2010</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands, the</td>
<td>Aanbestedingswet, 2012</td>
<td>Sustainable procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Swedish Public Procurement Act, 2007</td>
<td>Sustainable procurement criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>The Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets (rules and methods for procurement of supplies, works and non-consultancy services) Regulations, 2014</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States, the</td>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation, 2005</td>
<td><a href="http://www.gsa.gov/portal/category/27116">http://www.gsa.gov/portal/category/27116</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.acquisition.gov/sites/default/files/current/far/pdf/FAR.pdf">https://www.acquisition.gov/sites/default/files/current/far/pdf/FAR.pdf</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3A: Interview transcript

Dear interviewee,

Before we are going to ask you some questions, we would like to introduce ourselves. Our names are Kimberly Nijboer and Shirin Senden. We are two International Business Administration students from the University of Twente and we are currently writing our bachelor thesis on cross country learning with regard to sustainability and innovation in public procurement. This is in regards to preparing for the sixth edition of IRSPP (International Research Study on Public Procurement).

The ways in which these sustainable and innovative policy goals have been implemented in public procurement vary per country. Furthermore, not all countries implemented their variants at the same time. This means that there is ample opportunity for cross country learning. Before we start, are you okay with recording this conversation? Your answers will be kept confidentially and anonymously.

First of all, are you familiar with any influences or lessons learned from other countries that have helped your country in establishing policies on public procurement? In other words, do you think cross-country learning exists in your country? If so, please give an example. If not, why do you think so?

Secondly, do you know other countries that copied policies on public procurement that have been made in your country? If so, could you give us an example? If not, could you tell us why?

More specifically, we are looking at cross country learning on innovative and sustainable public procurement. Are you familiar with influences or lessons learned from other countries that have helped your country in establishing innovative and sustainable policies on public procurement? If so, could you provide us with an example? If not, could you explain why?

Next to this, do you know other countries that copied innovative and sustainable policies on public procurement that have been made in your country? If so, please give an example. If not, why do you think so?

Do you think that countries can or should learn more from each other? If so, in what ways could countries learn more from each other and why do you think this is important? If not, why do you think so?

Lastly, do you think that cross learning opportunities exist at other levels than the country level? For example, at the local government level? Why do you think so?

Thank you very much for your willingness to cooperate. Once again, your answers will be treated confidentially and anonymously.