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Abstract

Aims: The aim of this study was to investigate if self-concept bias modification is an adequate method to influence one’s automatic processes regarding vitality. It was suggested, that implicit processes are influencing explicit ones, what should result in higher vitality in both, implicit and explicit vitality.

Method: 63 participants went through a computer based online intervention. Two measurements regarding explicit and implicit vitality were made before and after a self-concept IAT which was meant to modify one’s cognitive biases. During the self-concept IAT, participants were faced with congruent trials (vitality related words + I) and incongruent trials (neutral words + others). This was meant to influence one’s automatic processes to let the participants feel implicit and explicit more vital. A brief implicit association test (BIAT) was used to evaluate the effects to implicit vitality. Furthermore, a subjective vitality scale (SVS) indicated effects to explicit vitality of each participant.

Results: The data analysis showed a significant difference in pre- and posttest regarding implicit vitality. Participants felt after the intervention implicit more vital than before. The comparison of the explicit vitality measures however, showed a slight improvement of subjective vitality. This difference in pre- and posttest however, was non-significant.

Conclusion: This studies self-concept IAT seems to be an adequate method to improve one’s implicit vitality. Due to the fact, that subjective vitality also increased, the present results are promising and could pave the way for further interventions. More research in this topic is recommended, to evaluate how long lasting the effects of this studies self-concept IAT are and if it is possible to also improve explicit vitality through repeated Interventions
1. Introduction

1.1 Vitality

Vitality can be described as a state of being fully functioning and psychologically well (Ryan & Deci, 2001). Senses of feeling really alive, full of energy and enthusiasm for life (Salma-Younes & Hashim, 2017), as well as feelings of vigor (McNair, Lorr, & Droppleman, 1971) and activated positive affect (Watson & Tellegen, 1985), are only a few of many nameworthy benefits of feeling vital. The subjective state of feeling vital differs from activation or energy. Activation also can take place by sensations of anger, anxiety or arousal. These states of activation however, are not or negatively related to vitality (Ryan & Frederick, 1997).

In contrast to feelings of fatigue, feeling vital leads to well-being what in turn is one aspect of healthiness (WHO). Psychological well-being is furthermore defined by the world health organization as “a state of well-being in which every individual realizes his or her own potential, can cope with the normal stress of life, and can work productively and effectively” (WHO, 2003). This is in accordance with Ryan and Fredericks (1997) definition of subjective vitality whereby vitality is defined as a sense of feeling alive, vital and full of energy. Moreover, does feeling vital asses the “level of energy available to engage in agency and in striving for goals” (Tough, Fekete, Brinkhof & Siegrist, 2017), which is a motivational aspect of self-reported health. Other positive impacts of vitality like the fact that it leads to more resilience and helps people to cope with stress are reasons that it is a desirable state, as well as an important factor of research (Ryan & Deci, 2008).

Vitality is one construct which is influenced by both somatic and psychological factors. That means, that psychological as well as physical states can have an impact on one’s feelings of vitality. Studies found, that on the physical side, sleep, diet, smoking and many more somatic factors are influencing vitality (Rozanski et al., 2005). On the psychological side, social events, other psychological satisfactions and demands, influencing changes in vitality of one person. For this reason, Nix et al. (1999) stated, that vitality affects both, the physical and the psychological well-being. Shalev (2014) moreover tested, if there is a cognitive factor in the perceived level of energy in Individuals. In her study “Implicit energy loss: Embodied dryness cues influence vitality and depletion”, she verified the hypothesis, that persons who are faced with primes (images, words) associated with dryness, would show a decrease in self-reported vitality. Unconscious processes which are activated when reading dryness related words for example, are thus interacting with conscious processes who are responsible for the ability to self-report subjective vitality. This is in line with research of
Fitzsimons, Chartrand & Fitzsimons (2008) which indicates that unconscious processes are interacting with conscious processes. The fact that it is possible to decrease one’s experienced vitality through activating implicit processes, leads to the assumption, that it is possible to do the same in the other direction, thus to increase one’s experienced vitality by activating implicit processes.

Because till now, vitality is mostly seen as one dimensional and therefore only assumed to have an explicit side, research concerning vitality is mainly done in terms of explicit measures (Kahneman, 2003). Nevertheless, that the role of the less conscious processes in behavior have till today often been neglected (Sheeran et al. 2013), there are assumptions, that implicit processes may be activating illness related processes. Moreover, Sheeran et al. (2013), are stating that research in implicit cognitive processes showed promising effects which will engender new targets for intervention. This is in line with Hughes, Gordon, Chalder, Hirsch & Moss-Morris (2016), who are stating that it is an exciting opportunity to identify the cognitive processes for creating interventions which can improve well-being.

While on the one hand, Hughes, Gordon, Chalder, Hirsch & Moss-Morris (2016) are stating that there is to date no single protocol which indicates the effectiveness of Cognitive Bias modification (CBM), are on the other hand, Linetzky, Pergamin-Hight, Pine, & Bar-Haim, 2015; MacLeod & Clarke (2015) stating, that Cognitive Bias modification (CBM) to attend the implicit cognitive processes, has shown promising findings in the last years. These contradictory findings, are indicating, that there is a need for further research in this area. The present study therefore, aims to address implicit attitudes towards vitality, in order to potentially paving the way for new interventions.

Implicit attitudes can according to McConnell, Mackie and Strain (2006) be seen as evaluations that are automatically, and often without the awareness and control of a person, triggered by the mere presence of the attitude object. Cunningham, Zelazo, Packer and Bavel in this regard, assumed in their model of Iterative reprocessing (2007) that our brain systems are organized hierarchically and that lower- order automatic processes influence and are influenced by higher- order, reflective processes. This means, that as well the explicit side of vitality as the implicit side are influencing each other. Changing implicit attitudes should therefore affect attitudes in general (Petty, Tormala, Brinol, & Jarvis, 2006). The Iterative reprocessing model (Cunningham & Zelazo, 2007) assumes that with every iteration, a current evaluation of a stimulus can be “updated” by adjusting it through additional motivational information. Implicit association tests (IAT’s) are used to measure one’s implicit
associations regarding a target concept. Whilst every IAT, persons are faced with words related to the target concept, what should activate the earlier mentioned implicit processes. This assumption is supported by Steffens et. Al. (2004) who stated that during each implicit association test mental representations of congruent concepts are activated.

Ebert, Steffens, von Stülpnagel and Jelenec (2009) furthermore, showed that associative learning and reprocessing takes place during each IAT task. In this study, it is tried to examine the effectiveness of CBM regarding vitality. One idea behind using an IAT, is that associative learning in matters of attentional shifting takes place. Attentional shifting is considered a central component of cognitive functioning (Feng et al., 2011) and the process of shifting attention plays according to Rothbart, Posner and Rueda (2005) an important role in the regulation of emotions, behaviors and thoughts. The attentional shifting manipulation aims to overcome one´s attentional distraction for certain stimuli (Lee & Lee, 2015). That will say, that the attention, people are spending to stimuli will be redirected in a desirable way. Wiers et al., (2010) for example succeeded in their study in retraining the action tendencies of hazardous drinkers. Through a variety of the alcohol Approach Avoidance Test (AAT), which trained the participants in pushing alcohol related pictures away and pulling non-alcohol related pictures to their bodies by using a joystick. This study showed thus a success in retraining automatic processes of hazardous alcohol drinkers.

The other idea why it has been made use of an IAT in this study, is that it is assumed to access implicit attitudes and to be an appropriate option in manipulating self-concept biases.

1.2 Self-concept
Self-concept can be understood as the idea someone has about themselves: More precisely, self-concept refers to how someone evaluates, thinks or perceives themselves. To understand how a self-concept can affect behavior, self-concept at first has to be described. Baumeister (1999) in this regard stated that the self-concept is "The individual's belief about himself or herself, including the person's attributes and who and what the self is". It can thus be seen as a collection of beliefs someone has about him or herself. Hassandra et al. for example (2011), found in their study “Predicting students’ intention to smoke by theory of planned behavior variables and parental influences across school grade levels (2011)”, that self-identity can predict systematically the intention to smoke. This can lead to the suggestion, that the self-concept may also have utility in studying vitality.

According to Carl Rogers (1959) does the self-concept consist of the three components: self-image, self-esteem and ideal-self. Self-image can thereby be understood as
the way someone sees himself even though this not necessarily reflect reality. A vital person for example, can think of himself as a tired and listless person, what is an example for a self-concept bias. This built self-image can get influenced by factors such as the media, parents or friends.

Self-esteem refers to how much we value ourselves. We can either have a high self-esteem (positive view of ourselves) or a low self-esteem (negative view of ourselves). Coopersmith (1967) found that our self-esteem which is as mentioned above part of the self-concept, is associated with effective personal functioning. For example, is a person who shows a higher degree of self-esteem generally more happy and likely to believe in their own perceptions (Edgar, Powell, Watkins, Moore & Zakharov, 1974). Research furthermore shows, that the self-esteem can be affected by belief perseverance which is a phenomenon in which people are holding their beliefs regarding themselves, even when they are getting faced with evidence to discredit this belief (Ross, Lepper & Hubbard, 1975). With respect to this, how could it be possible to nevertheless influence one’s self-concept? Questionnaires indicating that a person is more vital than he thought he is, will according to Ross, Leppert and Hubbard (1975) probably not result in someone changing his concept of the self. The third part of the self-concept according to Rogers, is the ideal self, which refers to how someone wishes to be. If there is a difference in the self-image and the ideal self, persons are according to rogers experiencing incongruence, which prevents someone from achieving self-actualization.

To sum it up, overcoming a bias in the self-concept, could help people to achieve self-actualization. If a person for example suffers under the way he his thinking about himself (self-image) and how he really wants to be (ideal self), it would be eligible to bring these two images of himself closer together. Since it is expected, that people regularly tend to prefer seeing themselves as vital persons in contrast to tired and unvital persons, the ideal self should go in the direction of seeing oneself as vital. Overcoming a self-concept bias in this regard, is therefore suggested to occur through modifying the self-image, to strengthen someone’s association with himself and vitality.

For a better understanding of how a self-concept bias can affect our behavior, the implicit and explicit sides of the self-concept regarding vitality has to be discussed.

1.3 Implicit and explicit self-concept
Central concepts such as the self-concept are assumed to operate besides our consciousness also in our unconsciousness (Banaji, 2001). Also, Wiers et al. (2007), focusses on the
contribution of two types of cognitions to behavior. These two types are the explicit cognitions and the implicit cognitions. Explicit cognitions in this regard describes cognitions that one can vocalize, that come up through introspection and seems to be the superior type of cognition when one can process information deliberately (Strack, Deutsch, 2004). Implicit cognitions on the other side, are faster and more reflexive than the explicit ones. They are suggested to have a greater impact on behavior when cognitive control is impaired (Strack, Deutsch, 2004). Strack and Deutsch (2004), furthermore are assuming that these two cognitions operate in parallel and interact with each other. A change in implicit cognitions should therefore possibly result in a change in explicit cognition and vice-versa.

In the present study, the implicit self-concept of one’s vitality is tried to be affected. This should lead to new insights about if it is possible to influence one’s implicit self-concept of vitality in a short time and furthermore, if the effect also affects the explicit self-concept. In order to get a more precise picture of the difference between an implicit self-concept and an explicit self-concept, they both will be described in detail.

As mentioned above, the explicit self-concept refers to cognitions that one can vocalize. This however relies according to Baumeister (1995) to one’s ability for introspection and implements, that a person can only have a limited number of explicit self-concepts available at any given moment. Nosek, Hawkins and Frazier (2011) however, limiting the value of introspection since some people have problems with their awareness what can result in the circumstance that mental content might be inaccessible to introspection.

Implicit self-concepts are according to Greenwald et al. (2002), automatic associations between the self and an attribute (i.e. vital). They are mostly produced through external stimuli such as seeing a “bed”, what can lead to the association “I am tired”. Moreover, Blair (2002) found, that implicit attitudes are sensitive to priming effects, what leads to the assumption, that a short implicit self-concept intervention can result in a change in regard to vitality. Also, Rudman (2004) supports this suggestion by stating that repeatedly activated self-concepts are likely to become activated more rapidly. The computer based Implicit Association Test (IAT) is the most commonly used measure of the implicit self-concept and will therefore be used in this study.

1.4 Implicit Association test (IAT)

The IAT was developed by Greenwald et al., (1998) and has compared to other implicit paradigms, shown superior psychometric properties (Bar-Anan & Nosek, 2014). It aims to measure the strengths of automatic associations between concepts using a reaction-time
paradigm (Greenwald, McGhee, & Schwartz, 1998). Several studies showed that the IAT can predict behavior. Banse et al., (2014) for example proved in their research “Predicting aggressive behavior with the aggressiveness-IAT” that an IAT can help to predict overt and observable aggressive behavior. Swanson, Rudman, & Greenwald (2001) furthermore, used the IAT for assessing implicit associations towards smoking among adults.

The IAT measures the time a participant needs to classify different stimuli (Greenwald & Farnham, 2000). More specifically, automatic associations will be measured between a bipolar target concept (e.g. self vs. others) and a bipolar attribute concept (e.g. Heterosexual vs. Homosexual) (Schnabel, Banse, Asendorpf, 2006). The relative strengths of the measured associations are received through evaluating the difference in reaction times between the target and attribute concept. Associations between a concept and an attribute show themselves through fast responses. That means that when a participant classifies two stimuli fast (e.g. Alcohol and Pleasant) it can be concluded that this participant has the tendency to have a positive attitude against alcohol.

Since the present study aims to modify the implicit associations in regard to vitality, an adapted version of the IAT will be used. Like in the study “Using the Implicit Association Test to Measure Self-Esteem and Self-concept” of Greenwald and Farnham (2000), it will be made use of a self-concept IAT. To modify the self-concept bias, the self-concept IAT consists of the bipolar target concept “self vs. others” and the bipolar vitality related respectively neutral attribute concepts. The vitality related words are meant to overcome one’s implicit self-concept bias for feeling more vital, what should manifest itself in participants feeling implicit and explicit more vital through the modified IAT. It has been made use of neutral attribute concepts, in order not to influence participants in any other direction than in the direction of vitality. As mentioned earlier, our implicit cognitive processes seem to be susceptible for manipulations and it cannot be guaranteed, that reading other words as vitality related words (i.e. tiredness related words) do not influence the self-concept IAT’s effects. For this reason, participants are only faced with vitality related respectively neutral words.

While the intervention consists of a modified IAT, the measurement of implicit vitality is done with a short version of the implicit association test, a brief IAT (BIAT). The BIAT is an adequate method to measure attitudes, identities and stereotypes (Meyer, Osman, Irwin & Yantis, 1988) and can be completed in a little over a minute. It uses only two response blocks with 20 trials each, what shortens the overall run time of the survey.

1.5 Research questions and hypotheses
Based on the prior literature review the following research question has been formulated: Does the CBM-IAT have a positive impact on the participant’s vitality.

To answer the research question two hypotheses have been set.
1. The participants will feel implicitly more vital after the self-concept bias modification intervention.
2. The participants will feel explicitly more vital after the self-concept bias modification intervention.

2. Method

2.1 Research design

For this study, a within-subjects design was employed. All Participants went through the same process. A CBM-Intervention was used between two measures of implicit and explicit vitality, which were meant to evaluate the effects of the intervention. For explicit measures, the Subjective Vitality scale has been filled in before and after the self-concept bias modification and for the implicit measures a brief implicit association test (BIAT) has been done also before and after the intervention. The inclusion criteria were: every participant needed access to a computer with a keyboard and everybody needed to be able to read and write in German. Furthermore, should every participant experience feelings of tiredness frequently. The exclusion criteria on the other hand were: having cancer, chronic fatigue syndrome, COPD, arthritis, any other disease which are strongly associated with tiredness and being under the age of 18.

2.2 Participants

In total, 73 participants of which 51 were female and 22 were male volunteered their time for the study. The age ranged from 18 up to 50 years, with 86% between 18 and 23. From the total amount of 76 participants 73 completed the intervention. 13 participants met the exclusion criteria of chronic fatigue syndrome (2), cancer (1) and other diseases which are
strongly associated with tiredness (10). Demographics of all included participants are shown in table 1.

Table 1.

Demographics of all participants included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>45 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>18 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Age (M in years, range) | 21.6, 18-50 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School education, n (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional education, n (%)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No/low school education= Hauptschulabschluss; middle school education= Mittlere Reife; high school education= Hochschulreife

** No professional education; middle professional education= Berufsausbildung; high professional education= Master, Staatsexamen

2.3 Materials

2.3.1 Subjective vitality scale (SVS)

According to, Bostic, McGartland Rubio and Hood (2000), the SVS provides the most efficient and valid instrument to measure vitality. The scale which was, developed by Ryan and Frederick (1997), is a 7- item self-report questionnaire, which uses a 5 point Likert scale to measure one’s explicit level of vitality. The used SVS can be found in appendix D. Every item can produce a score between 1 and 5 whereby 1 stands for “very true” and 5 for “not at all true”. The minimum reachable score for the whole questionnaire is 7 and the maximum score is 35. In this study, a low score on de Subjective vitality scale means a higher level of
explicit vitality and a high score on the scale means a lower level of explicit vitality. The SVS has been validated and shows a high reliability as well as a covariation with both psychological and somatic factors (Ryan & Frederick, 1997). The SVS scores for example have shown to correlate positively with measures of self-esteem, self-actualization and satisfaction with life (Bostic, McGartland Rubio & Hood, 2000). In this study, the subjective vitality scale showed good results in the pre-test (Cronbach’s Alpha= 0,9) as well as in the post-test (Cronbach’s Alpha= 0,88).

2.3.2 Brief IAT

The Brief Implicit associations test (BIAT) is a short version of the IAT and meant to measure the implicit vitality in this study. It has in contrast to the regular IAT 1/3 the number of trials and lets the participant unlike as in the IAT focus on only two of each block four categories. To respond to the two focal categories and to categorize the shown items, the key “D” should be pushed on the keyboard respectively the “K” key to categorize any other item that is shown on screen. These design changes regarding the standard IAT are according to Nosek, Bar-Anan, Sriram, Axt and Greenwald (2014) simplifying the instructions and decrease the needed time for practicing. Moreover, has the effectiveness of the BIAT in relation to measuring stereotypes, attitudes and identities been previously established (Meyer, Osman, Irwin & Yantis, 1988). An overview of the BIAT’s instructions can be found in appendix C.

Originally, the BIAT score could be defined as the mean latency difference between two conditions. The from Greenwald, Nosek and Banaji introduced D-algorithm (2003) however, is meant to improve the scoring of as well the standard IAT and the BIAT. As stated by Greenwald et al. D is “the difference between the average response latencies between contrasted conditions divided by the standard deviation of response latencies across the conditions”. Since the present BIAT is used to determine to which degree someone associates himself with vitality, a positive D-score indicates a stronger association with vitality than a negative D-score.

2.3.3 Modified IAT (Self-concept IAT)

For this study, a modified self-concept IAT was used as an intervention. It consisted of 7 blocks of words, which had to be mapped to a category by the participants. In the standard IAT, reaction times are used to measure the degree and direction of associations. Goal of the intervention was to influence participants implicitly by mapping vitality related words to the
condition “self”. For the reason that within the standard IAT also the other direction will be tested (e.g. non-vitality related words and “self”), neutral words of the study “Emotion, Etmnooi, or Emitoon? – Faster lexical access to emotional than to neutral words during reading” from Kissler & Herbert (2013) has been used (a list of the used words can be found in appendix G). This was meant to prohibit the option, that participants are getting influenced in any unwanted way but in the way of vitality. Many studies such as “Implicit Attitudes Toward Homosexuality: Reliability, Validity, and Controllability of the IAT” (Banse, Seise, Zerbes, 2001) are supporting the reliability and validity of the IAT. The self-concept IAT’s instructions as well as a trial of it can be found in appendix E respectively in appendix F.

2.4 Procedure

To measure the effects of the Self-concept IAT, it has been made use of the website www.soscisurvey.de which provides users with different possibilities to create an own survey with the option to implement several statistical tests. All participants could participate on their own computer if they had a working connection to the internet. For this reason, most of them have been requested to participate via mail, Facebook and Sona-systems, a cloud based Subject Pool Software for Universities. The ethical eligibility for executing the study and for distributing the study link was assessed by the ethical commission of the University of Twente. The survey had an overall run-time of two weeks, beginning at the 8th of May 2017 and ending at the 21st of May 2017. Participants have been provided with an informed consent, explaining the goal and length (about 10 minutes) of the study. Furthermore, they received the information that they can stop at any time of the survey and that their data is handled anonymous. After agreeing with the informed consent, the participants were asked if they have a chronic disease which is strongly associated with tiredness. In case they had one or more diseases which were part of the exclusion criteria’s, they have been excluded from the survey.

After that participants were asked to give some demographic information about themselves (age, gender, educational level), they had to do the first BIAT which aims to measure implicit vitality. To measure explicit vitality, every participant then was asked to fill in the first subjective vitality questionnaire (Ryan & Frederick, 1997). After completing the interventional modified IAT, which showed vitality related words with “self” related words to retrain the attention towards vitality, every participant did the second BIAT and the second
subjective vitality questionnaire. These two measurements for explicit and implicit vitality were the same as the measurements before the intervention and are meant to evaluate the intervention effects. In the end of the survey, participants were provided with information about how to contact the researcher for further questions.

2.5 Analysis

For the statistical analysis in this study, SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 22 was used. The subjective vitality scale sum-scores of the pre-and posttest were computed by summing up the single answers of the 5 point Likert scale. One item (Item 2) therefore, needed to be recoded. Participants who met one or more of the earlier mentioned exclusion criteria’s had to be removed. After that these preparatory steps were done, a paired sample t-test of the pre- and posttest sum scores has been executed, to evaluate the interventions impact on explicit vitality. Furthermore, was Cronbach’s alpha calculated to show the inter item reliability of the SVS and descriptive statistics were executed for every variable.

For the comparison of the pre-and post BIAT which measured the implicit vitality, D-scores were used. If 10% or more of the participants responses took longer than 10 seconds or less than 300ms, the task had to be removed for the correct D-score calculation. (Greenwald, Nosel and Banaji, 2003). After that, the average response latencies of the contrasted conditions got divided by the standard deviation of the response latencies across the condition (Nosek, Bar-Anan, Sriram, Axt & Greenwald, 2014). Due to the fact, that this studies first condition of the BIAT was the “self” and “vitality” condition, higher D-scores are indicating a higher association of participants with vitality. At least, a paired sample t-test was conducted to compare the pre-BIAT and post BIAT D-scores.
3. Results

To evaluate if the intervention had an impact to implicit and explicit vitality, paired-sample t-tests were executed. The comparisons of the pre- and post BIAT D-scores, are showing a statistical difference from pre BIAT to post BIAT test $t (59) = -3.663$, $p = .001$.

Since the first hypothesis was that the CBM leads to improved implicit vitality, this hypothesis can be confirmed (D-score 95% pre-post CI [-0.46; -0.13]). Pre and Post BIAT D-scores were furthermore weakly and positively correlated ($r = 0.342$, $p = 0.008$). Participants showed in the pre-BIAT test a lower mean (0.0516) than in the post-BIAT (0.3468), what indicates that participant associated themselves implicitly more with vitality than before the Intervention. Table 2 shows the pre-as well as the post BIAT and subjective vitality scale mean scores.

Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre-intervention M (SD)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Post-intervention M (SD)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIAT D-score</td>
<td>0.051638 (.60)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.346781 (.47)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVS</td>
<td>23.67 (5.71)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>22.98 (5.61)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BIAT D-scores and SVS scores pre-and post-intervention*

In order to evaluate if the second hypothesis can be confirmed or rejected, the scores of the subjective vitality scale has been compared. The test for inter item reliability of the subjective vitality scale showed in the pre-test (Cronbach’s Alpha= 0,9) as well as in the post-test (Cronbach’s Alpha= 0,88) good results. Since the lowest possible SVS score (7 points), stands for extremely high vitality and the highest possible score (35 points) for extremely low vitality, the mean score of the pre-SVS (23,67) indicates, that the participants showed an average to low subjective vitality on baseline. The mean score for the post-SVS (22,98) showed no significant difference to the pre-SVS score $t (62) = 1.394$, $p = .182$. On average, SVS pre-scores were 0.683 points higher than SVS post scores (95% CI [-.33, 1.69]). Pre- and post SVS scores were furthermore strongly and positively correlated ($r = 0.748$, $p < 0.001$). The difference of the pre SVS-score mean (23.67) and the post SVS-score mean (22.98) shows that participants felt explicit more vital after the intervention. This difference however is not significant. The second hypothesis for this reason, must be rejected.
4. Discussion

Aim of the present study was to examine, if the implicit as well as the explicit self-concept can be manipulated using a modified implicit association test (Self-concept IAT). The assumption that implicit processes are interacting with explicit processes led to the hypotheses, that the implicit as well as the explicit side of vitality will increase due to CBM. The data of 63 healthy persons has been used to evaluate if the hypotheses can be affirmed or not.

When comparing the explicit vitality measures (SVS), participants have shown a slight decrease in the test score, what stands for a slight increase in explicit vitality. This means that participants tended to feel explicit more vital after the CBM. This difference in pre- and post-test is however non-significant. Since the goal of this study was to let the participants feel more vital, it can be concluded that this hypothesis cannot be confirmed.

For the second hypothesis, that participants will feel implicit more vital after the CBM, the D-scores of the pre- and post-BIAT have been compared. The results are showing higher D-scores in the post-test compared to the pre-test, what indicates that participants implicitly felt more vital after the CBM. In contrast to the explicit measurement, this difference is significant. The second hypothesis for this reason can be confirmed, participants did feel implicitly more vital after the Intervention.

The present study results are indicating that Self-concept bias modification is partly a suitable intervention to enhance one’s vitality. The assumption of Cunningham, Zelazo, Packer and Bavel (2007), that automatic cognitive processes are influencing higher order reflective processes, led to the idea of gaining explicit vitality through manipulating implicit vitality. The present study can’t confirm this assumption due to the fact that the change in implicit vitality didn’t lead to a significant change in explicit vitality. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy, that even if the difference in explicit vitality isn’t significant, participants tended to show a higher degree of vitality after the intervention. Since the Intervention is expected to be exhausting because of the needed attention and concentration, this outcome is a promising one. It can in this regard be supposed, that the intervention can, after some adjustments, be an eligible option to improve not only one’s implicit, but also ones explicit vitality.

Rudman (2004) for example stated, that self-concepts are likely to become activated...
more rapidly when they are repeatedly activated. Since the intervention used in the present study was conducted only once by every participant and the length was only about 3-5 minutes, it can be assumed that more repeating’s of the Intervention would have a greater impact on implicit and explicit vitality.

Blair furthermore, suggested that implicit attitudes are sensitive to priming effects (2002). The present study results are supporting this suggestion, however it would be recommended, to use subsequent measurements for evaluating how persistent this effect over time is.

The present study has some limitations. One limitation is the lack of comparison. Since the study design was a within group design where all participants run through the same intervention, there is no control group with which participants of today’s study could be compared. This makes the findings difficult to interpret. The only known research in the direction of gaining vitality through cognitive bias modification is done by Klaus (2016), who tried to gain vitality through an attentional bias modification intervention. In her study “First indications of the effectiveness of an IAT in modifying attentional bias regarding tiredness or vitality”, she has made a similar intervention which showed almost the same results of the subjective vitality scale scores as in the present study. The BIAT measurement for implicit vitality however, showed in her study no significant increase. The reason for the different results are supposed to be found in the intervention. Since the present study used vitality related and neutral words, participants haven’t been faced with tiredness related words. In Klaus research on the contrary, participants were also faced with tiredness related words, what can have influenced implicit attitudes in the wrong direction. This assumption would support Blair’s suggestion, that implicit attitudes are sensitive to priming effects (Blair, 2002).

Another limitation, is that almost all participants were highly educated (93%) and relatively young (86% in the age between 18 and 23). The study for this reason, may show no high generalizability to the broader population.

One limitation must be mentioned regarding the explicit vitality measure (SVS), which consisted of only 7 items and was shown two times in 10 minutes. While responding to the post-SVS, it was therefore easy to remember what has been responded in the pre-SVS. Participants for this reason, had to be curious that the intervention changed their explicit vitality in a short amount of time. This is of course possible, but the whole questionnaire, including reading, BIAT and modified IAT, needed attention and concentration, what is more likely to tire someone than to make him feel more vital. Since the intervention consisted of a self-concept bias IAT furthermore, it is assumed, that participants wanted to retain their self-concept and did not wanted to report a change of it. That means, that participants who stated
in the first SVS that they are not feeling vital, can thus due to belief perseverance be more likely to hold their beliefs about themselves than to discredit this belief (Ross, Lepper & Hubbard, 1975). Another possible explanation of the missing effect in explicit vitality, could be given by Baumeister (1995), who stated, that persons can only have a limited number of explicit self-concepts available at any given moment. The results of the explicit measurement, is thus dependent of one’s ability for introspection.

Another limitation must be mentioned in regard of the implicit vitality measure (BIAT). It has not been made use of practicing trials, what could have distorted the measurements. Even though there was provided a detailed instruction before the BIAT, which can be found in the appendix, it is possible that some participants did not knew exactly what to do in the beginning.

It is therefore recommended, to use practicing trials in the BIAT and to re-implement a vitality based intervention with repeated measures over a period of at least one week. Since the present study showed, that it is possible to improve one’s implicit association with vitality, the next step is to indicate how long lasting this effect is. Furthermore, would repeated interventions indicate more reliably, if the slight effect of the present study regarding explicit vitality, can be strengthen by repeated runs of the intervention. The present studies results are leading to this assumption, what could pave the way for other interventions. For example, could repeated interventions be done by an app, to retrain one’s self-concept at any time, what could lead to an improvement in vitality of a broad range of people. However, further research in this topic with the mentioned recommendations is needed to evaluate the opportunities a self-concept bias modification regarding vitality provides.
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Appendix

Appendix A: Study Introduction

Sehr geehrte Teilnehmer,


Die Teilnahme dauert 10-15 Minuten.

Aus technischen Gründen ist die Teilnahme nur an einem PC/Laptop mit einer Computertastatur möglich.

Zudem gilt es zu beachten, dass diese Studie Ihre Müdigkeit oder Vitalität beeinflussen könnte. Möglichweise ist nach der Teilnahme eine kurze Ruhephase nötig bevor Aktivitäten ausgeführt werden, die ein hohes Maß an Konzentration oder Aufmerksamkeit benötigen.

Liebe Grüße und vielen Dank für die Teilnahme,
Roman Klein

Roman Klein, Universität Twente, NL – 2017

Appendix B: Informed consent

Einverständniserklärung

Titel der Studie: Vital durch die Beeinflussung impliziter Prozesse.

Verantwortlicher Untersucher: Roman Klein

Ich bestätige hiermit, dass ich im Begrüßungstext genügend informiert wurde über die Art, die Methode und das Ziel dieser Studie.

Ich weiss, dass die Daten und Ergebnisse dieser Untersuchung nur anonym und vertraulich an Dritte weitergegeben werden können.

Zudem habe ich zur Kenntnis genommen, dass meine Müdigkeit/Vitalität beeinflusst werden könnte und dadurch möglicherweise eine Ruhepause nötig sein könnte bevor ich Aktivitäten ausführe, die ein hohes Maß an Konzentration oder Aufmerksamkeit benötigen.

Ich nehme freiwillig an dieser Studie teil. Dabei behalte ich mir das Recht vor die Teilnahme jederzeit ohne Angabe von Gründen zu beenden.

Ich habe keine weiteren Fragen.

[Bitte auswählen]

[Bitte auswählen]

Ich habe alles verstanden und möchte teilnehmen.
Ich bin nicht einverstanden und möchte nicht teilnehmen.

Roman Klein, Universität Twente, NL – 2017
Appendix C: BIAT

Bitte drücken Sie für Wörter aus den **oben stehenden Kategorien** die Taste I.
Für **alles andere** drücken Sie die Taste E.

Ich
ich mich mein mir selbst

oder

Vitalität
energiegeladen fit sportlich gesund motiviert lebenslustig

Legen Sie Ihre Mittel- oder Zeigefinger auf die Tasten E und I. Wörter oder Bilder ("Items") werden nacheinander in der Mitte des Bildschirms erscheinen.

- Wenn das Item zu den oben genannten Kategorien *Ich* oder *Vitalität* gehört, drücken Sie bitte die Taste I.
- Für alles andere, drücken Sie die Taste E.

Nach einer korrekten Zuordnung erscheint automatisch das nächste Item. Wenn Sie einen Fehler machen, erscheint ein rotes X. Korrigieren Sie den Fehler, indem Sie die andere Taste drücken.

Während dieser Zuordnungsaufgabe wird die Zeit gemessen. **REAGIEREN SIE SO SCHNELL SIE KÖNNEN** und machen Sie dabei so wenig Fehler wie möglich.

Bitte die **Leertaste** drücken, um anzufangen.

Appendix D: SVS questionnaire

**Auf dieser Seite finden Sie 7 Aussagen mit deren Hilfe ich einen genaueeren Eindruck darüber gewinnen möchte, wie Sie sich jetzt in diesem Moment fühlen.**

Bitte klicken Sie bei jeder Aussage auf den entsprechenden Kreis rechts, welcher angibt inwieweit diese Aussage auf Sie zutrifft.

1. Ich fühle mich in diesem Moment lebendig und vital.  
   trifft voll zu [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  
   überhaupt nicht wahr  

2. Ich fühle mich jetzt gerade nicht sehr energiegeladen.  
   trifft voll zu [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  
   überhaupt nicht wahr  

   trifft voll zu [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  
   überhaupt nicht wahr  

4. Ich habe jetzt gerade Energie und Schwung.  
   trifft voll zu [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  
   überhaupt nicht wahr  

5. Ich freue mich im Moment auf jeden neuen Tag.  
   trifft voll zu [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  
   überhaupt nicht wahr  

   trifft voll zu [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  
   überhaupt nicht wahr  

7. Ich fühle mich jetzt gerade energiegeladen.  
   trifft voll zu [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  
   überhaupt nicht wahr
Appendix E: CBM-IAT Explanation

Legen Sie Ihre Mittel- oder Zeigefinger auf die Tasten F und I. Wörter oder Bilder ("Items") werden nacheinander in der Mitte des Bildschirms erscheinen. Die Items passen jeweils zu einer der Kategorien, die Sie am oberen Bildschirmrand sehen können. Wenn das Item zur linken Kategorie gehört, drücken Sie bitte die Taste F, wenn es zur rechten Kategorie gehört, die Taste I. Jedes Item gehört nur zu einer Kategorie.

Nach einer korrekten Zuordnung erscheint automatisch das nächste Item. Wenn Sie einen Fehler machen, erscheint ein rotes X. Korrigieren Sie den Fehler, indem Sie die andere Taste drücken.

Während dieser Zuordnungsaufgabe wird die Zeit gemessen. REAGIEREN SIE SO SCHNELL SIE KöNNEN und machen Sie dabei so wenige Fehler wie möglich. Wenn Sie zu langsam reagieren oder zu viele Fehler machen, wird das Ergebnis ungenau sein. Die Aufgabe wird ca. fünf Minuten dauern.

Bitte die Leertaste drücken, um anzufangen.

Roman Klein, Universiteit Twente, NL – 2017

Appendix F: Self-Concept IAT Intervention

Fälle die Tasten nicht funktionieren, klicken Sie bitte in den Rahmen, und versuchen Sie es erneut.
Wenn das rote X erscheint, drücken Sie die andere Taste, damit das rote X wieder verschwindet.

Roman Klein, Universiteit Twente, NL – 2017
Appendix G: List of neutral words used in the Self-concept IAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gebäude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apparat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flugzeug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Büro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>